



SUSANA MARTINEZ
Governor

JOHN A. SANCHEZ
Lieutenant Governor

**NEW MEXICO
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT**

Surface Water Quality Bureau

**Harold Runnels Building, N2050
1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505)
P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469
Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160
www.nmenv.state.nm.us**



DAVE MARKLIN
Secretary

BUTCH TONGATE
Deputy Secretary

JAMES H. DAVIS, Ph.D.
Director
Resource Protection Division

Certified Mail – Return Receipt Requested

August 8, 2012

Mr. Amos Torres, Public Utilities Director
Town of Taos
400 Camino de la Placita
Taos, New Mexico 87571

**RE: Major Municipal, SIC 4952, NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection, Town of Taos
Wastewater Treatment Plant, NM0024066, July 12, 2012**

Dear Mr. Torres:

Enclosed, please find a copy of the report for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Problems noted during this inspection are discussed in the further explanations section of the inspection report. You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing, both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

Diana McDonald
US Environmental Protection Agency
Allied Bank Tower
Region VI Enforcement Branch (6EN-
WM)
1445 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

Program Manager
New Mexico Environment Department
Surface Water Quality Bureau
Point Source Regulation Section
P.O. Box 5469
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

I wish to thank your staff for their cooperation during this inspection. If you have any questions concerning this inspection report, please feel free to contact me at the above address or by telephone (505) 827-1041.

Sincerely,
/s/ Sandra Gabaldon

Sandra Gabaldón
Surface Water Quality Bureau

Cc: Rashida Bowlin, 6EN-AS, via email
Carol Peters-Wagnon, 6EN-WM, via email
Hannah Branning, 6EN-WC, via e-mail
Larry Giglio, 6WQ-PP, via email
Diana McDonald, 6EN-WM, via email
District II, via e-mail



NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code	NPDES	yr/mo/day	Inspec. Type	Inspector	Fac Type
1 <input type="text" value="N"/> 2 <input type="text" value="5"/> 3 <input type="text" value="N"/> <input type="text" value="M"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="2"/> <input type="text" value="4"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="6"/> <input type="text" value="6"/> 11 <input type="text" value="1"/> 12 <input type="text" value="2"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="7"/> <input type="text" value="1"/> <input type="text" value="2"/> 17 18 <input type="text" value="C"/> 19 <input type="text" value="S"/> 20 <input type="text" value="1"/>					
Remarks					
<input type="text" value="M"/> <input type="text" value="A"/> <input type="text" value="J"/> <input type="text" value="O"/> <input type="text" value="R"/> <input type="text" value="W"/> <input type="text" value="W"/> <input type="text" value="T"/> <input type="text" value="P"/>					
Inspection Work Days	Facility Evaluation Rating	BI	QA	Reserved	
67 <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> 69	70 <input type="text" value="3"/>	71 <input type="text" value="N"/>	72 <input type="text" value="N"/>	73 <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/>	74 <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> 75 <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> 80

Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Taos Wastewater Treatment Plant – North 68, at first light in Ranchos de Taos (at post office), turn left onto Los Cordovas. Travel approximately 2.5 miles. WWTP can be seen from road. Taos County	Entry Time /Date 1130 Hours / 07-12-2012	Permit Effective Date 06-30-08
	Exit Time/Date 1345 Hours / 07-12-2012	Permit Expiration Date 06-30-11 Administratively Cont.
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Anthony Martinez, Project Manager, (575) 758-8401, Mobile (505) 901-8738, Fax (720) 286-9933 anthonymartinez@ch2m.com Jerome Salazar, Operator, (575) 758-8401	Other Facility Data N. 36.37450 W. -105.65713 SIC: 4952	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Amos Torres, Public Utilities Director, (575) 751-2047; Fax (575) 751-2049; atorres@taosgov.com Town of Taos Taos Municipal Building 400 Camino De La Placitas Taos, NM 87571	Contacted Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

