



SUSANA MARTINEZ  
Governor  
JOHN SANCHEZ  
Lieutenant Governor

NEW MEXICO  
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

*Surface Water Quality Bureau*

Harold Runnels Building, N2050  
1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505)  
P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502  
Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160  
www.nmenv.state.nm.us



DAVE MARTIN  
Secretary  
RAJ SOLOMON, P.E.  
Deputy Secretary

---

**Certified Mail – Return Receipt Requested**

May 19, 2011

Mr. Rahul Karanth, Managing Member  
Enchanted 528 Development LLC  
415 North Lasalle St., Suite 200  
Chicago, IL 60654

**RE: Construction Stormwater, SIC 1542, NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection, Enchanted 528 Development LLC, Enchanted Hills Plaza Construction Project, NPDES Permit NMR10H765, Rio Rancho, New Mexico, May 10, 2011**

Dear Mr. Karanth:

Enclosed, please find a copy of the report for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at a construction site for which you may be an "operator" (see Appendix A in permit). The NMED conducted this inspection on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas, for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Problems noted during this inspection are discussed in the checklist section of the inspection report. You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both USEPA (Diana McDonald, USEPA (6EN-WT), 1445 Ross Ave, Dallas, Texas, 75202), NMED (at above address) regarding modifications and compliance schedules.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at the above address or by telephone at (505) 222-9587.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb  
Sarah Holcomb  
Surface Water Quality Bureau

Cc: Marcia Gail Adams, USEPA (6EN-AS) (by e-mail)  
Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-AS) (by e-mail)  
Samuel Tates, USEPA (6EN-AS) (by e-mail)  
Diana McDonald, USEPA (6EN-AS) (by e-mail)  
Jennifer Ickes, NMED District 1 Manager (by e-mail)



Form Approved  
OMB No. 2040-0003  
Approval Expires 7-31-85

### NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

#### Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code	NPDES	yr/mo/day	Inspec. Type	Inspector	Fac Type
1   N   2   5   3   N   M   R   1   0   H   7   6   5   11   12   1   1   0   5   1   0   17   18   }   19   S   20   2					
Remarks					
C   O   N   S   T   R   U   C   T   I   O   N   ≥   5   A   C   R   E   S					
Inspection Work Days	Facility Evaluation Rating	BI	QA	Reserved	
67         69	70   2	71   N	72   N	73         74   75           80	

#### Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) <b>ENCHANTED HILLS PLAZA CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, RIO RANCHO, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NM; FROM I-25, TAKE EXIT 242 THROUGH BERNALILLO ON HWY 550. TURN LEFT ON HWY 528 AND TURN RIGHT ON ENCHANTED HILLS BLVD. SITE IS ON THE LEFT IMMEDIATELY AFTER TURNING ONTO ENCHANTED HILLS.</b>	Entry Time /Date 1315 HOURS / 5-10-2011	Permit Effective Date <b>7-1-2008</b>
	Exit Time/Date 0830 HOURS / 5-12-2011	Permit Expiration Date <b>6-30-2011</b>
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) MR. RON FERNANDEZ, SUNDANCE MECHANICAL UTILITIES (505) 250-4207 CELL	Other Facility Data	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number MR. RAHUL KARANTH, MANAGING MEMBER, ENCHANTED 528 DEVELOPMENT LLC (312) 527-3009 4400 ALAMEDA BLVD. NE, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87113	Contacted Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> *	GPS: N. 35° 19' 16.85" W. -106° 34' 44.25"  SIC: 1542

#### Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

S	Permit	N	Flow Measurement	N	Operations & Maintenance	N	CSO/SSO
M	Records/Reports	N	Self-Monitoring Program	N	Sludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
M	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedules	N	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia
M	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory	M	Storm Water	N	Other:

#### Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

1. INSPECTOR ARRIVED ON SITE AT 1315 HOURS ON 5-10-2011. THE INSPECTOR CONTACTED MR. AUGIE DIAZ, UTILITIES SUPERINTENDENT, AND WAS INFORMED THAT THE SWPPP WAS UNAVAILABLE. THE INSPECTOR DOCUMENTED ISSUES ON SITE ON 5-11-2011 FROM PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE AREAS. THE INSPECTOR DID NOT SEE THE SWPPP UNTIL 0830 HOURS ON 5-12-2011. AN ENTRANCE INTERVIEW WAS THEN CONDUCTED WITH MR. DIAZ, MR. CARLOS SPEISS, AND MR. RON FERNANDEZ OF SUNDANCE WHERE SHE PRESENTED HER CREDENTIALS AND EXPLAINED THE PURPOSE OF THE INSPECTION. MR. KELLEY FETTER OF STORMCO LLC WAS ALSO PRESENT. AN EXIT INTERVIEW WAS CONDUCTED WITH THE AFOREMENTIONED PARTIES THE SAME DAY AT 0925 HOURS.

