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1. Public Involvement Plan (PIP) Overview and Regulatory Framework for Public Participation

The New Mexico Environment Department ("Department"), Radiation Control Bureau ("Bureau") developed this Public Involvement Plan ("PIP") for the New Radioactive Material License Application Evaluation for Alara, LLC for a Radiopharmacy ("Alara") located at 1155 S. Telshor Suite 305, Las Cruces, New Mexico.

The Bureau encourages the public to learn about and get involved in regulatory decision-making opportunities for Alara. The purpose of this PIP is to provide public participation opportunities and information that may be needed to participate in the licensing process related to this site.

The Bureau will adhere to the public participation requirements for the licensing process by following this PIP, which includes all applicable policy, regulatory and statutory public notice and participation requirements. The PIP identifies the Department staff and resources needed to accomplish these activities.

Upon a determination that the New Radioactive Material License Application for Alara Radiopharmacy to distribute medical isotopes for diagnostic and therapy is administratively complete, the Department will give notice pursuant to Subsection B of 20.3.3.310 NMAC Public Notices, Participation and Hearing. Subsection B, Paragraph (2) provides for notices to the public published in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the area of the proposed activity for the new license application, and in other newspapers as deemed appropriate by the Department Secretary. In addition, the Department will post an electronic Public Notice in English and Spanish on its web site https://www.env.nm.gov/rcb/ for the period defined in this PIP. The Public comment period pursuant to 20.3.3.310 NMAC, Subsection E, for New License Application that is deemed administratively complete, will be for a period of at least 30 days during which time written comments or questions about the license application may be submitted by any interested person, in accordance with 20.3.3.310 NMAC, Subsection F. If the Secretary determines that there is significant public interest, or that there is a need to resolve issues not resolvable in writing, the Secretary shall order a public hearing be held to provide guidance on any issue relevant to the license proceeding. Any such public hearing shall be conducted pursuant to the hearing procedures in 20.1.4 NMAC.

Notice of the public hearing shall be given at least 30 days prior to the hearing to the persons and in the manner specified in Subsection C, 20.1.4.200 NMAC.

If the Secretary determines that the questions are relevant to the requirements in 20.3.3.307 NMAC, 20.3.3.308 NMAC and any specific requirements for the type of license requested, the secretary shall require the applicant to answer them.

Notices. The secretary shall give a notice of acceptance of a new license application, described in Subsection A 20.3.3.310 NMAC: to the applicant, by certified mail; and to the public, by the publication of a notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the area of the proposed activity in the license application, and in other newspapers as deemed appropriate by the
secretary; the secretary shall make a good faith effort to notify of acceptance of a new application, by first-class mail: to any local, state, Indian Tribal government or federal government agency that the secretary determine may be significantly affected or interested; and to any other person who, prior to such notice, has requested in writing such notices.

The notices shall include:
(1) the name and address of the applicant;
(2) the location of the proposed activity;
(3) a brief description of the procedures to be followed by the secretary in making a final determination;
(4) a brief description of the proposed activity;
(5) the time within which written comments and requests for public hearings will be accepted; and
(6) the means by which interested persons may obtain further information;
(7) the following sample notice satisfies these requirements:

PUBLIC NOTICE
The New Mexico Environment Department (the Department) has received an application for a Radioactive Material License from Alara, LLC Radiopharmacy ("Alara") located at 1155 S. Telshor Suite 305, Las Cruces, New Mexico (company name and address) for Radiopharmacy to manufacture and distribute medical radioisotopes for diagnostic and therapy purposes to licensed medical facilities as requested (proposed activity) to be located at 1155 S. Telshor Suite 305, Las Cruces, New Mexico (location).

During the early part of the evaluation period, the Department will review and comment upon the application. The NMED may, at its discretion, retain consultants to assist it in its evaluation of the application. Relevant comments and questions received by the NMED from various agencies and interested parties will be forwarded to the applicant for its response. Correspondence associated with the application will be on file with the Radiation Control Bureau and will be available for inspection by the applicant and any other interested parties (refer to Element 3 of this PIP). The Department has required the applicant to provide complete plans and other materials addressing, among other things, the public health, safety and environmental aspects of the proposed activity. The Department will analyze the license application carefully. During this analysis, the application will be reviewed to ensure that there are no deficiencies, that the application meets all applicable requirements and that there is no reason to believe that the operation will violate any laws or regulations. If the Department is so satisfied, it will issue a Radioactive Material License, to expire in five years. The activities of all licensees are inspected periodically to assure compliance with regulations and license conditions.

The application is available for review at NMED’s offices of the Radiation Control Bureau in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

It is anticipated that the review period will require about one month. Written comments and requests for public hearing will be accepted for thirty days after publication of this notice. Written comments regarding this license application should be directed to Radiation Control Bureau, Environment Department, Attention of Victor Diaz, P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469.
In developing this PIP, community participation needs were assessed to ensure appropriate promotion of public outreach by identifying whether there is a combination of environmental and demographic factors (i.e., low income community, minority community, limited English proficiency individuals, Linguistically Isolated Households, etc.) that may impact public participation (refer to Element 3 of this PIP). This assessment identifies community outreach needs and provides for public access opportunities above and beyond statutorily mandated requirements and underscores the provision of adequate public access to information about the licensing process.

