



SUSANA MARTINEZ
Governor

JOHN A. SANCHEZ
Lieutenant Governor

NEW MEXICO
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Surface Water Quality Bureau

Harold Runnels Building, N2050
1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505)
P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469
Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160
www.nmenv.state.nm.us



BUTCH TONGATE
Deputy Secretary

JAMES H. DAVIS, Ph.D.
Director
Resource Protection Division

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

September 17, 2012

Mr. Joshua Ray, City Manager
City of Aztec
201 W. Chaco
Aztec, NM 87410

Re: **Major Municipal; SIC 4952; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; City of Aztec
Waste Water Treatment Facility, NM0020168, Aztec, New Mexico, August 23, 2012**

Dear Mr. Ray,

Enclosed, please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Introduction, treatment scheme, and problems noted during this inspection are discussed in the Further Explanations section of the inspection report. The main problems were found in the areas of Record Keeping & Reporting, and Effluent/Receiving Waters. You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing, both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

Diana McDonald
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI
Enforcement Branch (6EN-WM)
Allied Bank Tower
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

Program Manager
New Mexico Environment Department
Surface Water Quality Bureau
Point Source Regulation Section
P.O. Box 5469
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact me at (505) 827-2575 or daniel.valenta@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/Daniel Valenta

Daniel Valenta
Environmental Scientist/Specialist
Surface Water Quality Bureau

Cc: Rashida Bowlin, USEPA (6EN-AS) by e-mail
Darlene Whitten-Hill, USEPA (6EN) by e-mail
Carol Peters, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail
Diana McDonald, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail
Larry Giglio, USEPA (6WQ-PP) by e-mail
Hannah Branning, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail
NMED District II by e-mail



Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0003
Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code	NPDES	yr/mo/day	Inspec. Type	Inspector	Fac Type
1 N 2 5 3	N M 0 0 2 0 1 6 8 11 12	1 2 0 8 2 3 17	18 C	19 S 20	1
Remarks					
C I T Y O F A Z T E C W W T P M A J O R					
Inspection Work Days	Facility Evaluation Rating	BI	QA	Reserved	
67 69	70 3	71 N	72 N	73 74	75 80

Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) City of Aztec WWTP – From Bloomfield take Hwy 550 North to Aztec, at Hwy 516 go east to Oliver Street. Turn South 0.5 miles south of the intersection of Oliver St. and NM Hwy 516. Road ends at WWTP San Juan County	Entry Time /Date 0855/August 23, 2012	Permit Effective Date September 1, 2009
	Exit Time/Date 1345/August 23, 2012	Permit Expiration Date August 31, 2014
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Ms. Arleen Coen /Plant Operation Manager Supervisor/505-334-6448 Mr. Andrew Galloway, Supervisor Water and Wastewater/505-334-8684	Other Facility Data LAT 36° 49' 07" N LONG -108° 01' 24" W SIC 4952	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mr. Joshua Ray, 201 W. Chaco, Aztec, NM 87410/City Manager/505-334-7602	Contacted Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

S	Permit	S	Flow Measurement	S	Operations & Maintenance	N	CSO/SSO
U	Records/Reports	S	Self-Monitoring Program	S	Sludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
S	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedules	N	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory	N	Storm Water	N	Other:

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

1. SEE REPORT AND FURTHER EXPLANATIONS.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) DANIEL VALENTA /s/Daniel Valenta	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax NMED/SWQB 505-827-2575/fax 505-827-0160	Date 9/17/2012
Signature of Management QA Reviewer RICHARD E. POWELL /s/Richard Powell	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers NMED/SWQB 505-827-2798	Date 9/17/2012

SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION

PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No.)
 DETAILS:

1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE Y N NA
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES Y N NA
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT Y N NA
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED Y N NA

SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION

RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED Yes.)
 DETAILS:

