HYDROCHEMISTRY OF CHROMIUM,
LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

I. Sources of Chromium

II. Distribution and Transport of Chromium

III. Summary and Conclusions
Mean dissolved chromium concentrations
Contour interval = 0.5 μg/L

Generalized groundwater flow direction in the regional aquifer
Chromium (µg/L)

- Puye Fm and Miocene pumiceous sediments
- Miocene pumiceous deposits
- Totavi Lentil
- Chamita Fm

Upper 75% Quartile
Lower 25% Quartile
Mean

- Puye Fm: n = 51
- Cerros del Rio basalts: n = 23
- Puye Fm and Miocene pumiceous sediments: n = 6
- Miocene pumiceous deposits: n = 7
- Totavi Lentil: n = 9
- Chamita Fm: n = 5

(20 Stations)
(9 Stations)
(3 Stations)
(4 Stations)
(2 Stations)
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Chromium Releases at Technical Area-03, Los Alamos National Laboratory

- Over 15 years of Cr(VI) releases from the TA-03 cooling tower
- Between 31,000 and 72,000 kg of Cr(VI), with a mean of 54,000 kg, were released between 1956 and 1972 into Sandia Canyon
- Most of the Cr(VI) released is from dissociation of potassium dichromate ($K_2Cr_2O_7$)
- Approximately 1100 kg of Cr(VI) reached the regional aquifer (LANL, 2009). This is based on estimates made prior to drilling of R-50 and R-62
Redox Reactions Involving Chromium, Iron, and Manganese

\[
\text{Cr(OH)}_3(\text{am}) + 1.5\text{MnO}_2(\text{s}) + \text{H}^+ = \text{CrO}_4^{2-} + 1.5\text{Mn}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
\text{CrO}_4^{2-} + 3\text{Fe}^{2+} + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} = 3\text{Fe(OH)}_3(\text{am}) + \text{Cr(OH)}_3(\text{am}) + 4\text{H}^+
\]

_Coupled Reactions_

\[
\text{Cr(OH)}_3(\text{am}) + 3\text{MnO}_2(\text{s}) + 3\text{Fe}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} = 2\text{H}^+ + 3\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{CrO}_4^{2-} + 3\text{Fe(OH)}_3(\text{am})
\]

3 : 1 mole ratio of Fe(II) to Cr(VI) is required to maintain Cr(III) stability

1 : 1 mole ratio of Fe(II) to Mn(IV) is required to maintain Cr(III) stability
The redox transformation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) or vice versa can only take place in the presence of another redox couple which accepts or donates three necessary electrons.

**Cr Oxidation:**
Manganese oxides are likely to be responsible for most Cr(III) oxidation in aqueous systems.

\[
\text{Cr(OH)}_3 \text{(am)} + 1.5\text{MnO}_2(s) + \text{H}^+ = \text{CrO}_4^{2-} + 1.5\text{Mn}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
\text{Cr(OH)}_3 \text{(am)} + 3\text{MnO}_2(s) + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{CrO}_4^{2-} + 3\text{MnOOH(s)} + 2\text{H}^+
\]

**Cr Reduction:**
Weathering of Fe(II)-containing minerals (biotite, hematite, some clays, etc.)

Dissolved Fe(II) and organic carbon

Solid organic matter
Redox Behavior of Chromium in Aqueous Environments

**Hydroquinone Dissociation**

\[
C_6H_6O_2 = C_6H_5O_2^- + H^+
\]
\[
C_6H_5O_2^- = C_6H_4O_2^{2-} + H^+
\]

**Hydroquinone Oxidation**

\[
C_6H_6O_2 = C_6H_4O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-
\]

**Cr Reduction:**

\[
CrO_4^{2-} + 1.5C_6H_6O_2 + 2H^+ = Cr(OH)_3(\text{am}) + 1.5C_6H_4O_2 + H_2O
\]


Eh-pH diagram for part of the Cr-Mn-Fe-O-H system at 25°C and 1 bar. Log concentrations of total dissolved Fe and Mn = -4.75 and -4.74 molal, respectively.
Sandia Canyon wetland contains >97.3 percent Cr(III) of 11,000 kg Cr (median) with a range of 5700 to 27,000 kg Cr. Up to 49 percent of total Cr released is in the wetland. The highest concentration of Cr(III) is 3739 mg/kg associated with dried cattails.
# Chromium Reduction Capacity of Sandia Canyon Wetland (Saturated), Los Alamos, New Mexico

