## GCP-3 Hot Mix Asphalt Plants – Compliance Assessment Checklist Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Con	npany	<i>r</i> :					
Faci	Facility address:						
Faci	Facility: Permit No.:						
Is fa	Is facility co-located with another facility? Aggregate Facility Concrete Batch Plant						
If co	If co-located, are production limits met? Yes No Aggregate Facility ≤ 7800 TPD Concrete Batch Plant ≤ 2400 YPD						
Faci	lity R	epresentative & Title:	Pł	none:			
SBE	SBEAP staff:						
FAC	ILITY	REVIEW: Process equipment, emission sources, contr	ols, and site setbacks				
Pro	perty	Review	Notes:				
Υ	N	Restricted area is properly enclosed as represented in the application					
Υ	N	Plot plan is accurate					
Υ	N	Process flow sheet is correct					
Hot	Mix A	Asphalt Plant					
Υ	N	Equipment matches latest registration or substitution/addition form (attached)	Notes:				
Υ	N	Asphalt plant stack height is ≥ 10 meters (33 ft)					
Υ	N	Mineral filler silo stack height is ≥ 10 meters (33 ft)					
Υ	N	Mineral filler silos have audible & visual alarms					
Υ	N	Alarms activate before mineral filler silo is 95% full					
Υ	N	Mineral filler silos are equipped with a filter of ≥95% efficiency					
Υ	N	Maximum amount of mineral filler is 2.0%					
Υ	N	Particulates collected by control devices are removed or contained to prevent windblown dust					
Υ	N	Recycled filter fines are sent into the drum mixer via a closed loop system					
Υ	N	Fugitive dust control systems (e.g., water sprays, enclosures) are installed on processing equipment such as screens & conveyors					
Υ	N	Engine exhaust is vented vertically					
Υ	N	Heater exhaust is vented vertically					
Υ	N	Restricted area 11-yard setback is met					
		UTME: UTMN: _					
Υ	N	UTM matches application coordinates					

## Acronyms:

**AQB** – Air Quality Bureau **gr/dscf** – grains/dry standard cubic foot **PM10** – Particulate Matter ≤ 10 micron diameter

CO – Carbon Monoxide HP – Horsepower TSP – Total Suspended Particulates

EPA – US Environmental Protection Agency mg/dscm – milligrams/dry standard cubic meter TPD – Tons Per Day

GCP – General Construction Permit NOx – Oxides of Nitrogen YPD – Yards Per Day

FAC	FACILITY REVIEW: Emission sources, controls, and site setbacks (continued)							
Hau	l Roa	ds	Notes: *Also refer to Table III.F.1 in GCP-3 for various limits on					
Υ	N	Length of haul road is ≤ 4 miles*	road length vs. number of truck trips/day					
-		The required fugitive emissions control is used						
		(circle one):						
		→ Water						
		→ Water & base coarse						
		→ Surfactant						
Υ	N	→ Pave & sweep						
Υ	N	→ If water is used, a water truck is available on- site or by mobile service						
Υ	N	Restricted area 11-yard setback is met						
Setl	oacks	for Area of Operations to Occupied Structure & Class	I Area					
		Area of Operations perimeter to occupied structure i	s ≤ 1/4 mile					
		UTME: UTMN:						
Υ	N	UTM matches application coordinates						
		Class I Area perimeter is at least 3 miles from Area of	Operations perimeter					
		UTME: UTMN:						
Υ	N	UTM matches application coordinates						
Visi	ble Er	missions: Permit limit is no more than 5-minutes in any	2 consecutive hours using EPA Method 22					
	Y N Permit limits have been met for visible emissions that cross the restricted area							
	Notes:							
REC	RECORDS REVIEW: Permit and other documents							
Сор	ies of	Documents Kept On-site	Notes:					
Υ	N	GCP-3 permit						
Υ	N	Registration application(s)						
Υ	N	Relocation application(s)						
Υ	N	Approval letter(s)						
		Records of scheduled maintenance activities						
Υ	N	performed						
Υ	N	Copies of manufacturer's (or applicant's proposed) maintenance & operating requirements						
Υ	N	Fuel delivery records that include fuel type and number of gallons purchased						
		If used oil is the fuel: Delivery records <u>also include</u>						
v	NI	sulfur content (weight & percent) & certification						
Υ	N	that fuel specifications in 40 CFR 279.11 are met						
Υ	N	Copies of compliance test documents						
v	.,	Records are on-site or at a local office for at least						
Υ	N	2-years after collection						

