KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

Closure Plan for the Former Open Burn Unit Air Force Environmental Compliance Program

January 2010

16



377 MSG/CEAN 2050 Wyoming Blvd. SE Kirtland AFB, New Mexico 87117-5270

40 CFR §270.11 DOCUMENT CERTIFICATION

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision according to a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations.

MICHAEL S. DUVALL, Colonel, USAF Commander

Kirtland AFB Closure Plan for the Former Open Burn Unit

January 2010

CONTENTS

| Section | | | Page |
|----------|--------------|---|--|
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | | 1-1 |
| | 1.1 | General Closure Information | 1-1 |
| | 1.2 | Closure Performance Standard | |
| | 1.3 | Partial and Final Closure Activities | |
| | 1.4 | Maximum Extent of Operations and Maximum Waste Inventory Scope | |
| | 1.5 | Schedule for Closure. | |
| | 1.6 | Amendment of Closure Plan | |
| | 1.7 | Closure and Post-Closure Cost Estimate, Financial Assurance and Liability | |
| | Requ | irements | 1-3 |
| | 1.8 | Closure Certification | 1-3 |
| | 1.9 | Closure Report | 1-3 |
| | 1.10 | Survey Plat and Post-Closure Requirements | 1-3 |
| 2. | CLO | SURE PROCEDURES | 2-1 |
| | 2.1 | Former Open Burn Unit | 2-1 |
| | 2.2 | Soil Sifting and Removal Procedures | 2-1 |
| | 2.3 | Sampling Procedures | 2-2 |
| | 2.4 | Appropriate Sample Containers and Preservatives | 2-3 |
| | 2.5 | Sample Handling and Documentation | 2-3 |
| | 2.6 | Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program | 2-5 |
| | 2.7 | Decontamination Verification | |
| 3. | MAN | AGEMENT OF WASTE FROM CLOSURE ACTIVITIES | |
| | 3.1 | Waste Management | |
| | 3.2 | Waste Characterization | |
| | 3.3 | Waste Disposal | 3-2 |
| 4. | REFE | ERENCES | |
| A 10 100 | | | and and the second transformer of the second |

FIGURES

. .

| Figure X-1. Location of EOD Range, Former OB Unit and OD Unit at Kirtland AFB |
|---|
| Figure X-2. Location of Former OB Unit and OD Unit at EOD Range |

TABLES

Table X-1. Closure Schedule
Table X-2. Target Detection Limits, Analytical Methods and Instrumentation for Metals Analysis
Table X-3. Target Detection Limits, Analytical Methods and Instrumentation for Organics, TPH,
Perchlorate, Sulfides and pH Analysis
Table X-4. Target Detection Limits, Analytical Methods and Instrumentation for HE Analysis
Table X-5. Sample Containers, Preservation Techniques and Holding Times for Liquid Samples
Table X-6. Summary of Field Quality Control Samples
Table X-7. Summary of Laboratory Quality Control Procedures by Analytical Method

1. INTRODUCTION

This closure plan describes the activities necessary to close the Former Open Burn (OB) Treatment Unit (Former OB Unit) at the Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Range at Kirtland Air Force Base (AFB). This closure plan is being submitted in accordance with the terms of the Stipulated Final Order No. HWB-09-00 (CO) and Settlement Agreement dated September 28, 2009. Until final closure of the unit is complete and has been certified and approved by the New Mexico Environment Department (Department) in accordance with the New Mexico Hazardous Waste Management Regulations, a copy of the approved Closure Plan and any revisions thereof shall be made available, upon request to the Department.

1.1 General Closure Information

This closure plan has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of 20.4.1 NMAC 40 CFR §§264.112, 264.118 and 270.14(b)(13); and 20.4.1 NMAC Subpart V, 40 CFR Part 264 Subparts G, H and X.