1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs. Y N NA
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE. S M U N
- a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING **Location of pH sampling point missing.** Y N NA
- b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING Y N NA
- c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES. **Method listed for total Nitrogen has been withdrawn.** Y N NA
- d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS. Y N NA
- e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES. Y N NA
- f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES. Y N NA
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. S M U NA
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR. S M U NA
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA. Y N NA

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No.)
 DETAILS:

1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED. S M U NA
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED. S M U NA
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. S M U N
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. S M U NA
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE. S M U NA
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. **Four level four operators in place.** S M U NA
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. S M U NA
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE. Y N NA
 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED. Y N NA
 PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED. Y N NA

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)

9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR?
 IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED?
 HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?

Y N NA
 Y N NA
 Y N NA

10. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT?
 IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?

Y N NA
 Y N NA

SECTION D - SELF-MONITORING

PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
 DETAILS:

S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No.)

1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.

Y N NA

2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.

Y N NA

3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.

Y N NA

4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.

Y N NA

5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.

Y N NA

6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE

Y N NA

a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.

Y N NA

b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.

Y N NA

c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136.3.

Y N NA

7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?

Y N NA

SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT

PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
 DETAILS:

S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No.)

1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED.

Y N NA

TYPE OF DEVICE **Continuous flow is measured by a Hatch Sigma 950 and a 9" Parshall flume.**

2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.

Y N NA

3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED

Y N NA

4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION 9/13/2012)

Y N NA

RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES.

Y N NA

CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE.

Y N NA

5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.

Y N NA

6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.

Y N NA

7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.

Y N NA

SECTION F - LABORATORY

PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.

S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No.)

DETAILS:

Only tests performed on site are pH, e-Coli, and TRC when chlorine is used at site.

1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)

Y N NA

**City of Aztec Waste Water Treatment Plant
Compliance Evaluation Inspection
NPDES Permit No. NM0020168
August 23, 2012**

Further Explanations

Introduction

On August 23, 2012 Daniel Valenta, New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB) conducted a Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) at the Aztec Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) in Aztec, New Mexico, San Juan County, New.

The Aztec WWTP has a design flow capacity of 1.2 MGD (million gallons per day) and is classified as a major municipal discharger under the federal Clean Water Act, Section 402, of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. It is assigned NPDES permit number NM0020168 which regulates discharge of wastewater from Outfall 001 to the Animas River (*Segment 20.6.4.403 State of New Mexico Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters, New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)*). The designated uses for the segment are municipal and industrial water supply, irrigation, livestock watering, wildlife habitat, marginal coldwater aquatic life, primary contact and warmwater aquatic life.

The NMED performs a certain number of CEIs each year for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Region VI. The purpose of this inspection is to provide the USEPA with information to evaluate the Permittee's compliance with the NPDES permit. This inspection report is based on information provided by the Permittee's representatives, observations made by the NMED inspectors, and records and reports kept by the Permittee and/or NMED.

The inspector arrived at the WWTP in Aztec, New Mexico at approximately 0855 hours on August 23, 2012, made introductions and explained the purpose of the inspection to the Plant Operations Manager Supervisor, Ms. Arleen Coen. The inspector toured the WWTP and the laboratory with Ms. Coen. The Inspector was provided, at his request, all records of plant and laboratory activity for the month of April 2012 for a records review. Following the tour and a document review a preliminary exit interview was conducted at the City Manager's office with Supervisor Ms. Coen, Supervisor Mr. Andrew Galloway, and City Manager Mr. Joshua Ray. The inspection ended at 1345 hours on August 23, 2012.

