1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	BEFORE THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION
3	No. WQCC 14-05(R)
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF:
6	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO STANDARDS FOR INTERSTATE
7	AND INTRASTATE SURFACE WATERS, 20.6.4 NMAC
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 13th day of October,
15	2015, this matter came on for hearing before Morris
16	Chavez, Hearing Officer, and the Water Quality Control
17	Commission, at the State Capitol Building, Room 307, 490
18	Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, New Mexico, at the hour of
19	1:02 PM.
20	
21	Volume 1
22	
23	
24	
25	

	_	
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION:	
3	MR. LARRY DOMINGUEZ, Chair MR. BUTCH TONGATE	
4	MS. JANE DEROSE-BAMMAN MR. HOWARD HUTCHINSON	
5	MR. JOHN LONGWORTH MR. MATTHIAS SAYER	
6	MR. EDWARD VIGIL MR. JOHN WATERS	
7	MR. HOYT PATTISON	
8	MR. WADE JACKSON Commission Counsel	
9	THE HEARING OFFICER:	
10	MR. MORRIS J. CHAVEZ	
11	SAUCEDO CHAVEZ PC Attorneys at Law	
12	6565 Americas Parkway, Northeast Suite 920	
13	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110 (505) 338-3945	
14	mo@saucedochavez.com	
15	FOR THE NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT:	
16	MS. KATHRYN S. BECKER MR. JOHN VERHEUL	
17	Assistant General Counsels 1190 St. Francis Drive	
18	Harold Runnels Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
19	(505) 827-0528 kathryn.becker@state.nm.us	
20	john.verheul@state.nm.us	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) 2 For Freeport-McMoRan Chino Mines Company: 3 MR. DALVA L. MOELLENBERG MS. GERMAINE R. CHAPPELLE 4 MR. KONSTANTIN N. PARKHOMENKO GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, PA 5 Attorneys at Law 1239 Paseo de Peralta 6 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982 - 95237 dlm@gknet.com germaine.chappelle@gknet.com 8 konstantin.parkhomenko@gknet.com 9 For Amigos Bravos: 10 MR. ERIK SCHLENKER-GOODRICH Attorney at Law Western Environmental Law Center 11 208 Paseo Del Pueblo Sur 12 Suite 602 Taos, New Mexico 87571 13 (575) 613-4197eriksq@westernlaw.org 14 For San Juan Water Commission: 15 MS. JOLENE L. McCALEB 16 TAYLOR & McCALEB, PA Attorneys at Law PO Box 2540 17 Corrales, New Mexico 87048-2540 (505) 888-6600 18 jmccaleb@taylormccaleb.com 19 For Chevron Mining, Inc.: 20 MR. LOUIS W. ROSE 21 MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, PA Attorneys at Law 22 325 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982 - 387323 lrose@montand.com 24 25

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) 2 For Los Alamos National Security, LLC, and United States Department of Energy:

MS. LARA KATZ

MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, PA

Attorneys at Law

325 Paseo de Peralta

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

(505) 982-3873

lkatz@montand.com

MR. TIMOTHY A. DOLAN
Attorney at Law
Office of Laboratory Counsel
Los Alamos National Laboratory
PO Box 1663, MS A187
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545
(505) 667-7512
tdolan@lanl.gov

		5	
1	I N D E X	PAGE	
2	ART VOLLMER		
3	Public Comment	8	
4	Opening Statement by Mr. Verheul	18	
5	SHELLY LEMON		
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Verheul	23	
7	KRISTINE PINTADO		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Becker	39	
9	JODEY KOUGIOULIS		
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Verheul	53	
11	BRYAN DIAL		
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Verheul	63	
13	KIRK PATTEN		
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Verheul	71	
15	Panel Cross Examination by Ms. McCaleb	73	
16	Panel Cross Examination by Commission Members	115	
17	Panel Cross Examination by Mr. Schlenker-Goodrich	120	
18	Panel Cross Examination by Commission Members	155	
19	MARLENE PERROTTE		
20	Public Comment	170	
21	JOAN BROWN		
22	Public Comment	172	
23			
24			
25			

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. I think if we can all come back together, we will get started again.

We will reconvene the October 13th meeting of the Water Quality Control Commission. The time is 1:02 PM.

We will now move to item number six on the agenda, which is WQCC 14-05(R).

I will note for the record that we have -that all the Commissioners that were present this
morning are present this afternoon.

And with that, I will turn it over to our
Hearing Officer for this particular hearing --

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
Members of the Commission.

Good afternoon.

3

4

5

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Morris Chavez, and I've been designated by the Commission to act as Hearing Officer in this matter, which is being held to conduct the Triennial Review of New Mexico's Water Quality Standards at 20.6.4 NMAC.

The review was filed by the New Mexico Environment Department and docketed by the administrator as WQCC $14-05\,(R)$.

This hearing will be conducted in accordance with New Mexico Water Quality Act and WQCC's Water

Quality Regulations and Rule-Making Guidelines.

Pursuant to those guidelines, I will conduct the hearing so as to provide a reasonable opportunity for all persons to be heard without making the hearing unreasonably lengthy.

All testimony will be taken under oath and all persons giving testimony will be subject to cross-examination by any other person in attendance on the subject matter of their testimony and on matters affecting their credibility. I may limit cross-examination, if necessary, to avoid harassment, intimidation, or repetition of the witness.

The hearing is being recorded and transcribed by Kathy Townsend Court Reporters. You may obtain a copy of the transcript directly from the court reporter. It also becomes part of the public record, viewable in the office of Commission administrator.

If you have not signed in, please do so at this time.

If you have a cell phone, please silence it at this time.

Other than the parties presenting technical testimony, I will invite non-technical public comment.

If you have not signed in, as I mentioned, please do so now.