1.2 Closure Performance Standard

The Former OB Unit shall be closed to meet the following performance standards:

- Minimize the need for further maintenance;
- Control, minimize or eliminate, to the extent necessary to protect human health and the environment, the post-closure escape of hazardous waste, hazardous constituents, leachate, contaminated runoff, or hazardous waste decomposition products to ground water or surface waters or to the atmosphere; and
- Comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 264, Subpart G and 40 CFR §264.601.

1.3 Partial and Final Closure Activities

The last treatment event that occurred at the OB Unit was in May 2008. All treatment residues from this last treatment event have been removed from the unit. Kirtland AFB officially ceased operation of the Former OB Unit on September 28, 2009 in accordance with the Stipulated Final Order No. HWB-09-00(CO) and Settlement Agreement. Partial closure of the Former OB Unit is anticipated to be completed in 2011. Closure of the Open Detonation (OD)Unit is not anticipated until 2050. Kirtland AFB will notify the Secretary of the NMED, in writing, at least 90 days prior to the date that partial closure activities at the Former OB Unit will commence. Partial closure activities at the Former OB Unit will include:

- Removal of the OB structure, secondary containment curbing and equipment used at the Former OB Unit for hazardous waste treatment.
- Sifting of the soils in a 50 foot (ft) radius surrounding the Former OB Unit to remove scrap metal.
- Sifting of the soils in the earthen berm surrounding the Former OB Unit to remove scrap metal.
- Grading the area to level.

Final closure activities for the Former OB Unit and OD Unit are scheduled for 2050. Final closure will consist of: (1) Removal of soils at the OD Unit that have contaminant concentrations above industrial soil screening levels (SSLs) or demonstrating that no potential unacceptable risk to human health or the environment and (2) Sending any hazardous waste residues/contaminated soils to a permitted treatment, storage or disposal facility (TSDF). Final closure will be complete when:

- All hazardous waste has been treated at the OD Unit, and the treatment residues have been sent to a permitted TSDF for proper management;
- All areas surrounding both the Former OB Unit and OD Unit have been decontaminated or a successful demonstration of no unacceptable risk has been made;
- Final closure certification has been submitted to the NMED; and
- NMED has approved the closure.

1.4 Maximum Extent of Operations and Maximum Waste Inventory

Operations at the Former OB Unit ceased in May 2008. Following the final treatment event, all wastes were removed from the unit. Therefore, the maximum waste inventory is zero.

1.5 Schedule for Closure

Kirtland will initiate partial closure of the Former OB Unit according to the general schedule presented below:

| Activity | Time Required | |
|--|---|--|
| Notify the Department of receiving final volume of hazardous waste | Completed | |
| Receipt of NMED approval of Former OB Unit closure plan | TBD | |
| Advertise for proposals | -140 Days prior to close | |
| Receive proposals | -110 Days prior to close | |
| Select contractor and award contract | -90 Days prior to close | |
| Notify the Department that closure activities will commence | -90 Days prior to close | |
| Begin closure activities | Day 0 | |
| Former OB Unit removal | Day 10 | |
| Sifting of soils at Former OB Unit and berm | Day 12 | |
| Grading of soils at Former OB Unit and berm | Day 15 | |
| Obtain analysis of wash and rinse water from grading equipment | Day 45 | |
| Submit final report to the Department. | 180 days after receiving closure plan approval from NMED | |

TABLE X-1 Closure Schedule

Note: The schedule above indicates calendar days from the beginning by which activities shall be completed. Some activities may be conducted simultaneously or may not require the amount of time listed.

1.6 Amendment of Closure Plan

If it becomes necessary to amend this Closure Plan, Kirtland AFB will submit, in accordance with applicable regulations (i.e.,20.4.1 NMAC 40 CFR §264.112 (c)) and the terms of the September 28, 2009 Stipulated Final Order and Settlement Agreement, a written notification of or request for a permit modification, as appropriate, describing any change in operation or unit design which affects this plan. The written notification or request will include a copy of the amended plan for approval by the Department. Kirtland AFB will submit a written notification of, or a request for, a permit modification.