2. SEE ATTACHED REPORT AND CHECKLIST.

3. THIS REPORT IS BASED ON REVIEW OF FILES MAINTAINED BY THE PERMITTEE AND NMED, ON SITE OBSERVATION BY NMED PERSONNEL, AND VERBAL INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE FACILITY'S REPRESENTATIVE.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) <b>SARAH HOLCOMB /s/ Sarah Holcomb</b>	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax <b>NMED/SWQB 505-222-9587</b>	Date <b>5-19-2011</b>
Signature of Management QA Reviewer <b>RICHARD POWELL /s/ Richard Powell</b>	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers <b>NMED/SWQB 505-827-2798</b>	Date <b>5-19-2011</b>

<b>National Database Information</b>		<b>General</b>	
Inspection Type	Compliance Evaluation	Inspector Name	Sarah Holcomb
NPDES ID Number	NMR10H765 (Enchanted 528) NMR10H672 (Sundance)	Telephone	(505) 222-9587
Inspection Date	5-10 to 12-2011	Entry Time	1315 hours, 5-10-2011
Inspector Type (circle one)	EPA <input type="checkbox"/> State <input type="checkbox"/> EPA Oversight <input type="checkbox"/>	Exit Time	0925 hours, 5-12-2011
Facility Type (circle one)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial Residential Municipal	Signature	/s/ Sarah Holcomb

<b>Facility Location Information</b>					
Name/Location/ Mailing Address	Enchanted Hills Plaza Near Enchanted Hills Blvd. and Hwy 528 in Rio Rancho, NM Mailing: 415 N. Lasalle St., Suite 200, Chicago, IL 60654				
GPS Coordinates	Latitude	N. 35° 19' 16.85"	Longitude	W. -106° 34' 44.25"	
Receiving Water(s)	Rio Rancho MS4 (Venada Arroyo) to the Rio Grande in segment 20.6.4.106 NMAC				
Disturbed Area	23.75 acres	Start Date	12-1-2010	Stop Date	7-30-2011

<b>Contact Information</b>		
	Name(s)	Telephone
Name(s) and Role(s) of All Parties Meeting the Definition of Operator	Enchanted 528 Development LLC – owner Sundance Mechanical – general contractor	
Facility Contact	Mr. Carlos Speiss, Division Mgr., Sundance Mr. Ron Fernandez, Construction Mgr., Sundance Mr. Augie Diaz, Superintendent, Sundance	505-345-2694
Authorized Official(s)	Mr. Rahul Karanth, Managing Member, Enchanted 528 Development LLC Mr. Mike Mechanbier, CEO, Sundance Mechanical	312-527-3009 505-345-2694

<b>Site Information:</b> (circle all that apply)							
<b>Nature of Project</b>	Residential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial/ <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	Roadway	<input type="checkbox"/> Private	Federal	State/ Municipal	Other
<b>Construction Stage</b>	Clearing/ Grubbing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rough <input type="checkbox"/> Grading	<input type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure	Building Const.	Final Grading	Final Stabilization	

Basic Permit Information			Basic SWPPP Information		
Permit Coverage <i>ESO Element 3 &amp; 4</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	SWPPP Prepared & Available <i>ESO Element 5 &amp; 30</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N
Permit Type	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General	Individual	SWPPP Contents Satisfactory <i>ESO Elements 5 - 31</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Permit notice/sign visibly posted including: copy of NOI, contact name & phone number, location of SWPPP <i>ESO Element 41</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 528	SWPPP Implementation Satisfactory <i>ESO Elements 32 - 48</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NOI Date	<small>11-3-2010 Sundance 11-24-2010 528</small>		SWPPP Date	11-2-2010	
If applicable, is waiver certification & approval on file?	Y	N	<i>Intentionally left blank</i>		