Before the parties have entered their appearance, I will accept any public comments at this time, and remind you that public comment will also be accepted throughout this hearing.

Is there anyone who would like to offer non-technical public comment at this time?

Please raise your hand.

Come forward, state your name and be sworn in.

(Oath administered to Art Vollmer.)

MR. VOLLMER: Yes, I do.

ART VOLLMER

after having been first duly sworn or affirmed, provided public comment as follows:

PUBLIC COMMENT

MR. VOLLMER: I'm an avid fly fisherman in the state and currently council chair for New Mexico Council of Tribal Limited, and I'd just like to say that we support the changes in Rule 20.6.4.16 on use of piscicides that I believe the Game & Fish Department has proposed.

We believe that, you know, this piscicide use is the only effective method of accomplishing removal and -- of non-native fish in an area where we're trying to restore or maintain populations of native fish.

Their procedures are well defined. Game &

Fish is well trained in their use and have had much success safely using these over the years.

Evidence presented at previous WQCC hearings and scientific literature support that this use does not prevent a risk to human health or the environment when used according to the procedures.

Currently, the current rules require extra hearings by this Commission when we feel that they are somewhat duplicative when used -- when the use is covered by an NPDES permit that has its own public hearing component, NMED and EPA both review, and EPA issues those permits.

And so, you know, we feel that this is just duplicative of other review processes. Plus, NEPA is also covered in a lot of uses because these -- a lot of places where these are used are on federal lands, so the NEPA process again has its own public hearing aspect to it.

So we -- you know, we concur that extra -- an extra level of hearing by the -- by the Commission would just be a use of extra costs and time-consuming and really slow down the process of helping restore our native fishes.

So we just want to say that we believe that -that the proposed rule will allow native trout

- 1 restoration to be accomplished faster and at a
- 2 | significantly lower cost and still be very protective of
- 3 | human health and the environment.
- 4 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
- Is there anyone else who would like to provide
- 6 | public comment at this time?
- 7 Seeing none, I note that there will be an
- 8 opportunity at the end of the day to provide public
- 9 comment and throughout this week.
- So before we begin, can we have counsel please
- 11 enter their appearance for the record?
- MR. VERHEUL: Good morning -- or good
- 13 | afternoon, Mr. Hearing Officer, John Verheul and Kathryn
- 14 Becker for the New Mexico Environment Department.
- MS. McCALEB: Jolene McCaleb for San Juan
- 16 Water Commission.
- 17 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Erik
- 18 | Schlenker-Goodrich, with Western Environmental Law
- 19 | Center. I'm representing Amigos Bravos.
- 20 MR. ROSE: Louis Rose with Montgomery &
- 21 | Andrews, representing Chevron Mining.
- 22 MS. KATZ: Lara Katz on behalf of Los Alamos
- 23 National Laboratory.
- 24 MR. MOELLENBERG: Dalva Moellenberg of
- 25 | Gallagher & Kennedy on behalf of Freeport-McMoRan.

Germaine Chappelle and Konstantin Parkhomenko of our firm may also represent Freeport-McMoRan during parts of these proceedings.

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you very much.

So before we begin with NMED, are there any prehearing issues that need to be addressed at this time?

MR. VERHEUL: Yes, Mr. Hearing Officer.

I'd like to draw your attention to filings
last week, the first from Peabody Energy withdrawing
both of their positions, and you'll notice that Peabody
is not here, so they have effectively withdrawn as a
party as a result of the Environment Department coming
to resolution with them prior to the triennial review.
So that should free up some time.

Also, Amigos Bravos jointly filed, along with Los Alamos National Laboratories and the Department, a stipulation agreeing to take one of the issues, the classification of segment 128 on the Los Alamos National Laboratory facility, to pull that out of the triennial review and to come to some agreement on that outside of this process, and Amigos Bravos has also withdrawn their opposition to our piscicide proposal.

And that brings us to the matter of the filing which we received today. There was a notice -- a

written notice of the withdrawal of Amigos Bravos' position, and in addition to that, a communication with some supplemental testimony and I believe a supplemental exhibit.

The Environment Department appreciates the written withdrawal of the opposition to the piscicide proposal, which was an agreement which we believe we had worked out in May; however, we object to the admission of the supplemental testimony, I believe it is, and in particular to the third piece of that, the supplemental exhibit.

We're unclear as to why this is being filed as late as it was filed. This is a matter which, as you know, was initiated -- the triennial review, I'm speaking of now, is a matter that was initiated in 2013. And since it's been rescheduled before the Commission multiple times, there have been multiple deadlines and multiple opportunities for filing of notices of intent to present technical testimony, there have been deadlines for rebuttal testimony, supplemental testimony, and we received this communication today, and so we're not in a position to rebut that or really deal with it properly.

So on those grounds, we would be opposed to it being admitted to this hearing.

MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you.

The first issue I want to take up is if you refer to the scheduling order for September 28th, 2015, given the fact that some things have changed in terms of parties that will not be participating and agreements have been reached, let me remind all the parties that although we set out, in theory, the manner in which we wanted to conduct this hearing in a timely way, note that once again if Peabody, which we had tentatively scheduled for Wednesday morning, San Juan essentially would be moved up.

So what I'm telling you is all the parties to really make sure that you're ready to go at any time, because it might not be as long as a hearing as we once anticipated.

So any questions or comments on that?

MS. KATZ: Mr. Hearing Officer.

MR. CHAVEZ: Yes.

MS. KATZ: I just wanted to make clear that the stipulation having been entered on segment 128, the lab is -- effectively that does away with our issues in this triennial.