1.7 Closure and Post-Closure Cost Estimate, Financial Assurance and Liability Requirements

Since the Kirtland AFB facility is a federal facility, it is currently exempt from the requirement to provide closure and post-closure care estimates and the requirements to provide financial assurance and liability insurance for closure and post-closure activities pursuant to 40 CFR Part 264, Subpart H.

1.8 Closure Certification

Within 60 days after completion of the partial closure activities for the Former OB Unit, Kirtland AFB will submit, via certified mail, a certification that the unit has been closed in accordance with the specifications of the approved closure plan. The certification will be signed by a responsible representative of Kirtland AFB and by an independent, professional engineer registered in the State of New Mexico. Documentation supporting the independent, registered professional engineer's certification shall be furnished to the Department with the certification.

1.9 Closure Report

Upon completion of the partial closure activities, a closure report shall be submitted to the Department. The report will document the closure activities conducted and contain, at a minimum, the following information:

- A summary of the closure activities.
- Any significant variance from the approved closure plan and the reason for the variance.
- The location of the file of supporting documentation.
- Disposal location of all hazardous wastes.
- Certification of the accuracy of the report.

1.10 Survey Plat and Post-Closure Requirements

A survey plat, post-closure plan and post-closure certification, and post-closure notices are not anticipated to be required for the OB treatment unit since the OD Unit and EOD Range will continue to operate until 2050. Upon final closure of the OD unit, a survey plat will be submitted to the Department. In the event that closure performance standards cannot be achieved for the OD treatment unit, then a post-closure plan will be submitted to the Department for review and approval.

2. CLOSURE PROCEDURES

The first phase of closure will consist of a hazards survey of the Former OB Unit conducted by contractor health and safety personnel and Kirtland AFB EOD personnel. The purpose of the survey will be to identify potential contamination concerns that may present hazards to workers during the closure activities and to specify any control measures necessary to reduce worker risk. This survey will provide the information necessary for health physics and industrial hygiene personnel to identify worker qualifications, personal protective equipment (PPE), safety awareness, work permits, exposure control programs, and emergency coordination that will be required to perform closure. Any UXO identified during the hazard survey will be handled by Kirtland AFB EOD staff personnel only. All workers involved in the closure activities will be required to have training and medical monitoring as required by applicable regulations. Personnel performing closure activities will be required to wear PPE as specified by health physics and industrial hygiene personnel.

2.1 Former Open Burn Unit

The Former OB Unit was used for the controlled burning of small arms munitions. The last treatment event at the Former OB Unit took place in 2008 and all waste residues (i.e., ash and debris) were removed from the unit and disposed of as hazardous waste.

The Former OB Unit consists of a stationary steel container located on a concrete pad, surrounded by concrete walls on three sides. The eight-inch-thick concrete pad is 30.5 ft long by 10.5 ft wide, and the one-foot-thick concrete walls are 21.5 ft long, 10.5 ft wide, and 9 ft high. The northern portion (approximately 19 ft) of the reinforced concrete pad is level, and a two-ft-wide by approximately six-inch-high containment "hump" is located at the southern extent of the level portion of the pad to prevent run-on into or runoff from the concrete pad. The remaining portion (approximately 9 ft) of the concrete pad is sloped gently to the south, which allowed vehicular access to unload wastes for treatment at the Former OB Unit. On the northwest side of the Former OB Unit is a soil berm, measuring approximately 15 ft high and 40 feet long, which protects the unit from shock waves resulting from detonations at the nearby OD Unit. The Former OB Unit design was modified and upgraded in 2002, and this upgrade was approved by the Department on August 29, 2002. The upgrades included modifying the cover to be sloped for drainage of precipitation and to be manually rolled to open and closed positions, and installing the steel container. The rectangular steel container is constructed of heavy-duty steel. The steel container is the equivalent of a Cooper Tank Roll-Off Container, with a capacity of 12 cubic yards. It is 11 ft long, with an inner height of 4.3 ft and an overall height of 5.25 ft. The walls of the container are constructed of 11-gauge steel. A heavy-duty steel door is located at one end of the container, which was opened during waste placement and treatment residue removal. The Former OB Unit has a retractable, galvanized steel cover, which was rolled manually into place with pull chains along a steel framework (C-channels) during non-operational periods. The cover is slightly inclined to prevent precipitation from entering the Unit.