SWPPP Review (can be completed in office)					
General			Notes:		
Is there a SWPPP? <i>ESO Element 5</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	Prepared by StormCo LLC		
SWPPP completed prior to NOI submission? <i>ESO Element 6</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N			
Copy of permit language? <i>ESO Element 25</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N			
Is SWPPP consistent with state/tribal/local regulations and permits? <i>ESO Element 26</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	RUSLE: pre-construction – 0.00076 t/ac/yr, check dams during construction – 0.00077 t/ac/yr, paved and seeded – 0.00076 t/ac/yr		
SWPPP updated to incorporate changes to State, Tribal, Local erosion plans? <i>ESO Element 27</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N			
Have copies of inspection reports/all other documentation been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage expires? <i>ESO Element 28</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N			
Is a copy of the SWPPP on site or made available? <i>ESO Element 30</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Please see further explanations.		
Did all “operators” sign/certify the SWPPP? <i>ESO Element 31</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N			

<u>Site Description</u>		<b>Notes:</b>	
SWPPP identifies potential sources of pollution? <i>ESO Element 7</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	Clearing/grubbing, rough grade, infrastructure, building construction and stabilization.
SWPPP identifies all operators and their areas of control? <i>ESO Element 8</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Is there a site description? <i>ESO Element 9</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Nature/sequence of construction activity? <i>ESO Element 9A - 9B</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Total area of site and total area to be disturbed? <i>ESO Element 9C</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	26 acres total/25 acres disturbed.
Is there a general location map? <i>ESO Element 9D</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Is there a site map? <i>ESO Element 9E</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Drainage patterns/outfalls on site map? <i>ESO Element 9F</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Area of soil disturbance on site map? <i>ESO Element 9F</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Location of major structural controls on site map? <i>ESO Element 9F</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	
Location of storm water discharges to a surface water on site map? <i>ESO Element 9F</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Location of materials or equipment storage on site map (on-site or off-site)? <i>ESO Element 9F</i>	Y	N	N/A
Location/description industrial activities? <i>ESO Element 9G</i>	Y	N	N/A
Name of Receiving water(s) or MS4 listed?	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Note: Indicate whether receiving water is 303(d) listed.</i> <b>Rio Rancho MS4 (Venada Arroyo) thence to Rio Grande in 20.6.4.106 NMAC.</b>
Does the SWPPP include dates of major grading activities, temporary/permanent construction cessation, and initiation of stabilization practices? <i>ESO Element 14</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Endangered Species Documentation? <i>ESO Element 23</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N	

<b>Controls to Reduce Pollutants</b>		<b>Notes:</b>	
Does the SWPPP include a description of all pollution control measures (BMPs) that will be implemented to control pollutants in storm water discharges, including sequence and which operator responsible for implementation? <i>ESO Element 10 A – C</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	However, no schedule is included.
Does the SWPPP include a description of interim and permanent <i>stabilization practices</i> (e.g., seeding, mulching, riprap for the site)? <i>ESO Element 11; 12</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	Interim – tackifier Permanent – Class A seeding. Final stabilization plan is not finalized yet, will be referenced later on in the project.
Does the SWPPP identify the contractor(s) and timing by which <i>stabilization practices</i> will be implemented? <i>ESO Element 13</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the SWPPP include a description of <i>structural practices</i> (e.g., vehicle track-out, silt fences, sediment traps, storm drain inlet protection) for the site? <i>ESO Element 15</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the SWPPP identify the contractor(s) and timing by which <i>structural practices</i> will be implemented? <i>ESO Element 10B - 10C</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	Contractor identified, but no schedule is included.
Does the SWPPP identify storm water management measures to address storm water runoff once the construction is completed (e.g., retention ponds, velocity dissipation controls)? <i>ESO Element 16</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	
Does SWPPP describe measures to prevent discharge of dredge/fill materials to waters of the U.S.? Does site have 404 permit? <i>ESO Element 17</i>	Y	N	N/A
Does SWPPP describe measures to minimize off-site vehicle tracking and generation of dust? <i>ESO Element 18</i>	Y	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	
Does SWPPP describe controls for pollutants from storage of construction or waste materials? <i>ESO Element 19</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	
Does the SWPPP describe controls for pollutants from non-construction activities? <i>ESO Element 20</i>	Y	N	N/A no non-construction activities on site.
Does SWPPP identify allowable non-storm water discharges? <i>ESO Element 21</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y	N	All except wash water, ground water, air conditioning condensate, and excavation dewatering.