The site for Alara, LLC is in a city with a relatively large community of Spanish speakers, and the Bureau will provide pertinent information in both English and Spanish in public notices and related announcements.

At any time during the licensing process, the Application and relevant documents may be reviewed at the repository identified in Element 2. Bureau Contact, of this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public notice of filing of Application with Radiation Control Bureau (notice performed by Applicant)</td>
<td>December 22, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribute PIP (website and repository)</td>
<td>Date to added when approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Chief of the Radiation Control Bureau provides final approval of the PIP and amendments. This PIP is a “living” document that may be amended after considering public comments and feedback.

2. Bureau Contact

The Bureau contact for questions about the licensing process, site, or this PIP, is listed below:

Victor Diaz, Environmental Scientist & Specialist
Licensing Reviewer Radioactive Material License
NMED Radiation Control Bureau
P.O. Box 5469, 1100 St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469
Telephone: 505-476-8601 (M, T, W, Th, F) 8am to 5pm
Fax: 505-476-8654
E-mail: victor.diaz@state.nm.us

Non-English Language Speaker Assistance

Non-English speakers may call the Bureau contact listed in this PIP and request language assistance services, such as an interpreter, to learn more about the licensing process.
Services may be arranged for translation of documents for public notices, and for interpreters during in the event of a public hearings, and for obtaining services for persons with disabilities. A phone-based interpretation service may be available for languages other than English.

**Assistance for Individuals with Disabilities**

Disabled individuals may call the Bureau contact listed in this PIP and request assistance needed to participate in activities associated with this permitting process.

The following numbers will be provided in public notices so that hearing-impaired callers can contact the Bureau and ask questions about the activity or proceeding: Toll-free numbers are available for TDD or TTY users to access the New Mexico Relay network (for deaf or hearing-impaired callers), 1-800-659-1779; TTY users: 1-800-659-8331.

Persons requiring non-English Language Speaker Assistance, assistance for an interpreter or auxiliary aid (e.g., accommodations for persons who are disabled) to participate in the event of a public hearings related to the licensing process, will be directed in public notices to the Bureau Contact, allowing as much time as possible, but at least 14 days prior to the hearing date.

**Department Websites**

New Mexico Environment Department - [https://www.env.nm.gov/](https://www.env.nm.gov/)

**3. Affected Community Based on the EJSCREEN Summary**

To provide for adequate public participation opportunities and meaningful involvement of persons in the licensing process, and to address potential or existing environmental justice areas, the affected communities must first be identified, informed about proposed environmental actions affecting the community, and invited to share their comments and concerns. The EJSCREEN tool developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) helps identify communities that are low income and minority populations that may benefit from a variety of approaches for notification and outreach communication. This information is used to help encourage the community’s involvement in the public process for environmental activities or actions. In this case, the activity is consideration of license issuance of a Radioactive Material for Alara, LLC Radiopharmacy facility in Las Cruces, NM.

An “ACS Summary Report” was generated using EJSCREEN for the area within a 1-mile radius of the Alara facility. The following information was obtained from the ACS Summary Report for the affected community:

- Total population: 13,047
- Number of households: 5,309
• Per capita income for the affected community: $21,792
• Per capita income, USA (obtained from “U.S. per capita personal income 2016”): $31,177
• Proportion minority population: 58 percent

Percentage of the minority population by race:
  ► Proportion Hispanic population: 49 percent
  ► Proportion American Indian population: 2 percent

Percentage of linguistically isolated households [% (Number of Linguistically Isolated Households (180) + Number of Households (5,309)) × 100 = 3.4%]

Information from the EJSCREEN ACS Summary Report and EJSCREEN Report (2012-2016) was used to conduct an assessment (see Attachment A, ACS Summary Report, the U.S. per capita personal income table) of the need for services targeted to individuals of limited English proficiency (“LEP”). The proportion of linguistically isolated households within the one-mile radius around the facility, i.e., households in which no one 14 years or older speaks English “very well” at home, or speaks English only, is 3.4 percent, as compared to the linguistically isolated population in New Mexico which is 5 percent, and in the United States which is 4 percent. Of the linguistically isolated households in the affected community, Spanish is the primary non-English language spoken (67 percent), followed by Asian-Pacific Island languages at 12%, and “other” languages at 21 percent.

It is important for the Bureau to consider the linguistic and communication needs of the affected community when providing notice to the public for the new license applications required by 20.3.3.310 NMAC. Based on the EJSCREEN results described above and the LEP assessment (see Attachment B, LEP Four Factor Analysis), the Bureau will provide information in Spanish and English when providing public notices for the application process related to Alara Radiopharmacy.

4. Detailed Public Notice and Participation and Outreach Activities for Radioactive Material License Application, Alara, LLC Radiopharmacy Facility

The Bureau welcomes questions or comments on Alara, LLC Radiopharmacy facility license applications at any time during the licensing process. The Bureau evaluates all public comments, places the comments in the administrative record for the licensing action, and incorporates public input into the Bureau’s comments on new license Applications as appropriate pursuant to 20.3.3.310 NMAC.

The NMED Secretary makes decisions regarding the issuance, issuance with conditions, or denial of Alara, LLC Radiopharmacy facility license Applications following a public notice pursuant to 20.3.3.310 NMAC.