Treatment Scheme

The WWTP is a newly constructed Aero-Mod activated sludge treatment system designed to enhance Nitrogen and Phosphorous removal. The influent raw sewage passes through the solids and grit removal system at the headwork's of the treatment plant, where a Parshall flume with staff gauge and an ultrasonic flow totalizer are located. There are parallel influent chambers, one with a manual bar screen and one with the mechanical solids removal system. The screened influent then flows through an approximately 20 foot grit settling channel before reaching the lift station. The lift station consists of three sump pumps. The pumps are run on rotation, allowing one or two to be rested at a time. The pumps are also set on a float system for start up and shut down. The sewage is lifted to the above ground treatment works, entering the Bio Phosphorous Rector (BPR), which is a long basin with mixers and aerators, designed to convert organic phosphate to inorganic phosphorous.

**City of Aztec Waste Water Treatment Plant
Compliance Evaluation Inspection
NPDES Permit No. NM0020168
August 23, 2012**

This is accomplished by cycling aerobic and anaerobic phases in the treatment unit. From the BPR influent is sent to the aeration basin. Decant from the aeration basin is sent through the clarifiers and then through the Advanced Nutrient Removal System (ANR). The treated water is then sent to UV disinfection, then past the ultrasonic totalizing effluent flow meter at the Parshall flume, where a staff gauge is also located. The treated water passes through an enclosed pipe approximately 1000 feet to the final discharge into the Animas River.

Sludge

Solids are wasted from the BPR, the aeration basins and the secondary clarifiers to an aerobic digester. Returned Activated Sludge (RAS) is sent back to the head of the BPR. Decant from the digester is also sent to the BPR, where it mixes with the raw influent. From the digester, solids are sent to the belt press, mixed with a dewatering polymer, and then hauled to the sludge drying beds. Final disposal of solids is to a surface disposal site at the Bondad landfill in Colorado. The sludge drying beds have under drains that direct liquids back to the head works to mix with raw influent.

Further Explanations

Effluent and Receiving Water – Overall Rating, “Unsatisfactory”

This permit became effective September 1, 2009. The requirements listed below became effective when the plant became functional.

Per Part 1 – Requirements for NPDES permits: *Section A Limitations and Monitoring Requirements*

EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS		DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS					MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
POLLUTANT	STORET CODE	lbs/day, unless noted	mg/l, unless noted					
		30-DAY AVG	7-DAY AVG	30-DAY AVG	7-DAY AVG	DAILY MAX	MEASURE-MENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow	50050	Report MGD	Report MGD	N/A	N/A	N/A	Continuous	Totalizing Meter
Biochemical Oxygen Demand, 5-day	00310	250	375	30	45	N/A	2/Week	24-Hr Composite
Total Suspended Solids	00530	250	375	30	45	N/A	2/Week	24-Hr Composite
E. Coli Bacteria (*1)	51040	4.78x10 ⁹	N/A	N/A	N/A	126	2/Week	Grab
TDS, Water Plant Intake	70295	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report(*2)	1/Month	24-Hr Composite
TDS, Effluent	70295	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report(*2)	1/Month	24-Hr Composite
TDS, Increment	70295	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report(*2)	1/Month	Calculation
Total Phosphorous	00665	9.32 (*3)	N/A	1.12	N/A	1.12	1/Month	24-Hr Composite
Total Nitrogen	00600	25.3 (*3)	N/A	3.04	N/A	3.04	1/Month	24-Hr Composite
Total Residual Chlorine	50060	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.019	2/Week (*4)	Grab

**City of Aztec Waste Water Treatment Plant
Compliance Evaluation Inspection
NPDES Permit No. NM0020168
August 23, 2012**

Finding: Since the last inspection on 5/10/2011 the permit limit has been exceeded:

Nitrogen 30-ave (lbs/day)	exceeded 1 time
Nitrogen 30-ave (mg/l)	exceeded 5 times
Nitrogen max (mg/l)	exceeded 5 times

Phosphorous 30-ave (lbs/day)	exceeded 5 times
Phosphorous 30-ave (mg/l)	exceeded 9 times
Phosphorous max (mg/l)	exceeded 9 times

See attached Spreadsheet.