5/08/17 Page 2 of 5

REC	RECORDS REVIEW: Notifications and Compliance Tests						
Noti	Notifications to Air Quality Bureau						
Υ	N	Initial start-up submitted within 15-days					
Υ	N	Have there been any equipment changes?					
Υ	N	Have there been any changes to production rates?					
Υ	N	Have there been any changes to operating hours?					
Υ	N	Have there been any changes to the length of the hau	ıl road?				
Υ	N	Have there been any changes to facility contacts?					
Υ	N	Have there been any changes in ownership?					
		Has your small business status changed due to an inc	rease in annual production rate and total engine capacity, or				
Υ	N	number of employees (>10)?	Aif at its and within 40 days Miles				
Υ	N	• •	otification submitted within 10 days: When excess emissions sions limit exceeded when crossing restricted area perimeter				
Initi	al Co	mpliance Tests – Engines ≥ 180 hp	Notes:				
Υ	N	Completed within 60 days of initial start-up					
		Test methods used were:					
		→ NOx & CO - EPA Methods or portable analyzers authorized by AQB					
Υ	N	→ Opacity - EPA Method 9  → Opacity - EPA Method 9					
		Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at					
Υ	N	least 30-days before test date					
Υ	N	Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed					
Υ	N	Test passed for NOx, CO, & opacity					
Initi	al Co	mpliance Tests – Heaters > 5 MMBtu	Notes:				
Υ	N	Completed within 60 days of initial start-up					
		Test methods used were:					
		→ NOx & CO - EPA Methods <u>or</u> portable analyzers authorized by AQB					
Υ	N	→ Opacity - EPA Method 9					
.,		Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at					
Υ	N	least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no					
Υ	N	later than 45-days after test completed					
Υ	N	Test passed for NOx, CO, & opacity					
Initi	al Co	mpliance Tests – Dryer Stack	Notes:				
Υ	N	Completed within 60 days of initial start-up					
		Test methods used were:					
		<ul><li>→ NOx &amp; CO - EPA Methods</li><li>→ TSP &amp; PM10 combined - EPA Methods</li></ul>					
Υ	N	→ Opacity - EPA Method 9					
	Α.	Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at					
Υ	N	least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no					
Υ	N	later than 45-days after test completed					
Υ	N	Test passed for NOx, CO, TSP/PM10 & opacity					

DISCLAIMER: This checklist may not include all requirements of the General Construction Permit-3

5/08/17 Page 3 of 5

Test method used:  N   Completed within 60 days of initial start-up  Test method used:  N   Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  N   Test passed for visible emissions    N   Completed within 60 days of initial start-up   Test method used:   N   Completed within 60 days of initial start-up   Test method used:   N   Test passed submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date   N   Test passed for visible emissions    N   Test passed for visible emissions   Test passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)    N   Test passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)	Test method used:  → Visible emissions - EPA Method 22  Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Test passed for visible emissions  mpliance Tests - Dryer Filter	Notes:					
Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  N Test passed for visible emissions  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Test method used:  N Completed within 60 days of initial start-up  Test method used:  N Visible emissions - EPA Method 22  Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  N Test passed for visible emissions  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Test method used:  N Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  N N Test passed for visible emissions  Notes:  Completed within 60 days of initial start-up  Test method used:  N N Hopper Screen Conveyor  ests are completed at least once per month  Y N Y N Y N  N N  N M  N M	→ Visible emissions - EPA Method 22  Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Test passed for visible emissions  Impliance Tests - Dryer Filter	Notes:					
Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Notes:  Test method used:  Notes:  Test protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test method used:  Notes:  Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Notes:  Test passed for visible emissions	least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  Test passed for visible emissions  Impliance Tests – Dryer Filter	Notes:					
N   later than 45-days after test completed     N   Test passed for visible emissions     Notes:     N   Completed within 60 days of initial start-up     Test method used:	later than 45-days after test completed  Test passed for visible emissions  mpliance Tests – Dryer Filter	Notes:					
Notes:    N   Completed within 60 days of initial start-up	mpliance Tests – Dryer Filter	Notes:					
Initial Compliance Tests – Dryer Filter  I N Completed within 60 days of initial start-up  Test method used:  I N → Visible emissions - EPA Method 22  Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  I N Test passed for visible emissions  Monthly Monitoring Tests – Opacity (EPA Method 9)  Hopper Screen Conveyor ests are completed at least once per month  Y N Y N Y N  Y N  Y N  Y N  Y N  Y N		Notes:					
Test method used:  ✓ N → Visible emissions - EPA Method 22  Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  ✓ N Test passed for visible emissions  Monthly Monitoring Tests – Opacity (EPA Method 9)  ests are completed at least once per month  est passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)  Y N Y N Y N  Y N Y N  Y N  Y N  Y N	Completed within 60 days of initial start-up						
Test protocols submitted to AQB for approval at least 30-days before test date  Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  N Test passed for visible emissions  Tonthly Monitoring Tests − Opacity (EPA Method 9)  Test passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)  Tonthly Monitoring Tests − Opacity (EPA Method 9)							
Test results and protocols submitted to AQB no later than 45-days after test completed  No Test passed for visible emissions  Monthly Monitoring Tests – Opacity (EPA Method 9)  Test passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)  Hopper Screen Conveyor  No Y N Y N Y N  Y N Y N  Y N Y N  Y N							
N   than 45-days after test completed							
Monthly Monitoring Tests – Opacity (EPA Method 9)  ests are completed at least once per month  est passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)  Hopper  Y  N  N	•						
ests are completed at least once per month  est passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  Y  N  N	Test passed for visible emissions						
ests are completed at least once per month  Y N Y N Y N S est passed opacity limits (no visible emissions) Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y	Monitoring Tests – Opacity (EPA Method 9)	Нор	per	Scr	een	Conv	eyor
est passed opacity limits (no visible emissions)  Y N Y N N		Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N
	·	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N
	, , ,						