The Bureau’s plans for facilitating public notice in the licensing action for Alara Radiopharmacy
Facility is detailed below.

**Public Notice Requirements per Applicable Laws**

The Radiation Protection Act (NMSA 1978, § 74-3-9) and the Radiation Control Bureau (20.3.3.310 NMAC) address notice to be provided by the Bureau to the public regarding the submittal of a Radioactive Material facility license Application. This notice is provided at the start of the licensing process and informs the public that the Bureau’s review of a license Application has begun. It is common practice for Bureau to provide notice of the submittal of a license Application in English and Spanish. The Bureau is required to provide notice in the following manner:

"20.3.3.310 PUBLIC NOTICE, PARTICIPATION AND HEARING:

A. Within 60 days following:
(1) initial receipt of a new license application, or each additional submission of information by the applicant, the secretary will either accept the application for a new license for a review and give notice pursuant to Subsection B of this section, or notify the applicant in writing of any deficiencies in the application that must be corrected in order for the application to be accepted for review;

(2) a license amendment or license renewal application requesting a change of the location where radioactive material will be stored or used, the secretary will issue notices pursuant to Subsection B of this section;

(3) a license amendment or license renewal application requesting a change of principal activity, the secretary will issue notices pursuant to Subsection B of this section.

B. Notices. The secretary shall give a notice of acceptance of a new application, license amendment or renewal license application described in Subsection A of this section:

(1) to the applicant, by certified mail; and

(2) to the public, by the publication of a notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the area of the proposed activity in the license application, and in other newspapers as deemed appropriate by the secretary;

(3) the secretary shall make a good faith effort to notify of acceptance of a new application, license amendment or renewal license application described in of Subsection A of this section by first-class mail:
   (a) any local, state, Indian tribal government or federal government agency that the secretary determines may be significantly affected or interested; and
   (b) any other person who, prior to such notice, has requested in writing such notices.

C. The notice specified in Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of this section shall include:
(1) the name and address of the applicant;
(2) the location of the proposed activity;
(3) a brief description of the procedures to be followed by the secretary in making a final determination;
(4) a brief description of the proposed activity;
(5) the time within which written comments and requests for public hearings will be accepted; and
(6) the means by which interested persons may obtain further information;
(7) the following sample notice satisfies the requirements of this section (note: underlined is specific to the Alara, LLC license Application):

PUBLIC NOTICE
The New Mexico Environment Department (the Department) has received an application for a Radioactive Material License from Alara, LLC Radiopharmacy for the dispensing of radiopharmaceuticals to medical facilities performing patient diagnostic testing as allowed by the medical facility license, Alara, LLC Radiopharmacy to be located at 1155 S. Telshor Blvd, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88011.

During the early part of the evaluation period, the Department will review and comment upon the application. The NMED may, at its discretion, retain consultants to assist it in its evaluation of the application. Relevant comments and questions received by the NMED from various agencies and interested parties will be forwarded to the applicant for its response. Correspondence associated with the application will be on file with the Radiation Control Bureau and will be available for inspection by the applicant and any other interested parties.

The Department has required the applicant to provide complete plans and other materials addressing, among other things, the public health, safety and environmental aspects of the proposed activity.

The Department will analyze the license application carefully. During this analysis, the application will be reviewed to ensure that there are no deficiencies, that the application meets all applicable requirements and that there is no reason to believe that the operation will violate any laws or regulations. If the Department is so satisfied, it will issue a Radioactive Material License, to expire in five years.

The activities of all licensees are inspected periodically to assure compliance with regulations and license conditions.

The application is available for review at NMED’s offices of the Radiation Control Bureau at Joseph Montoya building, 1100 St. Francis Drive, suite 2022, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

It is anticipated that the review period will require about one month. Written comments and requests for public hearing will be accepted for thirty days after publication of this notice.
Written comments regarding this license application should be directed to Radiation Control Bureau, Environment Department, P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469.

D. The department shall maintain all licensees' administrative record, which shall be available for public inspection at the department office in Santa Fe.

E. Public comment period.
(1) Following the notice pursuant to Subsections B and C of this section and prior to ruling on any new application, or amendment request or renewal license application of the type described in Subsection A of this section, the secretary shall allow for a period of at least 30 days during which written comments or questions about the license application may be submitted by any interested person. If the secretary determines that the questions are relevant to the requirements in 20.3.3.307 NMAC, 20.3.3.308 NMAC and any specific requirements for the type of license requested, the secretary shall require the applicant to answer them.

(2) Following the notice of acceptance of the license application pursuant to Subsections A through C of this section and prior to ruling on any application required to be accompanied by an environmental report pursuant to Subsection H of 20.3.3.307 NMAC, the secretary shall allow a period of at least 60 days during which written comments or questions may be submitted by any interested person. If the secretary determines that the questions are relevant to the considerations enumerated in Subsection H of 20.3.3.307 NMAC or 20.3.3.308 NMAC, the secretary shall require the applicant to answer them.

The secretary may allow an additional written comment period upon submission of additional information to the license application, amendment request or renewal license application described by Subsection A of this section by the applicant, or upon request by members of the public. A written request for a hearing may be made by the members of the public within the time period specified in the public notice described in Subsection C of this section.