2.2 Soil Sifting and Removal Procedures

The Former OB Unit (i.e., concrete base, walls, and curbing; steel container, cover and framework; brass sorter) will be dismantled and removed. Soils in a 50 ft radius of the unit will sifted to separate scrap metal. The soils will be sifted to a depth of 1 ft. In addition, soils at the

15 ft by 40 ft earthen berm located between the Former OB Unit and the OD Unit will be sifted as well. The Former OB Unit area will be graded after sifting activities are completed. Final verification sampling of the soils remaining at the Former OB Unit will not take place until closure of the OD Unit is conducted.

The tools and equipment used during the sifting and grading of the Former OB Unit soils will be cleaned with detergent and water and scraped as necessary to remove any residue. The wash water will be collected and analyzed. Arrangements will be made for disposal of all containerized wash waters into the City of Albuquerque's sewer treatment system. Otherwise, the wash water will be transported to a permitted disposal facility.

All of the PPE worn by personnel performing closure activities will be disposable; therefore, all PPE will be placed into containers and managed as hazardous waste. Any scrap metal will be placed in containers and managed as a hazardous waste. These wastes will be considered to be contaminated with all of the hazardous waste constituents contained in the wastes that have been treated at the Former OB Unit. All contained PPE wastes will be transported to a permitted facility for disposal.

In the event that any unexploded ordnance (UXO) is discovered during the closure activities, Kirtland AFB EOD personnel will be immediately notified to immediately respond to this discovery. The UXO will be treated at the OD Unit in accordance with permit requirements.

Sampling Procedures

** *

This section describes procedures and methods for soil and liquid sampling applicable to closure activities. While the procedures and methods are specific, other applicable procedures or methods given in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods" (SW-846) may be used if conditions or experience show the alternate method to be more appropriate. All sampling procedures actually used will be annotated in the final closure report. Sampling will be conducted in accordance with procedures given in "Samplers and Sampling Procedures for Hazardous Waste Streams" (EPA 600/2-80-018) or SW-846.

2.3.1 Soil Sampling

Because of the close proximity of the OD Unit to the Former OB Unit, continued operations of the OD Unit allow for the release of hazardous constituents to the surface soils at the Former OB Unit. Therefore, no soil sampling will be done during closure of the OB Unit. Soil sampling will be conducted when the OD Unit, located 250 feet west of the Former OB Unit, is closed. The following is a description of the sampling to be conducted when the OD Unit is closed: The sampling procedure outlined below will be used to determine the presence of hazardous constituents, if any, that have been deposited on the soils at the Former OB Unit, the leaching rate of the hazardous constituents, or the residue level in the soil. The samples will be analyzed for the parameters listed in Table X-2, which include all of the hazardous constituents of the regulated waste that was burned or detonated at the Former OB Unit and the OD Unit. Volatile organics and semivolatile organics are not expected to be found due to the treatment process. If contamination above background is discovered at a 1-foot (0.3 m) depth, a 3-foot (0.9 m) grid centered on the locus of contaminated points will be sited, and additional soil samples will be collected until the area of contamination is defined at both the Former OB Unit and the OD Unit. If contamination is found at the outside grid sampling locations, the limits of the grids will be expanded to determine the horizontal extent of contamination.

Surface soil samples and a sample from the l-foot (0.3 m) depth will be collected with a wooden or Teflon 7trowel or scoop. Disposable sampling tools will be used. In the event that NMED requests split samples, sufficient soils will be collected to provide split samples to the NMED representatives. Sampling will proceed as follows:

• Take small, equal portions of sample from the surface and at the l-foot depth.

• Place each sample in a sample container appropriate for the required analysis (see Tables X-5 and X-6).