Emi	issior	Limits – Dust control systems with stacks	Silo Filter		Dryer Filter		Wet Scrubber	
Υ	N	Particulate emissions do not exceed 90 mg/dscm (0.04 gr/dscf) using EPA Method 5	Υ	N	Y	N	Υ	N
Υ	N	Particulate emissions do not exceed 20% opacity using EPA Method 9	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
Υ	N	Silo filter meets visible emissions limit of ≤ 5 minutes in any 2 consecutive hours using EPA Method 22						
Υ	N	Recycle operations for filter fines meets visible emissions limit of ≤ 5 minutes in any 2 consecutive hours using EPA  N Method 22						

Notes:

5/08/17 Page 4 of 5

Recordkeeping – Daily, monthly, or by activity					
Υ	N	Daily hours of operation are recorded			
Υ	N	Daily operating hours match application			
Υ	N	Daily total production (tons) is recorded			
Υ	N	Daily production matches application			
Υ	N	Maximum hourly asphalt production rate is ≤ 600 tons			
Υ	N	Weekly total production (tons) is recorded			
Υ	N	Weekly rolling 12-month total production is calculated & recorded			
Υ	N	Weekly available HP of all existing engine units located on-site is recorded			
Υ	N	Maximum available HP of units on initial registration form at any time during past 52 weeks is recorded			
Υ	N	CO emissions from engines & asphalt production combined do not exceed 95 tons/year Verify with the <u>Carbon Monoxide Emission Calculation Tool</u>			
Υ	N	Number of haul truck trips per day is recorded (includes materials delivery & product)			
Υ	N	Haul road controls: Amount & frequency of water used is recorded (if applicable)			
Υ	N	Haul road controls: Amount & frequency of base course used is recorded (if applicable)			
Υ	N	Haul road controls: Amount & frequency of surfactant used is recorded (if applicable)			
Υ	N	Haul road controls: Frequency of sweeping paved roads is recorded (if applicable)			
		Dryer filter: When operating, the differential pressure across the filters is continually measured using a differential			
Υ	N	pressure gauge & recorded by a CEMS or data logger			
		Dryer filter: Manufacturer's recommended pressure drop of inches is being met  If manufacturer's specifications are not available, then the pressure drop is within the operating range established by			
Υ	N	Method 22 for no visible emissions during initial compliance tests			
Υ	N	Mineral filler silo filter: During silo loading, the pressure drop across the filters is continually measured using a differential pressure gauge & recorded by a CEMS or data logger			
		Mineral filler silo filter: Manufacturer's recommended pressure drop of inches is being met			
Υ	N	If manufacturer's specifications are not available, then the pressure drop is within the operating range established by Method 22 for no visible emissions during initial compliance tests			
		Wet scrubber: The differential pressure across the scrubber, water inlet flow rate (gallons/minute) & water inlet			
		pressure (pounds/square inch) is continuously monitored Water flow, water pressure, & pressure drop is recorded twice/day (morning & afternoon)			
Υ	N	The date & time of measurement & name of person making the measurement is also recorded			
Υ	N	Wet scrubber: Manufacturer's recommended pressure drop of inches is being met			
Υ	N	Monthly monitoring tests for opacity (EPA Method 9) for hopper, screen, & conveyor are recorded			
Not	es:				

5/08/17 Page 5 of 5