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sample 07-236a</th>
<th>Sample 07-236b</th>
<th>Sample 07-92a</th>
<th>Sample 07-92b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Cr (mg/kg)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>3580</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr(VI) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fe (mg/kg)</td>
<td>6380</td>
<td>6560</td>
<td>5970</td>
<td>970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>6360</td>
<td>6540</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(IV) (mg/kg)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moles Fe(II)/g soil</td>
<td>8.46e+04</td>
<td>8.70e+04</td>
<td>1.23e+03</td>
<td>7.65e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moles Cr(VI)/g soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for Cr(III) to remain reduced based on Fe(II)/Cr(VI) mole ratio</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moles Fe(II)/g soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moles Mn(IV)/g soil</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for Cr(III) to remain reduced based on Fe(II)/Mn(IV) mole ratio</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Conceptual Model of Chromium(VI) Transport Through the Vadose Zone and to the Regional Aquifer, Pajarito Plateau, New Mexico

Source: LANL 2012
Concentrations of Cr in the Regional Aquifer, LANL, NM

Cr Plume Geometry:
20 degrees south of east, west of R-42 and
65 degrees south of east between R-42, R-28, and R-50 (LANL, 2012)

Bkg Cr(VI) Mean:
3.12 ± 1.0 µg/L; n = 102

© 2012 Google
Image NASA
© 2012 NGS
Image USDA Farm Service Agency
Dissolved Concentrations of Chloride, Chromium, Nitrate-N, and Sulfate at Monitoring Well R-50(1)
Geochemical Conceptual Model for Chromium in the Cerros del Rio Basalt

Chromium Geochemistry within Cerros del Rio Basalt

Input Cr(VI) at higher concentrations $\delta^{53}$Cr < 1% [very little reduction of Cr(VI)]

Output Cr(VI) at lower concentrations $\delta^{53}$Cr up to 3% [significant reduction of Cr(VI)]

Importance of Cerros del Rio basalt in transforming chromium(VI) to chromium(III):
- Chromium(VI) is mobile in groundwater above pH 7
- Iron(II) concentrated in Cerros del Rio basalt chemically transforms Cr(VI) to Cr(III) as chromium hydroxide (Cr(OH)$_3$), which is much less soluble than Cr(VI)

$$^{53}_{52}\text{CrO}_4^{2-} + 5\text{H}^+ + 3\text{e}^- \rightarrow^{53}_{52}\text{Cr(OH)}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$
Concentrations of Chromium(VI) Versus $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ Ratios at Regional Aquifer Well R-45 Screens 1(A) and 2(B), Los Alamos, New Mexico

Source: LANL 2012, J. Heikoop
Evaluation of MNA for Chromium in the Regional Aquifer, Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM

- Increasing concentrations of Cr(VI) measured during numerous sampling events at various monitoring wells strongly suggest that natural attenuation of this redox-sensitive metal is not taking place to a significant extent.

- It is unlikely that natural attenuation would ever result in Cr(VI) concentrations less than 50 µg/L at R-28, R-42, and R-50. Natural attenuation of Cr(VI) in the regional aquifer (Puye Formation and Miocene pumiceous sediments) is strongly suppressed by: (next slide)
Evaluation of MNA for Chromium in the Regional Aquifer, Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM

- Insufficient concentrations of electron donors or reducing agents such as magnetite, metal sulfide phases including pyrite, and solid organic matter;

- Stability of strongly oxidizing groundwater conditions in the presence of dissolved oxygen, manganese(IV), and iron(III);