F. If the secretary determines that there is significant public interest, or that there is a need to resolve issues not resolvable in writing, the secretary shall order a public hearing be held to provide guidance on any issue relevant to the license proceeding. Notice of the public hearing shall be given at least 30 days prior to the hearing to the persons and in the manner specified in Subsection C of 20.1.4.200 NMAC. Any such public hearing shall be conducted pursuant to the hearing procedures in 20.1.4 NMAC.”

NMSA 1978, 74-3-9 Licensing of radioactive material; appeal;

“A. It is unlawful for a person to possess, use, store, dispose of, manufacture, process, repair or alter any radioactive material unless he holds:

(1) a license issued by the nuclear regulatory commission and notification by the licensee to the agency of license identification;
(2) a license issued by an agreement state and notification by the licensee to the agency of license identification; or

(3) a license issued by the agency.

B. The agency shall issue licenses, collect license, registration and other related fees and deposit those fees in the radiation protection fund and shall approve requests for reciprocity in accordance with procedures prescribed by rule of the board. License applications shall be made on forms provided by the agency. The agency shall not issue a license unless the applicant has demonstrated the capability of complying with all applicable rules of the board.

C. The board may, by rule, establish radiation license, registration and other related fees and exempt from the requirements of licensure specific quantities of any radioactive material determined by the board not to constitute a health or environmental hazard.

D. The holding of a license issued by the agency, the nuclear regulatory commission or an agreement state does not relieve the licensee from the responsibility of complying with all applicable rules of the board.

E. A person who is or may be affected by licensing action of the agency may appeal to the district court pursuant to the provisions of Section 39-3-1.1 NMSA 1978.”

Public Involvement Activities

Public Notice: The notice of application will be published by the Bureau once in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the facility is proposed to be located. The Las Cruces Sun will be used for notice publication. The notice will be published in the classified or legal advertisements section of the newspaper, in English and Spanish.

Electronic Posting of Public Notice: The Bureau will post the public notice on the Radiation Control Bureau portion of NMED’s website https://www.env.nm.gov/rcb/. Electronically-posted notices will be provided in English and Spanish.

Public Repository: The application will be available for public review at NMED’s offices of the Radiation Control Bureau Joseph Montoya building, 1100 St. Francis Drive, Suite 2022, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

This PIP is a “living” document that may be amended by the Bureau for numerous reasons, including the consideration of public comments and feedback.
**Summary of ACS Estimates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012 - 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>13,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density (per sq. mile)</td>
<td>4,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>7,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Minority</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>5,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units</td>
<td>6,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units Built Before 1950</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Capita Income</td>
<td>21,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Area (sq. miles) [Source: SF1]</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Land Area</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Area (sq. miles) [Source: SF1]</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Water Area</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population by Race</th>
<th>2012 - 2016 ACS Estimates</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13,047</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Reporting One Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>12,639</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>1,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>11,367</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>1,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Reporting Two or More Races</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,410</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>1,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone</td>
<td>5,498</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Alone</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian Alone</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian Alone</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander Alone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race Alone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races Alone</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population by Sex</th>
<th>2012 - 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6,496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population by Age</th>
<th>2012 - 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-4</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-17</td>
<td>2,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 18+</td>
<td>10,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 65+</td>
<td>1,971</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Note:** Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race.
N/A means not available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2012 - 2016.
### Population 25+ by Educational Attainment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attainment</th>
<th>2012 - 2016 ACS Estimates</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,402</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 9th Grade</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduate</td>
<td>1,391</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College, No Degree</td>
<td>2,146</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Degree</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's Degree or more</td>
<td>3,045</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Population Age 5+ Years by Ability to Speak English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ability to Speak English</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speak only English</td>
<td>12,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-English at Home</td>
<td>8,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Speak English &quot;very well&quot;</td>
<td>3,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Speak English &quot;well&quot;</td>
<td>3,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Speak English &quot;not well&quot;</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Speak English &quot;not at all&quot;</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd: Speak English &quot;less than well&quot;</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+3+4: Speak English &quot;less than very well&quot;</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Linguistically Isolated Households*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speak Spanish</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak Other Indo-European Languages</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak Asian-Pacific Island Languages</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak Other Languages</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Households by Household Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Base</th>
<th>2012 - 2016 ACS Estimates</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $15,000</td>
<td>5,309</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 - $25,000</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 - $50,000</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 - $75,000</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 +</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Occupied Housing Units by Tenure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>5,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied</td>
<td>2,097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Employed Population Age 16+ Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Status</th>
<th>2012 - 2016 ACS Estimates</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,590</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Labor Force</td>
<td>6,624</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian Unemployed in Labor Force</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not In Labor Force</td>
<td>3,966</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Population by Language Spoken at Home**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Spoken at Home</th>
<th>2012 - 2016 ACS Estimates</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (persons age 5 and above)</td>
<td>10,527</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>6,910</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>3,205</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Creole</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yiddish</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other West Germanic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbo-Croatian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Slavic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarathi</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon-Khmer, Cambodian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Island</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Native American</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other and non-specified</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-English</td>
<td>3,617</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Note:** Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race.