Records and reports– Overall Rating, “Unsatisfactory”

Per Part 1, Section E: Pollution Prevention Requirements

E. POLLUTION PREVENTION REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall institute a program within 12 months of the effective date of the permit (or continue an existing one) directed towards optimizing the efficiency and extending the useful life of the facility. The permittee shall consider the following items in the program:

- a. The influent loadings, flow and design capacity;*
- b. The effluent quality and plant performance;*
- c. The age and expected life of the wastewater treatment facility's equipment;*
- d. Bypasses and overflows of the tributary sewerage system and treatment works;*
- e. New developments at the facility;*
- f. Operator certification and training plans and status;*
- g. The financial status of the facility;*
- h. Preventative maintenance programs and equipment conditions and;*
- i. An overall evaluation of conditions at the facility.*

Finding: This permit became effective September 1, 2009. A new plant has been constructed and as of August 23, 2012, the date of this inspection, the above requirements have not been satisfied.

**City of Aztec Waste Water Treatment Plant
Compliance Evaluation Inspection
NPDES Permit No. NM0020168
August 23, 2012**

Per Part III, C, 4, Monitoring and Records

RECORD CONTENTS: Records of monitoring information shall include:

- a. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;*
- b. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;*
- c. The date(s) and time(s) analyses were performed;*
- d. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;*
- e. The analytical techniques or methods used; and*
- f. The results of such analyses.*

Per Part III, C, 5 Monitoring Procedures

a. Monitoring must be conducted according to test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit or approved by the Regional Administrator.

Finding: Summit Environmental Technologies, Inc. performs analyses on samples for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen. The analysis method listed 351.3 for Total Nitrogen has been withdrawn and no longer meets the requirement of 40 CFR 136. The WWTP performs analyses on e-Coli at their own lab. There is no accepted 40 CRF 136 analysis method listed on the bench sheet.

Per Part II, A. 24-HOUR ORAL REPORTING: DAILY MAXIMUM LIMITATION VIOLATIONS

Under the provisions of Part III.D.7.b.(3) of this permit, violations of daily maximum limitations for the following pollutants shall be reported orally to EPA Region 6, Compliance and Assurance Division, Water Enforcement Branch (6EN-W), Dallas, Texas, and concurrently to NMED within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the violation followed by a written report in five days.

*E. coli bacteria
Total phosphorous
Total nitrogen*

Finding: In the 13 months since the last inspection on 5/10/2011 a daily maximum permit limit has been exceeded every month except for April 2012. The facility has been sending in the exceedance report with the quarterly DMR's. This quarterly report of exceedance does not meet the above requirement of oral notification within 24 hours of knowing of the exceedance or the written report within five days, see attached spreadsheet.