<b>Controls to Reduce Pollutants (cont'd)</b>		<b>Notes:</b>
Does SWPPP ensure implementation of pollution prevention measures for non-storm water discharges? <i>ESO Element 22</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N	Wattles and silt fence are discussed as structural measures to control non stormwater discharges.
Is SWPPP revised when BMPs added/modified within 7 days after inspection reveals problems? <i>ESO Element 29</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	

<b>Inspections</b>		<b>Notes:</b>
Inspections performed once every 14 days and within 24 hours of a rain event greater 0.5"? <i>ESO Element 32</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	
Inspections performed by qualified personnel? <i>ESO Element 33</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N	
All disturbed areas and/or used for storage and exposed to rain inspected? <i>ESO Element 34</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N	
All pollution control measures inspected to ensure proper operation? <i>ESO Element 35</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N	
All discharge locations inspected if accessible, or if not accessible, are nearby downstream locations inspected? <i>ESO Element 36; 37</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N	
Entrance/exit inspected for off-site tracking? <i>ESO Element 38</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N	
Inspection report contains all required items and certified? <i>ESO Element 39; 40</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Y <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N	Electronic signatures are not allowed on inspection reports.

## Notes on SWPPP Review

### **Site Description:**

This is a 26 acre site that is under development as a shopping plaza. Currently the site has been completely cleared and utilities are being constructed. Enchanted 528 Development LLC is the owner of the land, and Sundance is acting as the general contractor for most of the project (Brycon Construction is building a pad site of about an acre in size in the middle of this site.)

This inspection was prompted by dust complaints. The soil in the area is comprised of a fine sand, and New Mexico has been experiencing strong wind storms this spring. Upon arrival at the site, the inspector immediately noticed that many of the silt fences around the perimeter of the site were at or above capacity and needed maintenance. There was also a large amount of sand that had escaped the construction site and ended up on Enchanted Hills Blvd. and in the adjacent grocery store parking lot across the street. Please see the attached pictures.

The NOI for Sundance Mechanical was posted in a publicly accessible area of the site, but the NOI for Enchanted 528 Development was not.

The inspector arrived on site at 1315 hours on 5-10-2011. The inspector conducted an inspection at the Brycon Construction site, but was informed that the site superintendent for Sundance Mechanical was unavailable. Arrangements were made to meet at the site on 5-11-2011, but the superintendent was again unavailable. The inspector was able to review the SWPPP on 5-12-2011 at 0830 hours. The permit requires in Part 5.11.C that plans must be made available in its entirety for review and copying at the time of an on-site inspection. The plans must be made available to a representative of EPA, the State, local governmental officials, or representatives of the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

The SWPPP did need updates relating to schedules for BMP implementation and maintenance. Many of the inspections documented noted that there were issues with dust control and with on-site BMPs. There were notation sin the corrective action log in the SWPPP that indicated that watering was done once a day to help with the dust, and that a polymer had been applied to an area of the site that was not being actively worked. However, no action had been taken to remediate the close to failing silt fence around the perimeter. There was some discussion during the inspection that perhaps the polymer had not been applied at the correct rate and the permittee's representative indicated that they would reapply the polymer.

Inspections generally appeared to have been conducted at the required intervals, however, there were a few missing between January 6, 2011 and February 17, 2011. There was one precipitation event inspection on February 3, 2011, but this does not count for a regular biweekly inspection. Also, the inspections were signed with an electronic signature. Currently, EPA accepts electronic signatures on NOIs and NOTs, but until the CROMERR rule is modified, electronic signatures on SWPPPs and inspection reports are not valid. The signatures must be "in-person".

<b>SWPPP Implementation</b> <i>(complete in field)</i>	
<b><u>Stabilization Practices</u></b>	
<p><b>List and describe stabilization practices</b> ESO Element 43, 48</p>	<p><i>(e.g., seeding, mulching, geotextiles, sod stabilization)</i></p> <p>None at the time of this inspection.</p>
<p><b>Are stabilization measures initiated no more than 14 days after temporary or permanent construction cessation?</b> ESO Element 46</p>	<p><i>(e.g., indicate “yes” or “no”; if “yes”, how long without stabilization measures?)</i></p> <p>Yes. However, documentation was not adequate to show when construction ceased and when the tackifier was applied to the southern portion of land on the site.</p>

