

**Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reporting
Power Plant Subgroup Meeting
May 24, 2007**

Meeting Notes

Attendees: Ron Dutton (Xcel Energy), Martin Rehm (Tri-State G&T), Jim Behnken (JGB Consulting/Tri-State), Nancy Norem (PNM), Chris Albrecht (Albuq. Air Quality Division), Ken Evan (Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold), Robyn Camp (CCAR), and Mark Jones, Rita Trujillo, Andy Berger, Lany Weaver and Brad Musick (all from NMED/AQB)

Six major issues came out of the power plant discussion:

- 1 - Definition of entity. Entity-wide versus facility or unit level. Company-wide reporting would require PNM to report emissions from their natural gas transmission and distribution, their office building elec. use, and their vehicle fleet? What about a threshold for required reporting (25 MW generation)?
- 2 - Entity-wide reporting would bring in companies like Williams and Phelps Dodge that have facilities generating their own power plus power they sell (even with a >25 MW capacity applicability threshold).
- 3 - Bernalillo County/Tribal lands portion of emissions. Will they be included if entity wide? How to differentiate. Possibly use county identifier in database.
- 4 - Need a clear definition of de minimus. 3% of what? California uses 5% for de minimus and calculates total emissions: direct + indirect + de minimus = 100%
- 5 - Operational versus equity reporting. Easier for power facilities to do equity than operational. Can put in entire emissions and % ownership on a unit by unit basis.
- 6 - Transmission & distribution without generation. Rural electric coops and a lot of communities own the power lines and sell the power, but do not generate electricity. In a load-based system, they would be included. (However, if we decide to use a 25 MW generation threshold, they wouldn't be included in mandatory; this would not support a load-based cap though.)