Aztec WWTP

NM0020168

Date	BOD	BOD	BOD	BOD	pH	pH	TSS	TSS	TSS	TSS	N	N	N	P	P	P	Q	Q	TRC	E-Coli	E-Coli	TDS	TDS	TDS
	30-Ave	7-Ave	30-Ave	7-Ave	Min	Max	30-Ave	7-Ave	30-Ave	7-Ave	30-Ave	30-Ave	Max	30-Ave	30-Ave	Max	30-Ave	7-Ave	Max	30-Ave	Max	Intake	Effluent	Total
	250 lbs/d	375 lbs/d	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	6.60	9.00	250 lbs/d	375 lbs/d	30 lbs/d	45 lbs/d	25.3 lbs/d	2.53 mgs/l	2.53 lbs/d	9.32 lbs/d	0.93 mgs/l	0.93 mgs/l	MGD	MGD	.019 ug/l	4.78x10/9	126 cfu	Report	Report	Report
6/1/12	51.7	120.0	9.6	22.5	6.8	7.0	41.2	55.2	7.6	10.0	8.11	1.40	1.40	6.96	1.20	1.20	0.64	0.65	0.000	104	25	200	458	258
5/1/12	20.1	54.7	3.8	10.0	6.8	7.0	62.4	104.0	11.9	19.5	9.87	1.70	1.70	10.47	1.90	1.90	0.61	0.68	0.000	83	5	240	470	230
4/1/12	28.9	49.8	6.1	10.5	7.2	7.4	47.8	51.5	10.0	10.0	5.86	1.40	1.40	0.67	0.16	0.16	0.54	0.58	0.000	280	68	380	630	250
3/1/12	49.3	55.1	12.2	15.0	6.8	7.0	44.1	53.9	10.8	13.0	11.10	2.80	2.80	2.05	0.52	0.52	0.50	0.62	0.000	338	52	370	605	235
2/1/12	15.5	24.8	3.6	6.0	6.8	7.0	44.0	48.6	10.0	10.0	11.30	2.60	2.60	0.70	0.16	0.16	0.49	0.52	0.000	243	73	380	610	230
1/1/12	39.2	75.0	10.6	25.0	7.0	7.2	43.1	56.8	10.6	12.0	34.00	8.30	8.30	3.07	0.75	0.75	0.48	0.56	0.000	1021	94	340	570	230
12/1/11	31.7	54.8	6.1	8.5	6.9	7.1	95.0	195.0	17.9	28.5	16.00	4.70	4.70	13.00	3.83	3.83	0.55	0.64	0.000	580	51	340	560	220
11/1/11	20.8	31.4	4.3	6.0	7.0	7.2	49.3	53.1	10.1	10.5	8.10	1.40	1.40	10.80	1.86	1.86	0.58	0.63	0.000	402	36	310	510	200
10/1/11	14.8	15.0	2.5	3.0	7.0	7.2	59.4	61.2	10.0	10.0	17.10	3.00	3.00	8.27	1.45	1.45	0.66	0.70	0.000	342	9	320	500	180
9/1/11	20.6	25.2	3.8	4.5	7.1	7.3	55.0	56.6	10.0	10.0	8.63	1.50	1.50	10.80	1.88	1.88	0.65	0.68	0.000	245	6	194	480	286
8/1/11	22.4	25.8	4.44	5.5	7.1	7.7	56.4	67.6	11.4	14.5	7.26	1.50	1.50	7.64	1.58	1.58	0.58	0.65	0.000	329.00	31	210	410	200
7/1/11	29.6	43.8	6.0	9.0	6.5	7.3	61.2	87.5	12.5	18.0	12.20	2.50	2.50	25.90	5.30	5.30	0.59	0.67	0.000	139	61	160	390	230
6/1/11	26.9	46.4	5.1	7.5	7.0	7.3	52.3	67.4	10.2	11.0	9.47	1.80	1.80	7.68	1.46	1.46	0.61	0.68	0.000	323	78	280	450	170
									Inspection on 5/10/2011															
5/1/11	118.0	73.7	13.9	23.0	6.3	7.2	54.3	56.0	10.0	10.0	12.70	2.40	2.40	5.02	0.95	0.95	0.64	0.69	0.000	1676	124	340	540	200
4/1/11	71.0	85.1	14.3	18.0	7.1	7.2	51.6	60.4	10.0	10.0	14.90	2.90	2.90	4.81	0.93	0.93	0.60	0.72	0.000	764	119	350	590	240
3/1/11	60.4	99.5	14.3	22.5	7.1	7.4	41.4	45.8	10.0	10.0	42.20	9.40	9.40	6.73	1.50	1.50	0.49	0.52	0.000	339	100	370	630	260
2/1/11	76.7	110.0	17.9	24.0	7.0	7.2	42.7	49.7	10.0	10.0	23.40	5.20	5.20	4.37	0.97	0.97	0.48	0.58	0.000	168	7	380	620	240
1/1/11	72.1	116.0	14.1	22.5	7.1	7.4	51.4	54.0	10.0	10.0	22.30	4.60	4.60	1.93	0.40	0.40	0.60	0.66	0.000	248	13	380	610	230