So while we're -- I don't think we're formally withdrawing as a party, we're not going to be -- we won't be offering any testimony or cross-examining on

- any other issue in this proceeding.
- 2 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
- Once again, this hearing is getting quicker by the day.
- On that note, Amigos -- he's standing up, you
- 6 knew where I was going. Your expert is still scheduled
- 7 | for Thursday morning?

1

- 8 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Yes, and he's only 9 going to be available for Thursday.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. So, Chevron, potentially be prepared to move up in the queue.
- MR. ROSE: Mr. Chair -- or Mr. Hearing

 Officer, we are prepared to go forward.
- We'll get into that when we get to rebuttal as
 to what the order is going to be and how we're going to
 deal with that.
- Our only testimony relates to the aluminum
 issue that Amigos Bravos' expert will testify about on
 Thursday. So if it turns out there is a better way to
 do this, we can talk about it tomorrow, and we can work
 those details out.
- 22 MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. Thank you very much.
- The second preliminary issue that I want to
 address is Amigos Bravos' notice of withdrawal that you
 brought up and exhibits.

I guess I'm a little concerned with the timeliness of it, given what was the position of NMED.

I'm not actually sure if that's even on -- in the record as of yet.

Pam, has it been put into the record?

MS. CASTANEDA: It was stamped by Linda Vigil this morning from the e-mail, but I just got the originals, so I need to stamp them still.

MR. CHAVEZ: So I've got some concern that's not even in the record yet and the timeliness.

If I could hear from Amigos Bravos on this issue, given the fact that we've had so much time in preparation for this hearing, why we're getting this at this time.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Yes, I apologize for the notice. I mean, it would be our ideal circumstances to have provided that earlier.

I would ask for some clarification. There were three exhibits, one of which was a list of muscle species in New Mexico that was identified from Game & Fish information; the second was a guidance document, an EPA guidance document; and then the third was testimony pertaining to aluminum standards in West Virginia.

So I would just ask for clarification from the Department which or all -- if it's all of them that he

objects to or one in particular.

2 MR. CHAVEZ: Please.

MR. VERHEUL: We object to all of these based on timeliness.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Well, let me take them in turn, Mr. Hearing Officer.

The muscle species, I think that they simply identify species in New Mexico that may be susceptible to aluminum toxicity, so it goes directly to Amigos Bravos' proposed changes regarding the aluminum standards.

The second document is an EPA guidance document that was referenced in testimony, it was cited and quoted in testimony submitted by various parties, however, it was not attached as an exhibit, and so we felt that attaching that exhibit, so that the Commission could directly read the information in the guidance document, was appropriate and, in fact, helpful.

The third document is an opinion report that was submitted in West Virginia, again regarding the aluminum standards issue, and it goes to whether or not the aluminum standard for New Mexico is, in fact, sufficiently protective of species. So this goes to Amigos Bravos' proposed changes, and so it's an outgrowth of that.

It's not critical, frankly, to our case on
this, but it does go to testimony that was submitted by
one of our experts, Dr. Deke Gundersen, who did
reference the West Virginia rule making, and then also
rebuttal testimony by Chevron's Dr. Gensemer regarding
what occurred in West Virginia.

So this was intended to help clarify and illuminate what happened in that rule-making proceeding.

MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. If I can go to any other parties on this issue.

2.4

MR. ROSE: Mr. Hearing Officer, as to the exhibits dealing with aluminum, both the muscle exhibit and the West Virginia exhibit, quite frankly, it's not clear to me how that's going to be offered.

It seems to me that it might be better to wait for objections for introduction until -- until Amigos

Bravos actually offers it with their witness, because

I've got some questions about the exhibits, and we'd like to question their witness as to the exhibits before deciding on whether to object or not.

So I think some voir dire with the witness on how those exhibits are going to be offered and what they are offered for makes more sense than trying to resolve it here.

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.

1 Any other parties on this issue? 2 Yes. 3 MR. MOELLENBERG: Mr. Hearing Officer, we would join in the objection. 4 5 I would also agree with Mr. Rose's comments, 6 that perhaps it's better to wait for the context and the 7 time in which there is a motion to actually admit these 8 or address testimony to them. 9 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you. 10 I think I've decided that I am going to wait until these have been offered and there is some 11 12 opposition to make a decision on those issues. 13 Any other prehearing issues? 14 All right. Seeing none, I'd like to call the 15 petitioner. 16 MR. VERHEUL: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Officer. Would you like me to bring my witnesses up now 17 or give opening statement first and then bring my 18 19 witnesses up? 20 MR. CHAVEZ: Go ahead and bring them up now, 21 so you can go right into -- after we swear them in, you 22 can go right into your questioning. 23 MR. VERHEUL: Thank you. 24 Good afternoon. Mr. Chair, Members of the Commission, Mr. Hearing Officer. 25

The purpose of today's hearing is to conduct what's commonly called the Triennial Review of the State of New Mexico's Water Quality Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Surface Waters, which I will refer to as the water quality standards.

New Mexico's water quality standards are codified as Title 20, Chapter 6, Part 4, of the New Mexico Administrative Code.

This hearing is mandated under the state and federal regulations by Section 303(c)(1) of the federal Clean Water Act, which requires each state to hold a public hearing, known as a triennial review, at least once every three years to review, and if appropriate, modify its water quality standards.

Under the state Water Quality Act, the Water Quality Control Commission, this Commission, is the state water pollution control agency for all purposes of the federal Clean Water Act and is responsible for adopting and revising water quality standards.

The Commission must hold a public hearing in order to adopt or amend standards.

The Commission shall adopt water quality standards for surface and groundwaters of the state based on credible scientific data and other evidence appropriate under the Water Quality Act.

The standards shall, at a minimum, protect the public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the Act.

In making standards, the Commission shall give weight it deems appropriate to all facts and circumstances, including the use and value of the water for water supplies, propagation of fish and wildlife, recreational purposes, and agricultural, industrial, and other purposes.