*Population by Language Spoken at Home is available at the census tract summary level and up.*
### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>12,724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density (per sq. mile)</td>
<td>4,295</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>7,447</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Minority</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>5,717</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units</td>
<td>6,095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Area (sq. miles)</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Land Area</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Area (sq. miles)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Water Area</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Population by Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Reporting One Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>9,812</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race</td>
<td>1,598</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Reporting Two or More Races</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,535</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,189</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone</td>
<td>5,277</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Alone</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian Alone</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian Alone</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander Alone</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race Alone</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races Alone</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Population by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6,017</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6,707</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Population by Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-4</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-17</td>
<td>2,622</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 18+</td>
<td>10,102</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 65+</td>
<td>1,927</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Households by Tenure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,717</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied</td>
<td>3,453</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Note:** Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race.

**Source:** U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1.
## Summary of ACS Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2012 - 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>13,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density (per sq. mile)</td>
<td>4,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>7,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Minority</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>5,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units</td>
<td>6,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units Built Before 1950</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Capita Income</td>
<td>21,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1)</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Land Area</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Area (sq. miles) (Source: SF1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Water Area</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Population by Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>2012 - 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Reporting One Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>12,639</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,410</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,837</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone</td>
<td>5,488</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Alone</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian Alone</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian Alone</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander Alone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race Alone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races Alone</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Population by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>2012 - 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6,551</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6,496</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Population by Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>2012 - 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-4</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-17</td>
<td>2,674</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 18+</td>
<td>10,374</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 65+</td>
<td>1,971</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race. N/A means not available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2012 - 2016.
### Population 25+ by Educational Attainment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>2012 - 2016 ACS Estimates</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,402</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 9th Grade</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th - 12th Grade, No Diploma</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Graduate</td>
<td>1,391</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College, No Degree</td>
<td>2,146</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Degree</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's Degree or more</td>
<td>3,045</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Population Age 5+ Years by Ability to Speak English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ability to Speak English</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speak only English</td>
<td>8,175</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-English at Home&lt;12+3+14</td>
<td>3,930</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Speak English &quot;very well&quot;</td>
<td>3,270</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Speak English &quot;well&quot;</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3Speak English &quot;not well&quot;</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Speak English &quot;not at all&quot;</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3&lt;4Speak English &quot;less than well&quot;</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2&lt;3&lt;4Speak English &quot;less than very well&quot;</td>
<td>-660</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Linguistically Isolated Households*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speak Spanish</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak Other Indo-European Languages</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak Asian-Pacific Island Languages</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak Other Languages</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Households by Household Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income Base</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $15,000</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 - $25,000</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 - $50,000</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 - $75,000</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$75,000 +</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Occupied Housing Units by Tenure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>2,097</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied</td>
<td>3,212</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Employed Population Age 16+ Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment Status</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Labor Force</td>
<td>6,624</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian Unemployed in Labor Force</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not In Labor Force</td>
<td>3,966</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Data Note: Data may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race.

N/A means not available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS)

*Households in which no one 14 and over speaks English "very well" or speaks English only.

February 15, 2019
**Population by Language Spoken at Home**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language Spoken at Home</th>
<th>2012 - 2016 ACS Estimates</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>MOE (±)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (persons age 5 and above)</td>
<td>10,527</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>6,910</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>3,205</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Creole</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yiddish</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other West Germanic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbo-Croatian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Slavic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarathi</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon-Khmer, Cambodian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Island</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Native American</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other and non-specified</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-English</td>
<td>3,617</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Note:** Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race.

N/A means not available. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey (ACS) 2012 - 2016.

*Population by Language Spoken at Home is available at the census tract summary level and up.*
1 mile Ring Centered at 32.305655,-106.738333, NEW MEXICO, EPA Region 6
Approximate Population: 13,047
Input Area (sq. miles): 3.14

**Selected Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EJ Indexes</th>
<th>State Percentile</th>
<th>EPA Region Percentile</th>
<th>USA Percentile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for PM2.5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for Ozone</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for NATA* Diesel PM</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for NATA* Air Toxics Cancer Risk</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for NATA* Respiratory Hazard Index</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for Traffic Proximity and Volume</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for Lead Paint Indicator</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for Superfund Proximity</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for RMP Proximity</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for Hazardous Waste Proximity</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EJ Index for Wastewater Discharge Indicator</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EJ Indexes**

This report shows the values for environmental and demographic indicators and EJSCREEN indexes. It shows environmental and demographic raw data (e.g., the estimated concentration of ozone in the air), and also shows what percentile each raw data value represents. These percentiles provide perspective on how the selected block group or buffer area compares to the entire state, EPA region, or nation. For example, if a given location is at the 95th percentile nationwide, this means that only 5 percent of the US population has a higher block group value than the average person in the location being analyzed. The years for which the data are available, and the methods used, vary across these indicators. Important caveats and uncertainties apply to this screening-level information, so it is essential to understand the limitations on appropriate interpretations and applications of these indicators. Please see EJSCREEN documentation for discussion of these issues before using reports.