• Cap the container, attach a label and seal, preserve as required, record in field logbook, complete the request for analysis and chain-of-custody forms, and deliver the samples to a certified laboratory for analysis.

If analysis shows that soil contamination exists, all contaminated soils will be excavated and removed or a risk assessment performed to demonstrate that any contaminants remaining do not pose a threat to human health or the environment. If this demonstration cannot be made, a post - closure plan will be submitted.

2.4 Appropriate Sample Containers and Preservatives

Samples will be placed in containers compatible with the intended analysis and will be properly prepared and preserved to maintain sample integrity. The most recent version of SW-846 lists the proper container, preservative, and holding time for each chemical parameter of interest, and these requirements will be followed for all samples collected during the closure process.

2.5 Sample Handling and Documentation

Samples will be analyzed at a commercial NELAC certified laboratory. Each sample will be labeled, sealed, and accompanied by a chain-of-custody and a request-for-analysis form. A chain-of-custody form will be used to track samples from collection through analysis to ensure that analytical results can be attributed to specific closure activities or specific areas. The procedures followed during closure will be equivalent to those provided in SW-846 or the edition current at closure. Important aspects of the procedures are presented below. A chain-of-custody form will be prepared for all samples collected for laboratory analyses. The form includes:

- Sample number
- Signature of sample collector
- Date and time of sample collection
- Location at which sample was collected
- Type of waste (e.g., salt, brine, etc.)
- Signatures of persons who have samples in their possession.
- Dates and times of possession.

This form will be initiated at the point of sample collection and will then remain with the sample during transfer to the laboratory. The form will be completed upon receipt at the laboratory and returned to Kirtland AFB for inclusion in facility operating record. The chain-of-custody form will include a request-for analysis form that lists all analyses to be performed for the identified samples and all special instructions relating to sample management or analysis. The sample container must be sealed with a gummed paper seal attached to the container in such a way that the seal must be broken in order to open the container. The seal and sample tag must be completed with a waterproof pen. The sample label is necessary to prevent misidentification of

samples and shall include the following information: a unique sample number; and sample collection date and time, sample location, sample type, depth, and description.

A closure sampling field log book will be kept and will contain all information pertinent to field surveys and sampling. The log book shall have bound and consecutively numbered pages in 8 by 11-inch format. Minimum entries will include:

- Purpose of sample
- Location of sampling (coordinates referenced to staked field points, if soil sample)
- · Name and business address of person making log entry
- Number and volume of sample
- Description of each sampling location, sampling methodology, equipment used, etc.
- Date and time of sample collection
- Sample destination and transporter's name (name of laboratory, UPS, etc.)
- Map or photograph of the sampling site, if any
- Field observations (ambient temperature, sky conditions, past 24-hour precipitation, etc.)
- Field measurements, if any (pH, flammability, conductivity, explosivity, etc.)
- Collector's sample identification number(s)
- Signature of person responsible for the log entry.

Sampling situations vary widely. No general rule can be given as to the extent of information that must be entered in the log book. A good rule, however, is to record sufficient information so that someone can reconstruct the sampling situation without relying on the collector's memory. Documentation of sample acceptance at the laboratory must be provided to the EOD Range Commander following sample screening and log-in. This documentation may consist of signed copies of the chain-of-custody, documentation or a letter detailing the field sample numbers accepted. Corresponding laboratory sample identification numbers should be provided to the project manager. The laboratory is required to have procedures for minimizing cross contamination of samples and securing sample custody within the laboratory.

Closure samples will be analyzed by an approved laboratory. Samples will be packaged and shipped in accordance with DOT shipping requirements (49 CFR Parts 100-199, Transportation). The type of packaging will depend on the protection that must be provided during handling, shipping, and storage. The packaging requirements vary with sample type, media, hazardous substances present, analysis required, and handling and storage conditions. Proper packaging will include consideration of:

- Regulatory requirements
- Type and composition of inner packaging (e.g., plastic bags, metal cans, absorbent packing material, and frozen gel for preservation)
- Type and composition of overpacks (e.g., metal drum or plastic ice chest)
- Method of overpack sealing (e.g., custody tape)
- Marking and labeling of overpacks (e.g., laboratory address, any appropriate DOT hazard class label(s), and handling instructions).

