Low Cost Air Quality Monitoring Tools

Gordon Pierce Four Corners Air Quality Group September 13, 2017



COLORADO Air Pollution Control Division Department of Public Health & Environment

Traditional monitoring approach

- Permanent fixed sites
- Meet all US EPA requirements
 - Number of sites
 - Siting criteria
- Generally neighborhood scale or greater
- Extensive QA/QC and reporting requirements
- Data used for:
 - NAAQS designations
 - Forecasting/public reporting
 - Health studies
 - Model input/validation





Air Pollution Control Division

Problems with traditional approach

- Does not necessarily address specific community or local concerns
 - Dust
 - Odors
 - Smoke
 - Visible emissions
 - Traffic
 - Perceived risk
- Not source-specific
- Not mobile/portable
 - Lot of knowledge and QA





Desires for special studies and community monitoring

- Do not always need regulatory samplers
- Do not always need long-term permanent sites
- Need to focus on different objectives
- Need portable monitoring equipment
 - Cheaper
 - Smaller
 - Battery powered
- Easy for anyone to operate
- Easier data access
- Better communication with locals/citizens







Pollution Control Division

Common goals between communities and regulators

- Identify sources
- Identify risks
- Engage the public
- Low cost monitoring equipment
- Accurate monitoring equipment
- Provide timely and relevant information
- Protect public health







Data Quality Requirements





Air Pollution Control Division Department of Public Health & Environment

COLORADO

U.S. EPA

"Next Generation" Sensors Overview

7

- Low cost = less than \$2000, many less than \$1000
- Small, easy to transport
- Easy to set up at a location
 - No line power needed
 - No phone line needed
- Unobtrusive
- Easy to use and obtain data
- Common applications:
 - Ozone
 - Carbon monoxide
 - Carbon dioxide
 - Nitrogen dioxide
 - Particulates
 - VOC



COLORADO Air Pollution Control Division Department of Public Health & Environment

Particulate

- Most small sensors based on light scattering or particle counts
- Issues:
 - Airflow to sensor if no fan
 - Sensor sensitivity



- Conversion from scattering or counts to concentration
- Examples of sensors/units:
 - Dylos
 - MicroAeth
 - PurpleAir
 - AirBeam
 - Shinyei
 - Thermo PDR









Gaseous

- Metal oxide or electrochemical sensors
- O₃, CO, NOx, SO₂
- Issues:
 - Short sensor lifespan (2-5 years)
 - Interferences of other gases
 - Temperature/humidity interferences

M-Pod

- Linearity
- Examples of sensors/units
 - CairClip
 CitiSense
 - Aeroqual Aeroqual
 - AQMesh
 - Air Quality Egg Cairpol
 - PurpleAir

Air Pollution Control Division











VOC

- Photoionization or IR sensors
- Issues:
 - Baseline drift with some
 - Sensitivity
 - Sensor lifetime



- Total VOC calibrated to one compound
- Examples of sensors/units:
 - S-Pod
 - MIPEX





Laser spectrometry for methane near-ready



Problems/Concerns with Next-Generation Sensors

- Small, low-cost air monitors are becoming common and easy to obtain
- Anyone can monitor and post data to a website
- Unspecific monitoring objectives
 - Little or no interaction with air quality experts
 - Incorrect pollutants
 - Incorrect averaging times
- More focus on design, data display, networking, mobile/web apps, etc. and not on accuracy...though this is changing
- Quality of the data are unknown
 - Pollutants, detection limits, interferences, accuracy, QA, time scale
 - Sensor technology not fully ready
- Not always suitable for health risk assessments
- Data assimilation with traditional networks difficult
- Hard for agencies to address after data are out

Air Pollution Control Division

11

Sensor concerns

Often no real evaluation of sensors

- No field or chamber testing
- No calibration
- False positives
 - Instrument error, interferences
 - Indoor or personal-scale source



- False negatives
 - Measuring the wrong pollutant
 - Poor sensitivity
 - Result: May result in a false sense of safety
 - Spatial and temporal representativeness





Future

- Next-generation samplers are here
- They have great potential and a lot of uses
- Will likely become a key component of health care
- EPA/State/Locals/Communities need to work together
 - Better communication with manufacturers and communities
 - Outreach and education
 - Guidance for sensor developers/manufacturers
 - Testing/evaluation protocols
 - Manufacturer calibration
 - Clear guidance for communities/users
 - Better health-risk data are needed



Current work

- EPA guidance and sensor testing
- SCAQMD sensor testing lab
- E-Enterprise "Advanced Monitoring Strategy and Implementation"
- ARPA-E for methane leak detection