February 15, 2018
EJSCREEN Report (Version 2018)

1 mile Ring Centered at 32.305655,-106.738333, NEW MEXICO, EPA Region 6

Approximate Population: 13,047
Input Area (sq. miles): 3.14

Sites reporting to EPA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites Reporting to EPA</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superfund NPL</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDF)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

February 15, 2019
**EJSCREEN Report (Version 2018)**

1 mile Ring Centered at 32.305655,-106.738333, NEW MEXICO, EPA Region 6

Approximate Population: 13,047

Input Area (sq. miles): 3.14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Variables</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>State Avg.</th>
<th>%ile in State</th>
<th>EPA Region Avg.</th>
<th>%ile in EPA Region</th>
<th>USA Avg.</th>
<th>%ile in USA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental Indicators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate Matter (PM 2.5 in µg/m³)</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ppb)</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATA* Diesel PM (µg/m³)</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>60-70th</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>&lt;50th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATA* Cancer Risk (lifetime risk per million)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>&lt;50th</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50-60th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATA* Respiratory Hazard Index</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>&lt;50th</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>&lt;50th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Proximity and Volume (daily traffic count/distance to road)</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Paint Indicator (% Pre-1960 Housing)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superfund Proximity (site count/km distance)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMP Proximity (facility count/km distance)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Waste Proximity (facility count/km distance)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Discharge Indicator (toxicity-weighted concentration/m distance)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic Indicators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic Index</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income Population</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguistically Isolated Population</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population With Less Than High School Education</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Under 5 years of age</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population over 64 years of age</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) is EPA's ongoing, comprehensive evaluation of air toxics in the United States. EPA developed the NATA to prioritize air toxics, emission sources, and locations of interest for further study. It is important to remember that NATA provides broad estimates of health risks over geographic areas of the country, not definitive risks to specific individuals or locations. More information on the NATA analysis can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/national-air-toxics-assessment.

For additional information, see: [www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice](http://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice)

---

**EJSCREEN** is a screening tool for pre-decisional use only. It can help identify areas that may warrant additional consideration, analysis, or outreach. It does not provide a basis for decision-making, but it may help identify potential areas of EJ concern. Users should keep in mind that screening tools are subject to substantial uncertainty in their demographic and environmental data, particularly when looking at small geographic areas. Important caveats and uncertainties apply to this screening-level information, so it is essential to understand the limitations on appropriate interpretations and applications of these indicators. Please see EJSCREEN documentation for discussion of these issues before using reports. This screening tool does not provide data on every environmental impact and demographic factor that may be relevant to a particular location. EJSCREEN outputs should be supplemented with additional information and local knowledge before taking any action to address potential EJ concerns.

February 15, 2016
# Category | Selected Variables | Value | State Avg. | %ile in Stat EPA Region | %ile in EPA USA Avg. | %ile in USA
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
1 | EJ Index | EJ Index for Particulate Matter (PM 2.5) | 54 | 57 | 70 |
2 | EJ Index | EJ Index for Ozone | 48 | 63 | 75 |
3 | EJ Index | EJ Index for NATA Diesel PM | 72 | 65 | 73 |
4 | EJ Index | EJ Index for NATA Air Toxics Cancer Risk | 57 | 61 | 73 |
5 | EJ Index | EJ Index for NATA Respiratory Hazard Index | 59 | 60 | 71 |
6 | EJ Index | EJ Index for Traffic Proximity and Volume | 84 | 82 | 85 |
7 | EJ Index | EJ Index for Lead Paint Indicator | 52 | 66 | 71 |
8 | EJ Index | EJ Index for Superfund Proximity | 89 | 93 | 93 |
9 | EJ Index | EJ Index for RMP Proximity | 59 | 53 | 65 |
10 | EJ Index | EJ Index for Hazardous Waste Proximity | 76 | 65 | 71 |
11 | EJ Index | EJ Index for Wastewater Discharge Indicator | 80 | 92 | 92 |
12 | Environmental | Particulate Matter (PM 2.5 in ug/m3) | 7.37 | 6.25 | 93 |
13 | Environmental | Ozone (ppb) | 47.7 | 49.7 | 14 |
14 | Environmental | NATA Diesel PM (ug/m3) | 0.739 | 0.473 | 79 |
15 | Environmental | NATA Air Toxics Cancer Risk (risk per MM) | 42 | 32 | 84 |
16 | Environmental | NATA Respiratory Hazard Index | 1.5 | 1.4 | 64 |
17 | Environmental | Traffic Proximity and Volume (daily traffic count/distance) | 640 | 290 | 87 |
18 | Environmental | Lead Paint Indicator (% pre-1960s housing) | 0.11 | 0.19 | 53 |
19 | Environmental | Superfund Proximity (site count/km distance) | 0.43 | 0.13 | 93 |
20 | Environmental | RMP Proximity (facility count/km distance) | 0.096 | 0.22 | 56 |
21 | Environmental | Hazardous Waste Proximity (facility count/km distance) | 0.44 | 0.39 | 76 |
22 | Environmental | Wastewater Discharge Indicators (toxicity-weighted co) | 0.028 | 2.1 | 75 |
23 | Demographic | Demographic index | 55% | 52% | 55 |
24 | Demographic | Minority Population | 58% | 61% | 44 |
25 | Demographic | Low Income Population | 52% | 43% | 65 |
26 | Demographic | Linguistically Isolated Population | 3% | 5% | 53 |
27 | Demographic | Population with Less Than High School Education | 11% | 15% | 43 |
28 | Demographic | Population under Age 5 | 7% | 6% | 63 |
29 | Demographic | Population over Age 64 | 15% | 15% | 56 |
**Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Census 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>12,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density (per sq. mile)</td>
<td>4,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Population</td>
<td>7,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Minority</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>5,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Units</td>
<td>6,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Area (sq. miles)</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Land Area</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Area (sq. miles)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Water Area</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population by Race**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Reporting One Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>9,812</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race</td>
<td>1,598</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Reporting Two or More Races</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,535</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Hispanic Population</td>
<td>6,189</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Alone</td>
<td>5,277</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Alone</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian Alone</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Asian Alone</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander Alone</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race Alone</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races Alone</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population by Sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6,017</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6,707</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population by Age**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-4</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 0-17</td>
<td>2,622</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 18+</td>
<td>10,102</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 65+</td>
<td>1,927</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Households by Tenure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,717</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied</td>
<td>3,453</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data Note: Detail may not sum to totals due to rounding. Hispanic population can be of any race.*

*Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1.*
### QuickFacts

**UNITED STATES**

QuickFacts provides statistics for all states and counties, and for cities and towns with a population of 5,000 or more.

#### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALL TOPICS</th>
<th>UNITED STATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per capita income in past 12 months (in 2017 dollars), 2013-2017</td>
<td>$31,177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PEOPLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population estimates, July 1, 2018, (V2018)</td>
<td>327,167,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population estimates base, April 1, 2010, (V2018)</td>
<td>308,758,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population estimates base, April 1, 2010, (V2017)</td>
<td>308,758,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, percent change - April 1, 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2018, (V2018)</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, percent change - April 1, 2010 (estimates base) to July 1, 2017, (V2017)</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population, Census, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>308,745,538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age and Sex</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persons under 5 years, percent</td>
<td>▲ 6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons under 18 years, percent</td>
<td>▲ 22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons 65 years and over, percent</td>
<td>▲ 15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female persons, percent</td>
<td>▲ 50.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race and Hispanic Origin</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White alone, percent</td>
<td>▲ 78.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American alone, percent (a)</td>
<td>▲ 13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native alone, percent (a)</td>
<td>▲ 1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian alone, percent (a)</td>
<td>▲ 5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, percent (a)</td>
<td>▲ 0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races, percent</td>
<td>▲ 2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino, percent (b)</td>
<td>▲ 18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White alone, not Hispanic or Latino, percent</td>
<td>▲ 60.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Characteristics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veterans, 2013-2017</td>
<td>18,939,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign-born persons, percent, 2013-2017</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing units, July 1, 2017, (V2017)</td>
<td>137,403,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-occupied housing unit rate, 2013-2017</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median value of owner-occupied housing units, 2013-2017</td>
<td>$193,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median selected monthly owner costs - with a mortgage, 2013-2017</td>
<td>$1,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median selected monthly owner costs - without a mortgage, 2013-2017</td>
<td>$474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median gross rent, 2013-2017</td>
<td>$962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building permits, 2017</td>
<td>1,291,677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Families &amp; Living Arrangements</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Households, 2013-2017</td>
<td>118,825,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons per household, 2013-2017</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in same house 1 year ago, percent of persons age 1 year+, 2013-2017</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language other than English spoken at home, percent of persons age 5 years+, 2013-2017</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer and Internet Use</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Households with a computer, percent, 2013-2017</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with a broadband internet subscription, percent, 2013-2017</td>
<td>78.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2013-2017</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2013-2017</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With a disability, under age 65 years, percent, 2013-2017</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons without health insurance, under age 65 years, percent</td>
<td>▲ 10.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/INC910217
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economy</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In civilian labor force, total, percent of population age 16 years+, 2013-2017</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In civilian labor force, female, percent of population age 16 years+, 2013-2017</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total accommodation and food services sales, 2012 ($1,000) (c)</td>
<td>708,198,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total health care and social assistance receipts/revenue, 2012 ($1,000) (c)</td>
<td>2,040,441,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total manufacturers shipments, 2012 ($1,000) (c)</td>
<td>5,696,729,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total merchant wholesaler sales, 2012 ($1,000) (c)</td>
<td>5,208,023,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total retail sales, 2012 ($1,000) (c)</td>
<td>4,219,821,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total retail sales per capita, 2012 (c)</td>
<td>$13,443</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean travel time to work (minutes), workers age 16 years+, 2013-2017</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income &amp; Poverty</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median household income (in 2017 dollars), 2013-2017</td>
<td>$57,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita income in past 12 months (in 2017 dollars), 2013-2017</td>
<td>$31,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons in poverty, percent</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BUSINESSES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Businesses</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total employer establishments, 2016</td>
<td>7,767,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total employment, 2016</td>
<td>126,752,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total annual payroll, 2016 ($1,000)</td>
<td>6,435,142,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total employment, percent change, 2015-2016</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nonemployer establishments, 2016</td>
<td>24,813,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All firms, 2012</td>
<td>27,626,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men-owned firms, 2012</td>
<td>14,844,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women-owned firms, 2012</td>
<td>9,876,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority-owned firms, 2012</td>
<td>7,052,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonminority-owned firms, 2012</td>
<td>18,987,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran-owned firms, 2012</td>
<td>2,521,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonveteran-owned firms, 2012</td>
<td>24,070,685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GEOGRAPHY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population per square mile, 2010</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land area in square miles, 2010</td>
<td>3,531,905.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIPS Code</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
About datasets used in this table

Value Notes

⚠️ Estimates are not comparable to other geographic levels due to methodology differences that may exist between different data sources.