At the conclusion of this hearing and after the Commission approves and adopts its final decision into state law, any revisions to the standards are required, under Section 303(c)(3) of the federal Clean Water Act, to be sent to the Environmental Protection Agency for review and approval.

While we fully expect the EPA to approve all of the Bureau's proposed changes, if EPA does not approve the water quality standards, it first gives the state an opportunity to correct any issues it has. If the state cannot or will not correct the issue, then EPA must promulgate water quality standards on behalf of the state.

In the past, there have been instances where the EPA has disapproved a portion of New Mexico's proposed water quality standards, but in each case this

Commission has adopted revisions in subsequent hearings which have addressed the issue.

Today, the New Mexico Environment Department is presenting proposed amendments to the state standards for interstate and intrastate surface waters based on the triennial review initiated in 2013.

First, Acting Surface Water Quality Bureau
Chief Shelly Lemon will address key issues related to
designated uses. Next, Kristine Pintado, the Bureau's
water quality standards coordinator, will present
testimony in support of the temporary standards and
piscicide provision proposals, application of the
hydrology protocol to five ephemeral drainages at Chino
Mines, and other minor changes in particular sections
and to certain segments.

Jodey Kougioulis and Bryan Dail, environmental scientists with the Bureau, will present the Bureau's application of the hydrology protocol, aquatic life use attainability analyses, and changes to certain segments. All of our witnesses will stand for cross-examination as a panel.

In addition, Kirk Patten from the New Mexico

Department of Game & Fish will testify and sit for

cross-examination in support of our piscicide proposal.

The Department has four key objectives for

this triennial review, which are first to resolve

outstanding issues from the last triennial review;

second, to provide updates to reflect new information

and new technical capabilities; third, to address

segment-specific issues; fourth, to render the standards

more clear, informative and accessible.

To accomplish these objectives, the

Department's petition proposes new procedures to adopt

temporary water quality standards, an update for

piscicide applications, upgrades to recreation uses for

certain streams, reclassification of several ephemeral

streams using procedures adopted in the last triennial

review; adding language to address EPA's use of the

hardness-based criteria for aluminum, and adding refined

aquatic life uses for streams in the San Juan River and

in the Mimbres closed basin.

May I proceed with calling the Department's first witness?

MR. CHAVEZ: Please proceed.

MR. VERHEUL: The Department calls Acting Surface Water Quality Bureau Chief Shelly Lemon.

(Oath administered to Shelly Lemon, Kristine Pintado, Jodey Kougioulis, Bryan Dail and Kirk Patten.)

2.4

SHELLY LEMON

2 after having been first duly sworn or affirmed,
3 was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. VERHEUL:

1

4

8

9

10

11

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Please state your name and your current position.
 - A. My name is Shelly Lemon, and I'm currently the acting Bureau chief of the New Mexico Environment

 Department's Surface Water Quality Bureau for about a week now.
- Q. And why is it that you are the acting Bureau chief?
 - A. Dr. James Hogan is on a medical leave.
- Q. Please summarize your education and your relevant experience.
- A. I hold a bachelor's of science degree and a
 master -- in biology and a master of science degree in
 hydrology from the University of Arizona.

Before joining the Department, I was a research assistant for the Center for Sustainability of Semi Arid Hydrology in Riparian Areas, a National Science Foundation Science and Technology Center at the University of Arizona, and also a high school science teacher in Arizona.

I've been with the Surface Water Quality
Bureau since August of 2004.

- Q. And what positions have you held in the Bureau for the past 11 years?
- A. When I first joined the Bureau, I was a total maximum daily load scientist, and within a year, I assumed more responsibility as the nutrient and lakes team leader.

In these capacities, I prepared watershed planning documents, known as TMDLs, to improve water quality; conducted public meetings to address -- to address stakeholder comments and concerns; and oversaw the Nutrient Criteria Development Program for New Mexico's surface waters.

I was then promoted to become the monitoring team leader for the Monitoring, Assessment and Standards Section, which included planning and conducting water quality surveys throughout New Mexico; reviewing and integrating assessed data for use in Clean Water Act activities; and developing protocols to standardize tasks.

During this time, I also served as the acting program manager for the Monitoring, Assessment and Standards Section for one year when Dr. Hogan became the acting Bureau chief in 2012.

After a brief period as a middle school science teacher, I returned to the Bureau, serving as a municipal team leader for the Point Source Regulation Section and conducted compliance evaluation inspections for federally permitted facilities, worked with EPA and permittees to draft appropriate and protective point source discharge permits in New Mexico, and reviewed and certified federally issued National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits through Section 401 of the Clean Water Act.

Since July, I've served as the program manager for the Monitoring, Assessment and Standards Section and I'm currently the acting Bureau chief for the Bureau.

- Q. And, Ms. Lemon, was your resume prefiled?
- A. Yes.

- My resume is prefiled as Exhibit 66.
- Q. And did you prepare any technical testimony for this triennial review?
- 19 A. No.

As the acting Bureau chief, I am adopting the written technical testimony of Dr. James Hogan that was previously filed as part of the Bureau's notice of intent as Exhibit 1.

Q. And have you familiarized yourself with Dr. Hogan's prefiled direct testimony?

A. Yes.

1

4

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you have any changes to that direct technical testimony today?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you adopt Dr. Hogan's testimony today as your own correct testimony?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. By way of introduction, would you please give an overview of that testimony?
- A. Because it is essential to the triennial review process, I will provide an overview of water quality standards and explain how they relate to designated uses and criteria.

I will then address key issues related to establishing or changing the designated uses that apply to a water body, as well as discuss the Department's proposal to change the recreational contact use of nine water quality segments.

