



NEW MEXICO
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT



Surface Water Quality Bureau

SUSANA MARTINEZ
Governor
JOHN SANCHEZ
Lieutenant Governor

Harold Runnels Building, N2050
1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505)
P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469
Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160
www.nmenv.state.nm.us

DAVE MARTIN
Secretary
RAJ SOLOMOM, P.E.
Deputy Secretary

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

May 6, 2011

Mr. Stephen Blanco, President
Picacho Hills Utility Company
P. O. Box 259
Fairacres, New Mexico 88033

RE: Minor Industrial; SIC 4952; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; Picacho Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant; (NM0030821) April 7, 2011

Dear Mr. Blanco:

Enclosed, please find a copy of the report for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Problems noted during this inspection are discussed in the attached further explanations. You are encouraged to review the inspection report; and required to correct any problems noted during the inspection and to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate.

I wish to thank you for the cooperation of the Picacho Hills WWTP representatives, Mr. Mike Wepley and Mr. Gilbert Morales during this inspection.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at the above address or by telephone at (505) 827-0212.

Sincerely,

/S/ Barbara Cooney

Barbara Cooney
Surface Water Quality Bureau

CC:

Marcia Gail Adams, USEPA (6EN-AS) by e-mail
Samuel Tate, EPA (6EN-AS) by e-mail
Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail
Diana McDonald, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail
Larry Giglio, USEPA (6WQ-PP) by e-mail
NMED, District III by email



Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0003
Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code	NPDES	yr/mo/day	Inspec. Type	Inspector	Fac Type	
1 <input type="text" value="N"/> 2 <input type="text" value="5"/> 3 <input type="text" value="N"/> <input type="text" value="M"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="3"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="8"/> <input type="text" value="2"/> <input type="text" value="1"/> 11 12 <input type="text" value="1"/> <input type="text" value="1"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="4"/> <input type="text" value="0"/> <input type="text" value="7"/> 17 18 <input type="text" value="C"/> 19 <input type="text" value="S"/> 20 <input type="text" value="2"/>	Remarks					
<input type="text" value="M"/> <input type="text" value="I"/> <input type="text" value="N"/> <input type="text" value="O"/> <input type="text" value="R"/> <input type="text" value="I"/> <input type="text" value="N"/> <input type="text" value="D"/> <input type="text" value="U"/> <input type="text" value="S"/> <input type="text" value="T"/> <input type="text" value="R"/> <input type="text" value="I"/> <input type="text" value="A"/> <input type="text" value="L"/> <input type="text" value="P"/> <input type="text" value="I"/> <input type="text" value="C"/> <input type="text" value="A"/> <input type="text" value="C"/> <input type="text" value="H"/> <input type="text" value="O"/> <input type="text" value="H"/> <input type="text" value="I"/> <input type="text" value="L"/> <input type="text" value="L"/>						
Inspection Work Days	Facility Evaluation Rating	BI	QA	Reserved		
67 <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value=""/> <input type="text" value="1"/> 69	70 <input type="text" value="3"/>	71 <input type="text" value="N"/>	72 <input type="text" value="N"/>	73 <input type="text" value=""/>	74 <input type="text" value=""/>	
75 <input type="text" value=""/>						
80 <input type="text" value=""/>						

Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) PICACHO HILLS WWTP, GOING WEST ON PICACHO, TURN RIGHT ONTO PICACHO HILLS DRIVE, PROCEED UP THE HILL AND TURN RIGHT ONTO FAIRWAY VILLAGE, TURN RIGHT ON FIRST DIRT ROAD WHICH IS THE ENTRANCE TO THE WWTP. PICACHO HILLS, NEW MEXICO. DONA ANA COUNTY	Entry Time /Date 09:15/ 04.07.2011	Permit Effective Date 02.01.2007
	Exit Time/Date 10:30/ 04.07.2011	Permit Expiration Date 01.31.2012
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) MIKE WEPLEY, ON SITE OPERATOR GILBERT MORALES, CONTRACT OPERATOR 505-575-635-1407 cell phone	Other Facility Data SIC 4952	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number STEPHEN BLANCO, PRESIDENT, PICACHO HILLS UTILITY COMPANY P. O. BOX 259 FAIRACRES, NEW MEXICO 88033	Contacted Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LATITUDE 32° 18' 16" LONGITUDE 106° 49' 34"