<input type="text" value="S"/>	Permit	<input type="text" value="M"/>	Flow Measurement	<input type="text" value="M"/>	Operations & Maintenance	<input type="text" value="N"/>	CSO/SSO
<input type="text" value="M"/>	Records/Reports	<input type="text" value="S"/>	Self-Monitoring Program	<input type="text" value="S"/>	Sludge Handling/Disposal	<input type="text" value="N"/>	Pollution Prevention
<input type="text" value="S"/>	Facility Site Review	<input type="text" value="N"/>	Compliance Schedules	<input type="text" value="N"/>	Pretreatment	<input type="text" value="N"/>	Multimedia
<input type="text" value="M"/>	Effluent/Receiving Waters	<input type="text" value="S"/>	Laboratory	<input type="text" value="N"/>	Storm Water	<input type="text" value="N"/>	Other:

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

- Inspector arrived on site at approximately 1130 hours, signed in, and met with Mr. Anthony Martinez, Project Manager. The inspector presented credentials and explained the purpose of the compliance evaluation inspection.
- The inspector had an exit conference with Mr. Martinez at approximately 1330 hours and left the facility at approximately 1345 hours.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Sandra Gabaldón /s/ Sandra Gabaldon	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax NMED/SWQB/827-1041/827-0160	Date
Signature of Management QA Reviewer Richard Powell /s/ Richard Powell	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers NMED/SWQB/505-827-2798	Date

TOWN OF TAOS WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

PERMIT NO. NM0024066

SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION

PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS DETAILS: S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO)

- 1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE Y N NA
- 2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES Y N NA
- 3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT Y N NA
- 4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED Y N NA

SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION

RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. DETAILS: S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO)

- 1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs. Y N NA
- 2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE. S M U NA
 - a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING: **Location not provided on bench sheets** Y N NA
 - b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING Y N NA
 - c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES. Y N NA
 - d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS. Y N NA
 - e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES. Y N NA
 - f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES. Y N NA
- 3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. S M U NA
- 4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR. S M U NA
- 5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA. Y N NA

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. DETAILS: S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED YES)

- 1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED. S M U NA
- 2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED. S M U NA
- 3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. S M U NA
- 4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. S M U NA
- 5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE S M U NA
- 6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. S M U NA
- 7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. S M U NA
- 8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE. Y N NA
- STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED. Y N NA
- PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED. Y N NA

TOWN OF TAOS WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

PERMIT NO. NM0024066

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)

9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? Y N NA
 IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? Y N NA
 HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS? Y N NA

10. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? Y N NA
 IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT? Y N NA

SECTION D - SELF-MONITORING

PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO).
 DETAILS:

1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA

2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. Y N NA

3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. Y N NA

4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA

5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA

6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE Y N NA

a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING. Y N NA

b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED. Y N NA

c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136.3. Y N NA

7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT? Y N NA

SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT

PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED YES)
 DETAILS:

1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. Y N NA
 TYPE OF DEVICE 9-inch Parshall flume

2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED. Y N NA

3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. Y N NA

4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. Y N NA
 RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. Y N NA
 CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE. Y N NA

5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE. Y N NA

6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION. Y N NA

7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES. Y N NA

SECTION F - LABORATORY

PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO)
 DETAILS:

1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES) Y N NA

SECTION F - LABORATORY (CONT'D)

2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED Y N NA
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT. S M U NA
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE. S M U NA
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. 100 % OF THE TIME. Y N NA
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. % OF THE TIME. Y N NA
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED. Y N NA

LAB NAME Hall Environmental Bio-Aquatics

LAB ADDRESS 4901 Hawkins, NE, Albuquerque, NM 2501 Mayes Rd # 100; Carrollton, TX 75006

PARAMETERS PERFORMED: BOD Biomonitoring (WET)

SECTION G - EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED YES).

OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER
001	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	CLEAR	

RECEIVING WATER OBSERVATIONS

SECTION H - SLUDGE DISPOSAL

SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO).

DETAILS: Sludge Landfilled at Rio Rancho.