- High aqueous solubility of metal-Cr(VI) phases; and

- A low adsorption capacity of regional aquifer sediments for Cr(VI) under basic pH conditions.
## Remediation Options for Chromium in Soil and Aquifer Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical</th>
<th>Ion Exchange-Adsorption</th>
<th>Chemical Reduction-Precipitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pump and Treat [Cr(VI)]</td>
<td>Anion, Cr(VI): HCrO$_4^-$, CrO$_4^{2-}$, Cr$_2$O$_7^{2-}$, Cr(OH)$_4^-$</td>
<td>CaS$_5$, HRC, Fe(0), wetlands, humic and fulvic acids, microbial, Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$, NaHSO$_3$, CaHSO$_3$, Na$_2$S, Fe(II), GAC, electrolysis, phytoremediation, ISV, electrokinetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane filtration</td>
<td>Cation, Cr(III): Cr$^{3+}$, CrOH$^{2+}$, Cr(OH)$_2^+$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-situ soil flushing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: calcium polysulfide (CaS$_5$), HRC means hydrogen releasing compound, zero valence iron [Fe(0)], sodium dithionite (Na$_2$S$_2$O$_4$), sodium metabisulfite (NaHSO$_3$), calcium metabisulfite (CaHSO$_3$), GAC means granular activated carbon, and ISV means in-situ vitrification.
Summary and Conclusions

- Residual chromium (Cr) occurs in the unsaturated zone beneath Sandia and Mortandad Canyons, New Mexico.
- Partial adsorption of residual Cr onto hydrous ferric oxide has been quantified by soil leach experiments and geochemical modeling.
- Transport of Cr(VI) occurs under relatively oxidizing and basic pH conditions within the vadose zone and regional aquifer.
- Stable isotopes of Cr are a useful tool along with groundwater and aquifer chemistry, groundwater residence times, and knowledge of groundwater-flow paths for quantifying natural attenuation of Cr.
- A fraction of anthropogenic Cr(VI) has reached the regional aquifer with dissolved concentrations up to 1240 µg/L.
Summary and Conclusions

- Soluble chromium(VI) is stable in the regional-aquifer system characterized by strongly oxidizing conditions with respect to iron, dissolved oxygen, and manganese.

- Chromium is migrating at nearly the same rate of groundwater flow within the regional aquifer (Puye Formation and Miocene pumiceous sediments).

- The mass, nature, and extent of chromium contamination in the vadose zone and regional aquifer is not completely known.

- Natural attenuation of chromium(VI) is not an effective process taking place in the regional-aquifer system.

- Successful aquifer remediation of chromium in the regional aquifer requires complete understanding of:
  - Nature and extent of contamination and Geochemical, biochemical, and hydrologic characteristics.
Supplemental Material
Analytical Methods

**Major Ions**
Ion chromatography, titration, and inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy

**Trace Elements**
Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy and (high resolution) inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry

**Oxyanions**
Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry-mass/spectrometry
Analytical Methods

**Stable Isotopes**

Isotope ratio mass spectrometry ($\delta^2$H, $\delta^{18}$O, $\delta^{15}$N, and $^{13}\delta$C)

$^{129}$Iodine and $^{36}$Chlorine

Accelerator mass spectrometry

$^{239}$Plutonium and Tritium

Alpha spectrometry

Electrolytic enrichment and liquid scintillation
Calculated Rates of Chromium Transport in the Regional Aquifer, Pajarito Plateau, New Mexico

Cr migration rate = groundwater-flow rate (feet/year)/$R_f$.

$(73 \text{ feet/year})/1.5 = 49 \text{ feet/year};$

$(131 \text{ feet/year})/1.5 = 83 \text{ feet/year};$ and

$(164 \text{ feet/year})/1.5 = 109 \text{ feet/year}.$

The most representative Cr transport rates most likely range from 83 to 109 feet/year in the regional aquifer at R-28. This range is based on extensive monitoring data provided by LANL (2012) and NMED and Cr trend analysis.

$R_f$ is the retardation factor $(1 + pKd/n_e)$ where $p(1.5\text{g/cm}^3)$, $Kd(0.1 \text{ mL/g})$, and $n_e(0.30)$ are bulk density, distribution coefficient, and effective porosity, respectively. $R_f = 1.5$ for the above calculations.
Concentrations of Chromium(VI) Versus $\delta^{53}\text{Cr}$ Ratios in Groundwater, Los Alamos, New Mexico

Source: Heikoop and Longmire, 2009

Source: LANL, 2012 (J. Heikoop)
CHROMIUM VERSUS PERCHLORATE, LANL

Chromium Versus Perchlorate, LANL, NM

Chromium Versus Perchlorate, LANL, NM
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