Finally, I will describe the steps we took to comply with the regulations for this rule making and summarize how the Department involved the public in the development of the proposals presented today.

- Q. And what are the objectives of the water quality standards in New Mexico?
- 25 A. The New Mexico Water Quality Act states that

water quality standards shall, at a minimum, protect the public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water, and serve the purposes of the Water Quality Act.

New Mexico water quality standards must be at least as effective as the federal water quality standards regulations, which provide that states adopt water quality standards to protect public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water, and serve the purposes of the Clean Water Act.

EPA has long interpreted the purpose of the Clean Water Act as attaining water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish and wildlife, and provides for recreation in and on the water, what are commonly known as the Section 101(a)(2) fishable/swimmable goals.

- Q. What role did designated uses play in the water quality standards of New Mexico?
- A. New Mexico's water quality standards define water quality goals by designated uses -- designating uses for rivers, streams, lakes, and other surface waters; setting criteria to protect those uses; and establishing provisions to preserve water quality.

The water quality standards are organized into water quality standard segments to categorize or group surface waters of the state.

As defined in the standards, the waters within a segment should have the same uses, because they exhibit similar physical, chemical and biological characteristics and flow regimes and similar reactions to external stresses, such as the discharge of pollutants.

The state's designated uses define how a water in a segment is used. The designated use then serves as the basis for setting specific water quality criteria necessary to protect public health and the environment related to that use.

Designated uses include primary contact recreation and subcategories of aquatic life uses, other than limited aquatic life, related to fishable/ swimmable goals.

In addition, states may adopt or designate other uses based on their importance to the state. Some of the other uses in New Mexico are public water supply, wildlife habitat, livestock watering, and irrigation.

- Q. What uses are required to be protected?
- A. Federal regulations require that a water body be protected for all existing uses, which is defined as those uses actually achieved in the water body on or after the Clean Water Act was passed.

Federal regulations also require protection

for attainable uses, where an attainable use is one that is feasible to achieve through practicable measures.

The New Mexico water quality standards require that existing uses shall not be removed whether they have been designated in the water quality standards or not unless they are replaced by a more stringent use.

- Q. What are water quality criteria and how do they relate to designated uses and the water quality standards?
- A. Water quality criteria are the specific limitations that we set in order to ensure water quality necessary to protect a designated use.

The water quality standards include both narrative and numeric criteria.

The narrative criteria are general and apply to all uses unless specifically exempted, and they serve to ensure that general surface water quality will not injure human health or aquatic life.

There are no numbers in narrative criteria to serve as hard targets. For example, the narrative criterion for pathogen reads "Surface waters of the state shall be free of pathogens from other than natural causes in sufficient quantity to impair public health or the designated existing or attainable uses of a surface water of the state."

Numeric criteria, on the other hand, are specific to particular designated uses and have hard numbers as goals. For example, the warm-water aquatic life use has a maximum temperature criterion of 32.2 degrees Celsius or 80 degrees Fahrenheit.

- Q. How do the fishable/swimmable goals of the Clean Water Act that you previously identified relate to designated uses?
- A. EPA views the federal regulations to establish what is commonly known as the rebuttable presumption that the fishable/swimmable uses are attainable in all waters unless a use attainability analysis, which I will refer to as a UAA, demonstrates otherwise.

Specifically, the federal regulations, under 40 CFR 131.2, require states to adopt standards that serve the purposes of the Clean Water Act, and where attainable, these standards must support the fishable/swimmable goals.

In New Mexico, fishable/swimmable uses are the aquatic life uses other than limited aquatic life and the primary contact recreation use.

The federal regulations, at 40 CFR 131.10(j), further require that before adopting a designated use that does not support the fishable/swimmable goals, a UAA must be completed to demonstrate that these uses are

not existing nor attainable.

2.0

2.4

- Q. And what is a use attainability analysis or a UAA?
- A. A UAA is a scientific study conducted for the purpose of assessing the factors affecting the attainment of a use, as described in Section 15 of New Mexico's water quality standards.

An example of where the Bureau is conducting a UAA to change the aquatic life uses will be provided in Dr. Dail's testimony.

- Q. How does the rebuttable presumption relate to designated uses in New Mexico?
- A. During the 2005 triennial review, this

 Commission adopted limited aquatic life and secondary

 contact as default uses for ephemeral waters.

EPA, in the record of decision, did not approve these standards because they determined they were not consistent with Clean Water Act Section 101(a)(2) goals because they do not support fishable/swimmable uses.

While EPA supported the concept of these uses, they emphasized EPA's current water quality regulation effectively establishes a rebuttable presumption that fishable/swimmable uses are attainable unless it can be demonstrated that such uses are not attainable.

In short, to seek the removal of any fishable/swimmable designated use a petition to the Commission must demonstrate, through a UAA, that the fishable/swimmable uses are not attainable and less stringent designated uses are scientifically supported.

- Q. How did this Commission address EPA's record of decision?
- A. This Commission adopted a set of revisions in the 2009 triennial review to address the issue raised in EPA's 2005 record of decision.

The adopted 2009 revisions clarified that UAAs were needed to assign secondary contact and limited aquatic life uses to ephemeral streams and developed a specific process known as the hydrology protocol for conducting these UAAs.

An example of this process will be provided shortly in the testimony of Mr. Kougioulis.

- Q. Has the Commission approved a use attainability analysis?
 - A. Yes, they have.

2.0

As more waters are assessed in greater detail and data monitoring is compiled over time, the Commission has recognized a number of waters in which the current designated uses were not attainable and a UAA was approved to assign appropriately protective

standards.

- Q. What are the requirements for streams once a UAA is conducted and less than fishable/swimmable uses are adopted for that water body?
- A. Both the state water quality standards and the federal water quality regulations require a review of all waters that do not meet the fishable/swimmable uses to determine if new information indicates a higher use is attainable and revision of the water quality standards is necessary.