1. SLUDGE MANAGEMENT ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN EFFLUENT QUALITY. S M U NA
2. SLUDGE RECORDS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 503. S M U NA
3. FOR LAND APPLIED SLUDGE, TYPE OF LAND APPLIED TO: N/A (e.g., FOREST, AGRICULTURAL, PUBLIC CONTACT SITE)

SECTION I - SAMPLING INSPECTION PROCEDURES (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED).

1. SAMPLES OBTAINED THIS INSPECTION. Y N NA
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE OBTAINED
GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE METHOD FREQUENCY
3. SAMPLES PRESERVED. Y N NA
4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED. Y N NA
5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE. Y N NA
6. SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLUME AND MATURE OF DISCHARGE. Y N NA
7. SAMPLE SPLIT WITH PERMITTEE. Y N NA
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED. Y N NA
9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT. Y N NA

**Compliance Evaluation Inspection
Town of Taos Wastewater Treatment Plant
NPDES Permit No. NM0024066
Date of Inspection: July 12, 2012**

Further Explanations

Introduction

A Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) was conducted at Taos Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP), located at 182 Los Cordovas in Taos, NM by Sandra Gabaldón, New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB), on July 12, 2012. This facility is classified as a major discharger under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program, and is assigned NPDES permit number NM0024066.

This permit authorizes discharges from Outfall 001 to an unnamed arroyo, thence to the Rio Pueblo de Taos, in waterbody segment 20.6.4.122 of the Upper Rio Grande Basin (*NMAC State of New Mexico Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters*). Designated uses of segment 20.6.4.122 are coldwater aquatic life, fish culture, irrigation, livestock watering, wildlife habitat and primary contact.

The inspector arrived at WWTP at 1130 hours and conducted an entrance interview with Mr. Anthony Martinez, Project Manager. Ms. Gabaldón made introductions, presented her credentials and discussed the purpose of the inspection with Mr. Martinez. An exit conference was conducted with Mr. Martinez from approximately 1330 hours to 1345 hours.

The NMED performs a specific number of CEI's annually for the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). The purpose of this inspection is to provide the USEPA with information to evaluate the permittee's compliance with their NPDES permit. The enclosed inspection report is based on verbal information supplied by the permittee's representatives, observations made by Ms. Gabaldón, and a review of records maintained by the permittee, commercial laboratory, and/or NMED. Findings of the inspection are detailed in the attached EPA form 3560-3 and in the narrative further explanations section of the report.

TREATMENT SCHEME

The Taos WWTP went online with their new Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) System in April 2012. The treatment now consists of headworks with two barscreens and grit chamber. Influent then enters a splitter box to be distributed to either the west or east aeration basins (600,000 and 400,000 gallons, respectively). The dissolved oxygen in these basins is kept between 4.0 and 5.0 mg/L. There are also anoxic zones on the south end of each aeration basin. Influent proceeds to the MBR. The MBR has four basins with 3.5 cassettes in each. Each cassette has .04 micron membranes. Flow then goes to a holding tank where it is then discharged to the ultraviolet disinfection system prior to discharge to the unnamed arroyo.

Previously, four clarifiers were used in treatment. These units have since been decommissioned. The lines have been closed and capped and no further flows are allowed to enter any clarifier.

Sludge is sent to a belt press and then hauled to the Rio Rancho Landfill for final disposal. Facility no longer does composting, as this was not economically feasible.

Section B – Recordkeeping and Reporting – Overall Rating of “Marginal”

The permit requires, in Part III, Section C.4, Record Content:

Records of monitoring information shall include:

- a. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurement;*
- b. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;*
- c. The date(s) and time(s) analyses were performed;*
- d. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;*
- e. The analytical techniques or methods used; and*
- f. The results of such analyses.*

Findings for Recordkeeping and Reporting:

The inspector reviewed bench sheets from this facility to verify all information from Part III, Section C.4, was contained on each bench sheet. It was noticed that the permittee did not have the exact location on its bench sheet for sampling. It is suggested that the permittee review all bench sheets to insure all required monitoring information is on each bench sheet.