Some estimates presented here come from sample data, and thus have sampling errors that may render some apparent differences between geographies statistically indistinguishable. Click the Q left of each row in TABLE view to learn about sampling error.

The vintage year (e.g., V2016) refers to the final year of the series (2010 thru 2016). Different vintage years of estimates are not comparable.

Fact Notes

(a) Includes persons reporting only one race
(b) Hispanics may be of any race, so also are included in applicable race categories
(c) Economic Census - Puerto Rico data are not comparable to U.S. Economic Census data

Value Flags
- Either no or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the interval of an open-ended distribution.
- D Suppressed to avoid disclosure of confidential information
- F Fewer than 25 RMS
- FN Footnotes on this item in place of data
- MA Not available
- S Suppressed; does not meet publication standards
- X Not applicable
- Z Value greater than zero but less than half unit of measure shown

Attachment B: Limited English Proficiency Assessment
Facility: Alara Radiopharmacy
Location: 1155 S. Telshor Suite 305, Las Cruces, NM
Buffer: One mile
Dater: 02/25/2019

Factor 1: Number and Proportion of LEP individuals Eligible to be Served or Likely to be Encountered in the Community of Concern

- **Percent linguistically isolated households within 1-mile radius**: 3.4%
- **Percent linguistically isolated households by language within 1-mile radius**:
  - Spanish: 2.5%
  - Other Indo-European languages: 0.0%
  - Other Asian-Pacific Island languages: 0.1%
  - Other Languages: 0.7%
  - **Sum**: 3.4%
- **Percent linguistically isolated population, New Mexico**: 5.0%
- **Percent linguistically isolated population, USA**: 4.0%
- Population age 5+ years speaking English “less than very well” within 1 mile radius: 5.2%

*From “EJSCREEN ACS Report”
**From “EJSCREEN Report (Version 2018)"

**Conclusion:**

1. Proportion of LEP persons within one mile is less than the statewide and national proportions.
2. Spanish is the primary non-English language spoken by LEP persons.
3. Historically participation based on 20.3.3.310 NMAC public comment have not initiated the Secretary to order a public hearing.
Factor 2: Frequency with which LEP Individuals come in contact with the program

Frequency Assessment ("frequency" / "occasional" / "not frequent" / "never"): Never

The determination based on the performance of license applications from December 2003 to present no public hearing was held as specified pursuant to 20.3.3.310 NMAC.

Factor 3: Nature or Importance of the Activity or service provided by the program

All radioactive material facilities are required by the Radiation Protection Act to obtain a license prior to the possession, use, storage, disposal of, manufacture, process, repair or alter any radioactive material and the licensing process must follow 20.3.3 NMAC regulations. Radioactive material applications are reviewed by the Radiation Control Bureau to assess compliance with regulatory and statutory requirements. Once the application is deemed "administratively complete", a public notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the area of the proposed activity in the license application. Based on the requirements of 20.3.3.310 NMAC the Secretary shall determine if a notice of public hearing will be necessary.

Factor 4: Resources Available to NMED and associated costs

In accordance with statutory and regulatory requirements, the Radiation Control Bureau provides public notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the area of the proposed activity in both Spanish and English. Pursuant to 20.3.3.310 NMAC and if required by the Secretary notice of public hearing utilization of a certified translator cost will be covered by general funds available within the Bureau’s budget constraints.

LEP Services Plan:

The Bureau plans to provide notice of the license application hearing in both English and Spanish, when statutory and regulatory requirements mandate a hearing is to be held and is appropriate as the majority of the LEP population speaks Spanish. As the program’s contact with LEP individuals has never been necessary based on statutory and regulatory requirements, the Bureau is not planning to provide further LEP services at this time. However, the Bureau will reconsider this decision if members of the affected community contact the Bureau to request additional LEP services.
1 mile Ring Centered at 32.305655,-106.738333, NEW MEXICO, EPA Region 6 (Population: 13,047)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Selected V: Value</th>
<th>State Avg.</th>
<th>%ile in Stat EPA Regio</th>
<th>%ile in EPA USA</th>
<th>%ile in USA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for Particulate Matter (PM)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for Ozone</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for NATA Diesel PM</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for NATA Air Toxics Cancer</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for NATA Respiratory Haz</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for Traffic Proximity and</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for Lead Paint Indicator</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for Superfund Proximity</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for RMP Proximity</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for Hazardous Waste Proximity</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>EJ Index</td>
<td>EJ Index for Wastewater Discharge</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Particulate Matter (PM)</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>9.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Ozone (ppt)</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>NATA Diesel</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>NATA Air Toxics Cancer</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>42 &lt;50th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>NATA Respiratory Haz</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.8 &lt;50th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Traffic Proximity and</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Lead Paint Indicator</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Superfund Proximity</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>RMP Proximity</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Hazardous Waste Discharge</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Wastewater Discharge</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>Minority Pct</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>Linguistics</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>