This review is expected to occur at least during the following triennial review.

- Q. Why is this requirement important at this time in this triennial review?
- A. As described in the direct written testimony of Ms. Pintado, during this triennial review we identified nine water quality standard segments designated with secondary contact uses and criteria.

The state is required to review these waters to determine if new information indicates the goal remains unattainable.

The Bureau could find no UAAs that support the secondary contact uses and criteria in these nine segments.

Q. Does that mean that in these nine segments the

Department is proposing to upgrade the use from secondary contact recreation to primary contact recreation?

A. That's correct.

2.4

- Q. What evidence supports primary contact recreation use as an existing or attainable use in these nine water quality segments?
- A. There is evidence of water-based recreation occurring in each of the nine segments. To collect this information, the Bureau viewed surface water quality monitoring data and records and conducted website reviews. We also reviewed field observations from Bureau monitoring staff and the New Mexico Department of Game & Fish.

Our review documented direct evidence, such as soaking, swimming, or scuba diving, that primary contact recreation is an existing use.

Elsewhere, there was a significant amount of other water-based recreation occurring, such as boating and fishing.

Based on the Bureau's experience, where boating occurs, primary contact recreation is almost always an existing use and is almost certainly an attainable use.

Based on all of this evidence, the Department

proposes that the Commission should adopt designated use changes, as appropriate, based on the recreational activities occurring or attainable in these segments.

- Q. Did the Department conduct outreach related to this specific proposal?
 - A. Yes, we did.

2.4

The Bureau's Point Source Regulation staff reached out to the operators of four treatment plants that discharge to waters included in this proposal.

As such, these plants could be assigned limits based on more stringent bacteria criteria, based on the proposed designated use upgrades to primary contact.

The Bureau's Point Source staff contacted each operator to make them aware of the proposed changes and how these changes may affect their EPA-issued National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits.

Bureau staff were also available to meet and assess options allowed through one or more of the permitting tools in the water quality standards and permit implementation policies.

Q. Let's turn to the outreach that the Department conducted to comply with the requirements for public participation in this triennial review.

What did that consist of?

A. The Bureau conducted extensive prehearing

outreach in developing its proposals, as the scoping

phase and the intent to prepare the triennial review was

announced in public notices on April 3rd, 2013, and

invited the public input for 30 days to identify issues

of concern and to propose revisions for consideration in

the standards.

On April 1st, 2014, the Bureau published a public discussion draft of proposed amendments and invited public comment for a period of 60 days, ending on May 30th, 2014.

The Bureau published the public draft proposals in the New Mexico Register, newspaper legal ads, e-mail notices, public releases, and the Bureau's website.

Throughout the triennial review process, Bureau staff met with everyone who made a request.

Additional public participation specific for the UAA proposals will also be presented in testimony on these proposed amendments.

The Bureau petitioned the Commission during its July 8th, 2014, regular public meeting to conduct the triennial review hearing. Along with the petition, the Bureau presented its proposed amendments and narrative explanation, proposed scheduling order, and request for a hearing.

Legal notice for the hearing and subsequent

changes to the hearing date was published in the New

Mexico Register, in both Spanish and English, and in

three newspapers of general circulation around the

state: the Albuquerque Journal, the Santa Fe New

Mexican and the Las Cruces Sun.

Notice of the hearing was sent to the Commission's mailing list, the Bureau's mailing list, and published on the Bureau's website.

- Q. Did the Department receive any comments on the proposed amendments?
- 12 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

The Bureau received comments during both public review periods from the EPA, watershed river conservation groups, municipalities, water districts, industrial and trade groups, private entities and citizens.

Comments received and the Bureau's responses are publicly available on our website and in the record as Bureau's Exhibits 8 and 9.

- Q. Did the Department change proposed amendments based on public comment?
- A. Yes. We made changes to the proposals based on public input.

The most significant changes are to the

- temporary standards and piscicide proposals. Details
 about these changes will be described by Ms. Pintado in
 her testimony.
 - Q. And did the Department prepare a document that shows all of the changes to date, including those made in response to more recent input?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

- The Bureau has prepared the Department's second revised petition and filed it on September 4th, 2015. This consolidates all changes made since the original and amended petitions.
- Q. Is the September 4th filing the Department's current and comprehensive petition for the Commission's approval?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Ms. Lemon, do you have any further testimony?
 - A. No. This concludes my direct testimony.
- 18 MR. VERHEUL: I have no further questions for 19 this witness.
- 20 MR. CHAVEZ: Counsel, I assume we're going to 21 keep the witnesses up there for cross-examination?
- MR. VERHEUL: Yes, as a panel.
- MR. CHAVEZ: You may proceed.
- MR. VERHEUL: Thank you.
- MS. BECKER: With that, we'll turn to the

Department's next witness.

2 KRIST

KRISTINE PINTADO

after having been first duly sworn or affirmed,
was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. BECKER:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Will you please introduce yourself and your current position?
- 9 A. My name is Kristine Pintado. I am the water
 10 quality standards coordinator in the Surface Water
 11 Quality Bureau for the Department.
 - I've been in my current position for three years, where my duties include developing proposals to update the surface water quality standards and related Clean Water Act implementation documents.
 - Q. And would you briefly describe your educational background and some of your related work experience?
- A. I have a bachelor's of science degree in dairy
 science and a master's of science degree in plant
 pathology and crop physiology from Louisiana State
 University.
- Before joining the Bureau, I worked for 19
 years in the Louisiana Department of Environmental
 Quality as an environmental scientist in surface water

quality management and pollution control programs implementing Clean Water Act requirements.