The methods used by the permittee come from Standard Methods 18th Edition. However, June 18, 2012, 40 CFR 136 had various changes to their Table A1 (approved methods). Many of the SM 18th edition are no longer up-to-date with 40 CFR 136. The permittee should review the Federal Register <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-05-18/pdf/2012-10210.pdf> and incorporate the necessary changes for compliance with the approved methods.

Section C – Operations and Maintenance – Overall Rating of “Marginal”

Permit Requirements for Operation and Maintenance:

Part III.B.3. Proper operation and maintenance:

- a. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of the treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by permittee as efficiently as possible and in a manner which will minimize upsets and discharges of excessive pollutants and will achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit.*

Findings for Operation and Maintenance:

The east aeration basin has an anoxic zone with a DO of approximately .42 mg/L. In this area, it is noted that there is sludge rising to the top and denitrification occurring. It may be necessary for the facility to waste more often to keep this from occurring.

The permittee has had various start up issues with the new MBR system. However, at this time, the system appears to be functioning well with few issues.

Section F – Flow Measurement – Overall rating of “Marginal”

Permit Requirements for flow:

Part III, Section C.6, Flow Measurements states:

Appropriate flow measurement devices and methods consistent with accepted scientific practices shall be selected and used to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the volume of monitored discharges. The devices shall be installed, calibrated and maintained to insure that the accuracy of the measurements is consistent with the accepted capability of that type of device. Devices selected shall be capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than 10% from the true discharge rates throughout the range of discharge volumes.

Findings for flow:

The permittee does not do calibration checks to ensure that the discharge flow is +/-10% as required. It is necessary to have an accurate flow reading to calculate mass loading for effluent limitations. Mr. Martinez suggested that he will begin doing calibration checks at least on a monthly basis.

Section G – Effluent/Receiving Waters Observations – Overall Rating “Marginal”

Permit Requirements for Effluent/Receiving Waters:

Part I, Section A.: Effluent Limitations:

The effluent limitations for BOD₅ and TSS include a 30-day average of 500 lbs/day with a concentration of 30 mg/L for 30 day average and 45 mg/L for 7-day average. E. coli has a daily maximum of 235 cfu/100.

Findings for Effluent/Receiving Waters:

Review of DMRs for the past two years (2010-2012) showed the following excursions: (See attached DMR review sheet).

In October 2010, E. coli was 250 cfu/100 mls. In December 2011, the permittee again exceeded their daily maximum and and 30 day average geomean for E. coli. Their daily maximum was greater than 8000 cfu/100 mls and their 30 day average geomean was 1698. In January, again, an exceedance was noted for E. coli daily max and 30 day geomean. On February 22, 2011, E. coli daily max was 950 cfu/100 mls and on February 21, 2011, daily max was 496.7 cfu/100 mls.

The permittee also has had problems with their glucose-glutamic acid (GGA) standard for BOD analysis. For this reason, the permittee has been sending their BOD samples to Hall Environmental for analysis. The permittee has been working with CH2M Hill laboratories to help in troubleshooting the issues with the GGA.

Exceedances noted may be due to the start up time in which the system was coming on line with the new MBR. During this inspection, the discharge of effluent to the unnamed arroyo was clear and odorless. There have been no further exceedances noted in their DMR submittals since April 2012.

NMED/SWQB
Official Photograph Log
(Photos taken by Project Manager – Inspector’s camera malfunctioned)
Photo # 1

Photographer: Anthony Martinez, Project Manager	Date: 07-19-2012	
City/County: Town of Taos / Taos		State: New Mexico
Location: Taos Wastewater Treatment Plant		
Subject: Decommissioned clarifiers		



**NMED/SWQB
Official Photograph Log
Photo # 2**

Photographer: Anthony Martinez, Project Manager.	Date: 07-19-2012	
City/County: Town of Taos / Taos	State: New Mexico	
Location: Taos Wastewater Treatment Plant		
Subject: Chemical cleaning system for MBR		



**NMED/SWQB
Official Photograph Log
Photo # 3**

Photographer: Anthony Martinez, Project Manager.	Date: 07-19-2012	
City/County: Town of Taos / Taos	State: New Mexico	
Location: Taos Wastewater Treatment Plant		
Subject: Headworks		