<b><u>Structural Practices</u></b>	
<p><b>List and describe structural controls</b> ESO Element 42, 43, 47</p>	<p><i>(e.g., silt fences, hay bales, storm drain inlet protection, sedimentation pond, rip rap, check dam, diversion structure, off-site vehicle track-out)</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Silt fence – was in poor shape at the time of the inspection. There were a few areas that the sediment had overtopped the fence.</li> <li>2. Erosion control socks – were applied at the northern corner of the site and were completely inundated under sediment.</li> <li>3. Portolets – appeared to be in good shape and maintained.</li> <li>4. Stabilized construction entrance – needed maintenance – sediment had started to accumulate in the middle of the measure.</li> </ol>
<b><u>Non-Structural Practices</u></b>	
<p><b>Street Cleaning</b> ESO Element 44</p>	<p><i>(e.g., describe measures taken to remove offsite accumulation of sediment)</i></p> <p>Had not been done at enough frequency to keep sediment off of areas outside the site.</p>
<p><b>Good Housekeeping &amp; Waste Disposal Practices</b> ESO Element 45</p>	<p><i>(e.g., describe measures taken to prevent litter and debris from becoming a pollutant source)</i></p> <p>Site was relatively clean, it appeared trash pick up was done at regular intervals although the inspector did note a few pieces of trash (cement bags) outside the site along 528.</p>

## NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

<u>Non-Structural Practices</u>	
<b>Equipment Wash/ Maintenance Area</b> <i>ESO Elements 43</i>	<p><i>(provide brief description)</i></p> <p>N/A</p>
<b>Concrete Washout Areas</b> <i>ESO Elements 43</i>	<p><i>(provide brief description)</i></p> <p>Concrete wash out was located on the northwest corner of the site and was signed and appeared to be maintained well.</p>
<u>Miscellaneous</u>	
<b>Evidence of Sediment Deposition to Surface Waters</b> <i>*ESO Eligibility - if "yes," site not eligible for ESO</i>	<p><i>(e.g., significant turbidity observed in a receiving water body)</i></p> <p>Rio Rancho's arroyos are kept in a natural (dirt) state to promote infiltration. Although the BMPs on site were not in prime shape, the inspector did not observe sediment in the Venada Arroyo that appeared to be from the construction site.</p>
<b>Pollution prevention measures for non- storm water discharges?</b> <i>*ESO Eligibility - If evidence of non- allowable non-storm water discharges, site not eligible for ESO</i>	<p><i>(provide brief description and determine whether/if non-storm water discharges allowable)</i></p> <p>No non-stormwater discharges were observed during this inspection.</p>
<b>Has implementation of additional/modified BMPs been completed before next anticipated storm event?</b> <i>ESO Element 43.C.1</i>	<p><i>(provide brief description)</i></p> <p>No. It was evident from the inspection and the records that although silt fence and dust control had been noted as issues, necessary repairs were not done in a timely fashion.</p>

# NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

NMED/SWQB

## Official Photograph Log

Photo # 1

Photographer: Sarah Holcomb	Date: 5-10-2011	Time: 1327 hours
City/County: Rio Rancho/Sandoval		
Location: Enchanted Hills Plaza construction site, near Hwy 528 and Enchanted Hills Blvd.		
Subject: Failing silt fence on northern end of the project.		



# NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

NMED/SWQB

## Official Photograph Log

Photo # 2

Photographer: Sarah Holcomb	Date: 5-11-2011	Time: 1149 hours
City/County: Rio Rancho/Sandoval		
Location: Enchanted Hills Plaza construction site, near Hwy 528 and Enchanted Hills Blvd.		
Subject: Construction site entrance. Cobble needs to be maintained. Also, note silt fence needs to be maintained on this (western) side of the site as well.		



# NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

NMED/SWQB

## Official Photograph Log

Photo # 3

Photographer: Sarah Holcomb	Date: 5-11-2011	Time: 1153 hours
City/County: Rio Rancho/Sandoval		
Location: Enchanted Hills Plaza construction site, near Hwy 528 and Enchanted Hills Blvd.		
Subject: Failing silt fence at northeastern corner of the site.		



# NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

NMED/SWQB

## Official Photograph Log

Photo # 4

Photographer: Sarah Holcomb	Date: 5-11-2011	Time: 1156 hours
City/County: Rio Rancho/Sandoval		
Location: Enchanted Hills Plaza construction site, near Hwy 528 and Enchanted Hills Blvd.		
Subject: Failed silt fencing at southeastern corner of the site.		



# NPDES Industrial Storm Water Worksheet (Construction)

NMED/SWQB

## Official Photograph Log

Photo # 5

Photographer: Sarah Holcomb	Date: 5-11-2011	Time: 1203 & 1204 hours
City/County: Rio Rancho/Sandoval		
Location: Enchanted Hills Plaza construction site, near Hwy 528 and Enchanted Hills Blvd.		
Subject: Sediment has overwhelmed the adjacent parking lot and landscaping of the businesses next to the construction site. No street sweeping has occurred.		