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

S	Permit	N	Flow Measurement	M	Operations & Maintenance	N	CSO/SSO
M	Records/Reports	N	Self-Monitoring Program	M	Sludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
M	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedules	N	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia
N	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory	N	Storm Water	N	Other:

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

SEE THE FURTHER EXPLANTIONS SECTION OF THE ATTACHED REPORT

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) /S/ BARBARA COONEY	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax NMED/SWQB 505-827-0212	Date May 6, 2011
Signature of Management QA Reviewer /S/ RICHARD E. POWELL	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers NMED/SWQB 505-827-2798	Date May 6, 2011

SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION

PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS DETAILS: S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO)

- 1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE Y N NA
- 2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES Y N NA
- 3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT **OUTFALL LINE HAS NOT YET BEEN INSTALLED** Y N NA
- 4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED Y N NA

SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION

RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. DETAILS: Records for January and February for Flow & for Solids Removal from the lagoons were requested. They were not provided to the inspector. - The DMRs are being submitted in a timely manner and correctly filled out. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED YES)

- 1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs. Y N NA
- 2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE. S M U NA
 - a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING Y N NA
 - b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING Y N NA
 - c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES. Y N NA
 - d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS. Y N NA
 - e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES. Y N NA
 - f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES. Y N NA
- 3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. S M U NA
- 4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR. S M U NA
- 5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA. Y N NA

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. DETAILS: S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED YES)

- 1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED. S M U NA
- 2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED. S M U NA
- 3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. S M U NA
- 4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. S M U NA
- 5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE. S M U NA
- 6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. S M U NA
- 7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. S M U NA
- 8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE. Y N NA
- STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED. Y N NA
- PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED. Y N NA

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)

9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? Y N NA
 IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? Y N NA
 HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS? Y N NA
10. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? Y N NA
 IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT? Y N NA

SECTION D - SELF-MONITORING

PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO.)
 DETAILS:

1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. Y N NA
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. Y N NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE Y N NA
- a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING. Y N NA
- b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED. Y N NA
- c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136.3. Y N NA
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT? Y N NA

SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT

PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO.)
 DETAILS:

1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. Y N NA
 TYPE OF DEVICE
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED. Y N NA
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. Y N NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION _____) Y N NA
 RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. Y N NA
 CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE. Y N NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE. Y N NA
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION. Y N NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES. Y N NA

SECTION F - LABORATORY

PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO.)
 DETAILS:

1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES) Y N NA

SECTION F - LABORATORY (CONT'D)

- 2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED Y N NA
- 3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT. S M U NA
- 4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE. S M U NA
- 5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. ___ % OF THE TIME. Y N NA
- 6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. ___ % OF THE TIME. Y N NA
- 7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED. Y N NA

LAB NAME
 LAB ADDRESS
 PARAMETERS PERFORMED

SECTION G - EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED YES).

OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER

RECEIVING WATER OBSERVATIONS

SECTION H - SLUDGE DISPOSAL

SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No).
 DETAILS: No Record Provide To Inspector.

- 1. SLUDGE MANAGEMENT ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN EFFLUENT QUALITY. S M U NA
- 2. SLUDGE RECORDS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 503. S M U NA
- 3. FOR LAND APPLIED SLUDGE, TYPE OF LAND APPLIED TO: _____ (e.g., FOREST, AGRICULTURAL, PUBLIC CONTACT SITE)

SECTION I - SAMPLING INSPECTION PROCEDURES (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NA).

- 1. SAMPLES OBTAINED THIS INSPECTION. Y N NA
- 2. TYPE OF SAMPLE OBTAINED
 GRAB _____ COMPOSITE SAMPLE ___ METHOD _____ FREQUENCY _____
- 3. SAMPLES PRESERVED. Y N NA
- 4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED. Y N NA
- 5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE. Y N NA
- 6. SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLUME AND MATURE OF DISCHARGE. Y N NA
- 7. SAMPLE SPLIT WITH PERMITTEE. Y N NA
- 8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED. Y N NA
- 9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT. Y N NA

Compliance Evaluation Inspection
Picacho Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant
NM0030821
April 7, 2011

Introduction

On April 7, 2011 a Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) was conducted at the Picacho Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) located near Las Cruces, New Mexico by Barbara Cooney and Steven M. Baumgarn of the State of New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), Surface Water Quality Bureau (SWQB).