Test methods for analysis of all samples will be performed according to procedures documented in SW-846. Regulated wastes treated at the Former OB Unit and OD Unit are included in these analyses. Recommended analytical methods, detection limits, and instrumentation are provided in Table X-2 for metals analysis; in Table X-3 for organics, perchlorate, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), total organic carbon (TOC), sulfides and pH analysis; and in Table X-4 for high explosives (HE) analysis. Minimum calibration, operation, quality control (bias, precision, blank and matrix effects) and requirements for laboratory analyses shall be performed as listed in the individual analytical methods of SW-846. All laboratory analyst notebooks, log sheets, instrument printouts, charts, and calculations relevant to analyses of these samples shall be identified and remain accessible. This information may be requested for independent review and validation.

2.6 Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program

Because decisions about closure activities may be based, in part, on analyses of potentially contaminated surfaces and media, a program to ensure reliability of analytical data is essential. Data reliability will be ensured by documenting sample management so that analyses are traceable to specific areas of potential contamination and by following a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program that mandates documentation of the precision and accuracy of laboratory analyses. Field QC activities will include collection of QC samples in addition to field documentation requirements. QC samples to be collected include: duplicate samples, trip blanks, field blanks, and rinsate blanks. Table X-5 summarizes field QC sample requirements. Blanks and duplicate samples will be collected to determine potential errors introduced in the data from sample collection and handling activities. To determine the potential for cross contamination, rinsate blanks consisting of rinsate from decontaminated grading equipment will be collected and analyzed. At least one rinsate blank will be collected for every ten samples. Duplicate samples will be collected at a frequency of one duplicate sample for every ten field samples. In no case will less than one rinsate blank or duplicate sample be collected for a sampling effort. These blank and duplicate samples will be identified and treated as separate samples. Acceptance criteria for QA/QC sample analyses will be compatible with the most recent version of SW-846 or other applicable EPA guidance.

The analytical laboratory shall operate under a QA program plan (QAPP) that meets the requirements of SW-846. QC procedures in the analytical laboratory are guided by the laboratory's QAPP. Laboratory QC samples are required to establish the accuracy and precision of analytical data in order to determine the quality of the data. Table X-6 lists laboratory QC procedures by analytical methods.

The analytical laboratory will use the following criteria for data validation:

- Completeness of data deliverable;
- Collection, extraction, and analysis holding times;
- Blank data;
- Laboratory control sample results;
- Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate results;
- Laboratory duplicate sample results; and
- Overall data assessment and usability.

2.7 Decontamination Verification

Sufficient sampling and analysis will be required to demonstrate that hazardous waste residues are not present at the site after closure. Soil and wash water samples will be analyzed for parameters listed in Tables X-2, X-3 and X-4 to verify the presence or absence of hazardous waste contamination. If the extent of soil contamination at the site is such that clean closure cannot be achieved, a post-closure care plan will be prepared to address the constituents

remaining at the site. The analytical results from these samples will provide background data for decontamination verification. Used washdown solutions will also be analyzed for the same parameters listed in Tables X-2, X-3 and X-4. Equipment will be considered to be contaminated if the used wash-water solutions show a significant increase in the analytical parameters over the clean wash solution. A significant increase is determined using statistical methods defined in SW-846. Successful decontamination is defined as:

• No detectable hazardous constituents in the final samples, or

• Detectable hazardous constituents in the final samples are equal to or less than, at the 0.01 confidence level, their concentration in the unused wash water or background sample.