My experience includes developing and adopting revisions to water quality standards in New Mexico and Louisiana and drafting surface water discharge permits for industrial and municipal facilities for Louisiana.

7 My resume was filed as the Department's 8 Exhibit 14.

- Q. And did you also prepare technical testimony for this hearing?
- 11 A. Yes.

My direct testimony was filed as the
Department's Exhibit 13.

- Q. Do you have any corrections or additions to make to that testimony today?
 - A. Yes.

After filing the original petition, the Bureau met and worked with other parties to address their concerns, resulting in additional changes to the Department's petition.

The latest and most significant changes are in Sections 10 and 16, and I will describe them in more detail in my testimony today.

Q. With those noted changes that you just identified, do you adopt your prefiled testimony that

was filed on September 4th, 2015, as it is today?

A. Yes.

- Q. And how have changes from your testimony today and from your previously filed testimony been identified for the record?
 - A. The Bureau prepared a document summarizing all changes proposed to date, which was filed with the Commission on September 4th, 2015.

I will also identify the latest significant changes to Sections 10 and 16 and their bases in my verbal testimony today.

- Q. With that, would you briefly overview what is contained within your testimony?
- A. I will first discuss the Department's proposal for a new temporary standards procedure in Section 20.6.4.10 of the New Mexico Administrative Code.

From this point on, I will refer to all sections of 20.6.4 of NMAC by section, subsection or paragraph numbers.

Then I will discuss updates to piscicide use provisions in Section 16, and the addition of five ephemeral streams in Subsection 97(C).

Q. Ms. Pintado, as a result of the notice by
Amigos Bravos that piscicide regulations are no longer
in contest, would it be appropriate to omit that from

your direct testimony today?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. In summary, then, let's start with the proposed changes in Section 10 of Subsection F.
- A. Subsection 10(F) adds a procedure to petition the Commission to adopt a temporary water quality standard, which is regularly referred to as a temporary standard.
 - Q. And what is a temporary standard?
- A. It is a time limited and less stringent water quality standard for a specific pollutant adopted for a water body while the original standard remains in place.

As stated in the proposal, the temporary standard represents the highest degree of protection feasible in the short term and adoption will not cause further impairment or loss of an existing use.

- Q. How is a temporary standard different from changing the uses or criteria?
 - A. The original and underlying standard, including uses and related criteria, remains as the ultimate goal for the water body.
- Q. What information did the Bureau consider in drafting the procedures for temporary standards?
- A. Several elements were considered, including is a temporary standard procedure necessary, what are

the regulatory authorities to adopt a temporary standard under the Water Quality Act and the Clean Water Act, is it consistent with federal requirements, what is the state's procedure, how is a temporary standard applied and implemented, is it enforceable, and what are the comments from the public and EPA.

Q. After consideration, why is the temporary standard procedure proposed?

A. Temporary standards are needed when the standard is not attainable in the near term but can be attainable in the future.

The proposal provides for placement of feasible water-quality-based limits in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, or NPDES, permits and as conditions for restoration projects to achieve the standard.

While the Commission has already adopted regulatory tools, such as use attainability analyses, or UAAs, as discussed by Ms. Lemon, and for site-specific criteria, which are appropriate when uses or criteria are not attainable and should be refined or changed, the Commission has approved the use of compliance schedules to meet water-quality-based permit limits in the near term when appropriate.

Yet, temporary standards are different from

these other tools, as they allow for a modified standard for a specific time while steps are taken to achieve the original standard.

The federal water quality standards regulations allow use of temporary standards, and EPA has shown preference for approving temporary standards as opposed to approving UAAs that downgrade uses.

In fact, during the last triennial review, the Bureau committed to developing a proposal allowing temporary standards to be adopted. The Bureau has done that and now seeks the adoption of our petition.

- Q. What would be the result if the Commission does not adopt the temporary standard procedure?
- A. If time-limited temporary standards are not allowed, then implementing water quality improvement or restoration projects may not be feasible, progress is stuck, and water quality remains unimproved.

The Department may be forced to deny a Clean Water Act Section 401 certification of a federal Section 404 or dredge and fill permit. Such actions could be detrimental to water body restoration efforts and to economic conditions in the affected watershed community.

- Q. And what authorities allow the state to adopt the temporary standards procedure?
 - A. The federal water quality standards

regulations, in 40 CFR Sections 131.13 and 14, authorize states to adopt temporary standards, which function as water quality standards for a limited time.

Section 74-6-4(D) of the Water Quality Act authorizes the Commission to adopt water quality standards based on credible scientific data and other appropriate evidence.

This proposal outlines a public process consistent with requirements in EPA regulations to provide the data and evidence necessary for the Commission to adopt a temporary water quality standard.

- Q. Have other states adopted such a procedure?
- A. Yes.

According to EPA, at least 42 states have adopted temporary water quality standards procedures, including for temporary standards and for restoration standards.

- Q. And are the proposed requirements consistent with those in the EPA's water quality standards regulatory clarifications rule that was just finalized, I believe?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when was that finalized?
- 24 A. On August 21st, 2015.
- Q. Would you describe the temporary standard

procedure then?

A. A petitioner would prepare a proposal for a temporary standard based on one of the factors as applied -- as applies for the UAA process described earlier by Ms. Lemon and listed in the Bureau's NOI Exhibit Number 20.

The petitioner identifies the water body where it would apply, the pollutant, the highest attainable interim standard and achievable effluent levels as appropriate.

A work plan, with tasks and well justified timelines to achieve the original standard, would then be submitted for the Department's review and input.

The petitioner would then file the petition and a hearing request with the Commission.

From this point, the process would be the same as for adopting a water quality standard, which includes public review.

Once approved and adopted by the Commission, the temporary standard is submitted to EPA for approval under Clean Water Act Section 303(c).