An inspection was conducted by NMED for the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Region VI, under the NPDES permit program, in accordance with the federal Clean Water Act. These inspections are conducted under contract with the USEPA and are used by EPA to evaluate compliance with the NPDES permit program. This inspection report is based on information supplied by the Picacho Hills representatives (the permittee), observations made by the NMED Inspectors, reports and records kept by the permittee or NMED.

The WWTP has a design flow of 0.3 MGD, is classified as a minor discharger under the federal Clean Water Act, Section 402 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program and is assigned permit number NM0030821.

Inspection Details

The Inspectors arrived at the WWTP at 9:15 a.m. An entrance interview was conducted with Mr. Mike Wepley, the uncertified on site operator. The inspectors made introductions, presented credentials and discussed the purpose of this inspection. Mr. Gilbert Morales the contract Level IV operator later joined the inspectors. An exit interview was held with Mr. Wepley and Mr. Morales. The Inspectors left the WWTP at 10:30 a.m.

Treatment Scheme

The design flow for this facility is 0.3 MGD. Flow enters the WWTP through a manual bar screen at the head of the flow equalization basin (EQ Basin). The bars in the manual bar screen are approximately 1 inch apart and allow for some extraneous solids to enter the treatment units. Material collected on the bar screen are scraped off and placed in a bucket next to the bar screen. When the bucket is full the bucket is carried up to the top of a hill and the contents are dumped into a dippy-dumpster for shipment to a sanitary landfill.

The EQ basin consists of an aeration basin with coarse bubble aeration. The contents are allowed to remain in the EQ basin until there is room in the treatment units for treatment. The EQ basin contents remain fresh due to the aeration process.

From the EQ basin wastewater enters one of two aeration basins (AB) for activated sludge treatment. Air is provided by coarse bubble diffusers. Return activated sludge (RAS) is mixed with influent flow prior to entering the AB's. Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids (MLSS) is maintained at a level of 3500 to 4000 mg/l to maximize settling in the final clarifiers. During winter months this concentration may be increased.

Compliance Evaluation Inspection
Picacho Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant
NM0030821
April 7, 2011

Overflow from the AB's enters one of two rectangular final clarifiers for settling prior to discharge. RAS is removed on a constant basis and returned to the head of the aeration basin to be mixed with the influent. Flow exits the final clarifiers via two sets of aluminum weirs before discharging to the holding lagoons

Effluent from the final clarifiers proceeds to one of two lined holding ponds used to store reuse water before being pumped up to the golf course for irrigation purposes. At the time of this inspection there was substantial growth (cattails, etc.) along the edges of the lagoon. No discharge presently goes to the Rio Grande since the outfall line for this facility has not yet been constructed.

Sludge Handling

Waste Activated Sludge (WAS) enters, from the final clarifiers, a two cell aerated digester. Sludge is treated with coarse bubble diffusers and occasionally allowed to settle. Clear water is decanted back to the head of AB's and the digester is refilled. When settling begins to become a problem a septic tank hauler is called and the contents of the digesters are pumped out and land applied in a permitted land application facility.

Further Explanations

Note: The sections are arranged according to the format of USEPA Form 3560-3 and checklist, attached, rather than being ranked in order of importance.

Record Keeping and Reporting

Permit Requirements for Record Keeping and Reporting

The permit require, in Part III, Section C.3, Retention of Records

The permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recording for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by this permit, and records of all data used to complete the for this permit, for a period of at least 3 years from the date of the sample, measurement, report, or application. This period may be extended by request of the Director at any time.

Findings for Record Keeping and Reporting

The inspector requested that records for January and February for flows from the chlorine contact chamber to the holding lagoons, and solids wasting records and hauling by the contract hauler be provided. There is no office on site and records are kept by Mr. Morales. No records

Compliance Evaluation Inspection
Picacho Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant
NM0030821
April 7, 2011

were available at the time of the inspection, and no records have been sent to the inspector as requested.