For metals, background soil concentration levels that will be used for comparison to soil contaminant concentrations are contained in "Background Concentrations of Constituents of Concern to the Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico Environmental Restoration Project and the Kirtland Air Force Base Installation Restoration Program" (IT Corporation, 1996). If analysis for metals shows that the soil is contaminated with concentrations which are above background, the results will then be compared to the most current NMED and EPA industrial soil screening levels (SSLs). All other soil contaminant concentrations will also be compared to the most current NMED and EPA industrial SSLs. If the levels of hazardous constituents in the soils exceed the most current NMED and EPA industrial SSLs, additional soils will be excavated and removed, or a risk assessment will be prepared for each constituent showing a significant increase over samples collected from the area. A copy of the completed risk assessment, along with pertinent supporting data, will be provided to NMED for review and approval.

An alternative demonstration of decontamination may be proposed and justified at the time of closure of the OD Unit, as circumstances indicate. The Secretary of the NMED will evaluate the proposed alternative in accordance with standards and guidance then in effect and, if approved incorporate the alternative into the Closure Plan.

3. MANAGEMENT OF WASTE FROM CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

Wastes that are expected to be generated during the closure activities at the Former OB Unit and the OD Unit include: concrete and steel from the removal of the Former OB Unit equipment; excess soil from sampling; decontamination water; PPE; scrap metal and excavated soils. To minimize the amount of waste to be generated during closure activities, excess soil cuttings from borings will be replaced in the auger holes. The volume of liquid waste generated during the cleaning of excavation and grading equipment will be minimized by only using the amount of wash water and rinse water necessary to achieve successful decontamination. Solvents will not be used. This approach will help minimize the amount of liquids that cannot be disposed of in the sanitary sewer system.

3.1 Waste Management

Wastes associated with closure activities at the Former OB Unit and the OD Unit will be managed as follows:

- Wastes will be stored in appropriate containers that are compatible with the wastes and are in good condition.
- Waste containers will remain under the control of the personnel generating the waste.
- Waste containers will be segregated according to the compatibility and chemical waste type.
- Waste will be stored in containers that remain closed, except when adding or removing wastes.
- Waste containers bearing free liquid will be provided with secondary containment.
- Waste containers will be managed in such a manner as to prevent ruptures and leaks.
- Waste containers will be labeled appropriately, pending receipt of analytical results. Labels will be filled out appropriately and marked using permanent marker or pen. Label information will include waste source, suspected contaminants, contents, depth (if appropriate), the date which accumulation began, and a contact name.

If analytical results indicate that the waste is hazardous or the Kirtland AFB project manager determines that the waste will be classified as hazardous, Kirtland AFB will determine the appropriate storage and disposal procedures in accordance with 20.4.1 NMAC 40 CFR Parts 262 and 264 and Kirtland AFB RCRA Operating Permit. The hazardous waste from closure activities will be stored appropriately, pending determination of final disposal.

3.2 Waste Characterization

Characterization of the liquid waste and excavated soil generated during the closure of the Former OB Unit and OD Unit will be based upon the results of sampling and analysis. Decontamination water will be analyzed for the constituents listed in Tables X-2, X-3 and X-4. PPE will be managed as a hazardous waste and will be managed appropriately.

3.3 Waste Disposal

• •

. .

All wastes from closure activities determined to be hazardous will be managed appropriately for subsequent disposal, as determined by the Kirtland AFB CEAN Office. Wastes characterized as nonhazardous (e.g., decontamination liquids) will be evaluated to determine the appropriate disposal method.

4. REFERENCES

EPA, 1986 and all approved updates, "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," SW-846, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

EPA, 1980, "Samplers and Sampling Procedures for Hazardous Waste Streams," EPA-600/2-80-018, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH.

IT Corporation, 1996, "Background Concentrations of Constituents of Concern to the Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico Environmental Restoration Project and the Kirtland Air Force Base Installation Restoration Program," prepared for Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico Environmental Restoration Department 7585, Albuquerque, NM.




Figure X-1 Location of the EOD Range, Former Open Burn Unit and Open Detonation Unit at Kirtland Air Force Base




Figure X-2 Location of Former Open Burn Unit and Open Detonation Unit at the EOD Range

2.

1