Opportunities and Challenges A Path Forward for EPA, States, and Tribes

by David Hindin, Ben Grumbles, George Wyeth, Kristen Benedict, Tim Watkins, George (Tad) Abum, Jr., Megan Ulrich, Steve Lang, Kelly Poole, and Alexandra Dapolito Dunn

Rapid changes in monitoring technology have the potential to dramatically improve environmental protection by providing industry, government, and the public with more complete and real-time information on pollution releases and environmental conditions. With more real-time monitoring, we will have a much richer understanding of environmental conditions, and will be able to identify and fix environmental problems sooner. These developments may change not only how environmental programs operate, but also the roles played by citizens, researchers, Industry, and others. We recently recognized this possibility in a 2013 article.¹ Now, we need to act to ensure we are able to take full advantage of the opportunities while addressing the challenges.²

em • The Magazine for Environmental Managers • A&WMA • November 2016



EPA Air Sensor Toolbox

- Provides information for citizen scientists and others on how to select and use low-cost, portable air sensor technology and understand results from monitoring activities
- https://www.epa.gov/air-sensor-toolbox





EPA Guidance and Reports

Available on Air Sensor Toolbox website



South Coast Air Quality Management District

- Air Quality Sensor Performance Evaluation Center (AQ-SPEC) program.
 - Evaluate the performance of commercially available "low-cost" air quality sensors in both field and laboratory settings
 - Provide guidance and clarity for everevolving sensor technology and data interpretation
 - Catalyze the successful evolution, development, and use of sensor technology
 - Evaluation reports available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/aq-spec







E-Enterprise

- Environmental Council of the States (ECOS)
 - Joint with EPA and States
- "Plan for Integrating Advanced Monitoring into our Environmental Programs"
- 5 projects recommended for continuation
 - Perform a detailed options and feasibility analysis on the creation of an independent third-party evaluation/certification program
 - Develop and start executing technology scanning and screening procedures
 - Develop messaging and tools to support the interpretation of monitoring results
 - Develop data use types (tiers) and data standards
 - Lean the current technology approval process
 - https://www.epa.gov/e-enterprise



ARPA-E

DOE Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy

"MONITOR"

- Methane Observation Networks with Innovative Technology to Obtain Reductions
- developing innovative technologies to cost-effectively and accurately locate and measure methane emissions/ leaks associated with natural gas production
- Fixed or mobile applications
- Goal: <\$3000/site/year and detect methane at <6 SCFH
- https://arpa-e.energy.gov



Example: EPA Village Green Project

- Solar-powered air-monitoring system designed and incorporated into a park bench
- EPA began testing the system in the summer of 2013
- 8 sites now active

Pollution Control Division

- Measures O₃, NOx, particulates, meteorology
- Air pollution and weather data are automatically sent to the Village Green Project website
- http://villagegreen.epa.gov





Example: Air Quality Egg

- Egg-shaped base station receives the wirelessly transmitted data from the sensor box outside
- Relays that data to the Internet via a wired Ethernet connection
- On-line map
- CO, NO2, O3, SO2 and PM sensors
- Purchase 2nd generation for \$185
- www.airqualityegg.com







Example: M-Pod

- Developed by University of Colorado
- O₃, NO₂, CO, CO₂, VOC, temperature, RH
- Data uploaded to mobile device and web
- Costs \$300









Example: Dylos

- Laser Particle Counter with 2 size ranges
- Store up to 30 days of air quality history
- Costs \$260 \$425 (depending on model)
- www.dylosproducts.com







Example: CitiSense

- Data wirelessly transmitted to the user's smartphone
- Displayed on the smartphone via a custom app
- Display also utilizes the EPA's color code scale
- O₃, CO, NO₂







Example: PurpleAir

- Built in WiFi for logging to "the cloud"
- Real-time map display and historical data
- Dual laser counters for better particulate readings
 - PM1, PM2.5, PM10
- BME280 temperature, humidity and pressure sensor
- Costs \$230
- www.purpleair.com





25



ollution Control Divisior

Four Corners small sensor projects

- Town of Mancos
 - Dylos DC-1700 particulate monitor
 - Monitoring of emissions from Western Excelsior wood products facility
 - Co-location sampler from EPA
- Navajo Nation
 - "Heating and Indoor Air Quality on the Navajo Nation"
 - U-Pod samplers from University of Colorado
 - Improve low-cost and quiet gas measurement techniques for indoor air quality monitoring applications
 - Inform air quality in homes using different fuels for home heating on the Navajo Nation







Questions?



COLORADO Air Pollution Control Division Department of Public Health & Environment