Operation and Maintenance

Permit Requirements for Operation and Maintenance

The permit requires, in Part III, Section B.3, Proper Operation and Maintenance

The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by permittee as efficiently as possible and in a manner which will minimize upsets and discharges of excessive pollutants and will achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of backup or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit.

The permittee shall provide an adequate operating staff which is duly qualified to carry out operation, maintenance and testing functions required to insure compliance with the conditions of this permit.

Findings for Operation and Maintenance

There is no back-up power to this WWTP.

The alarm system is a flashing light. There is no call out system if there are any overflows or power outages at the WWTP.

The manual bar screen at the head of the plant has tines separated by more than one inch, allowing some larger size solids to pass through. This was noted in the previous inspection and a response by Mr. Morales stated that the bar screen design is adequate. However some larger solids were noted near the weirs of the Aeration Basin (AB).

The aeration basin had a rich brown color indicating healthy microbial growth and adequate solids management for the unit processes. Visual observation of the surface of the AB indicated some shiny bubbles. This is often indicative of some grease entering the treatment system. There was some floating grease on the final clarifier as well as some floating sludge. The operator needs to check on commercial operations serviced by this facility to ensure that grease traps are being properly cleaned and sized. This would help to keep unwanted grease from entering the facility.

The effluent from the final clarifiers is disinfected using chlorine tablets and sent to one of two lined storage lagoons. Effluent water is held in the lagoon until the golf course is ready to

Compliance Evaluation Inspection
Picacho Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant
NM0030821
April 7, 2011

irrigate, at which time the contents are pumped to the golf course. There were small tears observed in the lagoon liner probably from the equipment used to remove solids. The lagoons are also subject to a considerable amount of blowing sand filling in, requiring the operators to have the solids removed frequently.

It should be noted that there was substantial growth (cattails, etc.) around the edge of the lagoon so the liners should be checked to ensure they are not leaking.

There is no fencing around the main section of the treatment plant which includes the AB's and the final clarifiers. A chain link fence is located around the lagoon area, but there are a number of breaks in the fence which allow for access. Without a fence there are opportunities for unauthorized personnel to enter the plant and cause destruction to the plant. There are also opportunities for people to fall into the treatment units and drown. A fence should be placed around this facility as soon as possible for the protection of the units and the public.

The on site operator at this facility is not presently certified and so a contract operator is employed to ensure coverage under the operator certification regulations. Mr. Wepley needs to be encouraged to get his certification as soon as possible so that decisions relating to the operation of this facility can be made as quickly as possible.

This facility has never discharged to the Rio Grande. The outfall pipe approved by NMED has not yet been constructed because the Public Regulatory Commission (PRC) has not allowed for an increase in utility rates which would be used to pay for the installation and other upgrades. .

There is no potable water at the site of this facility. Effluent water can be used to wash down units and equipment at the plant. When the outfall line is installed and there becomes a need for a laboratory, potable water will be needed. Some of the tests required when discharging will require test to be run within 15 minutes from collection time, so these samples cannot be shipped off site for analysis at a private laboratory. Potable water needs to be in place when the outfall line is completed.

**Compliance Evaluation Inspection
Picacho Hills Wastewater Treatment Plant
NM0030821
April 7, 2011**

Effluent/Receiving Waters Observations

Permit Requirements for Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Discharge Limitations</i>		
	<i>30-day Avg</i>	<i>7-day Avg</i>	<i>Max</i>
<i>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5-day)</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>45</i>	
<i>Total Suspended Solids</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>45</i>	
<i>E-coli Bacteria (org/100ml)</i>	<i>126</i>		<i>410</i>

Findings for Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

There is no discharge from this facility; therefore no evaluation of the effluent could be done at this facility. According to Mr. Morales, it is highly unlikely that a discharge line will be constructed for this facility. Therefore, it is advisable for the permittee to contact EPA and request a discontinuance of this NPDES permit. The permit expires January 31, 2012. The permittee is required to submit an application for renewal of the permit 180 days prior to the expiration date, as found in Part III of the permit.