A written progress report is provided to the Commission for subsequent reviews or at least every three years, as in a triennial review.

Q. And how would a temporary standard be applied

and implemented?

2.0

A. The temporary standard would apply to the water body and for a specific pollutant. All other applicable standards would not be affected; in other words, they would not change.

The federal regulations allow the temporary standard adopted by the state and approved by EPA to be implemented in federal NPDES permits and for certifying federal actions under Clean Water Act Section 404, such as for dredge and fill permits, or for restoration and reclamation activities.

Subsection 12.G, which is already adopted in the water quality standards, is an EPA-approved provision that authorizes compliance schedules; therefore, a compliance schedule may be used with the temporary standard so the permit complies with federal regulations and the Clean Water Act.

A temporary standard is not used to describe or assess water quality under Clean Water Act Sections 303(d) and 305(b).

- Q. Would a temporary standard be enforceable?
- A. Yes.

According to the federal regulations, a temporary standard serves as the applicable and enforceable water quality standard for implementing

NPDES permit regulations.

Subsection 12.H is proposed to be added, so the EPA will apply the temporary standard and associated requirements as enforceable limits and conditions in permits.

- Q. Did the Bureau make any changes to the draft temporary standard proposal based on comments received?
 - A. Yes.

Most comments focused on the state's authority and the federal requirements to adopt temporary standards.

The Bureau met with everyone who asked to discuss their concerns and also met after testimonies were filed.

Changes were made as a result of discussions with Amigos Bravos, EPA and the San Juan Water

Commission, that clarify and improve the temporary standards proposal.

- Q. Would you briefly summarize the changes to the petition proposal based on those discussions?
- A. The term "temporary standard" replaces
 "temporary criteria," as the affected use and criterion
 are linked together in the temporary standard.

The UAA requirement was removed because the underlying uses and criteria do not change.

The temporary standard is not limited to impaired waters, and there is no time limit.

2.0

These changes were also detailed in my prefiled direct testimony.

More recent changes include a citation for EPA's final water quality standards regulatory clarifications rule in Subsection F, paragraph 1, to be consistent with the applicable federal requirements just adopted.

As suggested by the San Juan Water Commission, and also consistent with the federal regulations, language is added in Subparagraph 10.F(1)(a) to allow for significant stream restoration, such as dam removal.

New language in paragraph 4 was added, as recommended by EPA, to require identification of factors that would apply to temporary standards, such as critical low flow.

Language is clarified and streamlined in paragraphs 5 through 10 based on suggestions from the San Juan Water Commission.

First, it is made clear the work plan is filed with the petition and that only one work plan is necessary.

Second, the work plan requirements in paragraphs 5 and 6 are merged into paragraph 5.

Third, a written progress report, as suggested by the San Juan Water Commission and Amigos Bravos, is added for the review required in paragraph 8, so there is a basis for the Commission's reevaluation.

Fourth, and finally, paragraph 10 was corrected so the Commission reviews requests for extensions and not the Department, and paragraphs renumbered and the citing changed accordingly.

- Q. So to sum it up, why is the temporary standards proposal important for the State of New Mexico?
- A. The state has adopted a number of Clean Water Act tools allowing regulatory flexibilities when it is appropriate to revise criteria, such as processes for site-specific criteria, refining designated uses and compliance schedules.

A tool or procedure is needed when the original standards are attainable and should not be changed, because downgrading a use or developing a site-specific criterion is not appropriate or allowable in those cases.

A temporary standard, as justified in the proposal, may be used as the water-quality-based limits in NPDES permits and as goals for watershed restoration projects.

The proposals in Subsections 10.F and 12.H are consistent with the federal regulations and the Commission's authorities under the Water Quality Act and provide a regulatory framework that works to improve water quality over time and ultimately achieve the original standard.

Q. Let's turn now to what you identified was Section 97.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Would you summarize those proposed additions, please?

A. The water quality standards, under Section -- Subsection 15.C, allow the use of the Bureau's hydrology protocol, or HP, to support a UAA for ephemeral waters.

Mr. Kougioulis will describe the HP and UAA process in more detail in his testimony.

In accordance with the HP process in Subsection 15.C and the UAA procedures for entities outside the Department in Subsection 15.D, the Bureau's HP was applied by Freeport-McMoRan to five streams in the Mimbres closed basin.

The Bureau concurs that the HP results, final UAA report and conclusions demonstrate these streams -- these five streams are naturally ephemeral and proposes listing them in Subsection 97(C).

Q. And if possible, Ms. Pintado, can you speak up

just a little bit? That would be appreciated.

Did the Bureau provide a public comment period

for that HP UAA, the hydrology protocol use

attainability use analysis report, for those five

ephemeral drainages?

- A. Yes, the HP results and UAA draft were publicly noticed for a 30-day review period from January 15th, 2013, through February 14th, 2014.
- Q. And were there any changes to the HP UAA report based on public comments?
- A. Yes.

The UAA report was revised by

Freeport-McMoRan, as recommended in the comments

received, and these changes are in the final HP UAA

report dated October, 2014, which is the Bureau's

Exhibit 31.

- Q. And what is the Bureau's position on the five ephemeral drainages?
- A. Based on the application of the HP and the final October, 2014, UAA report, the five streams are recommended for the Commission's approval to assign ephemeral uses and criteria under Subsection 97(C).

The Surface Water Quality Bureau and
Groundwater Quality Bureau worked with Freeport-McMoRan
and EPA throughout this process and on finalizing the

1 UAA report. At this time, the EPA's technical approval 2 is pending.

If the Commission approves these changes, they will be submitted to EPA with the other Commission-approved triennial revisions for final oversight approval under Clean Water Act Section 303(c).

- Q. With that, Ms. Pintado, is there anything I failed to ask or anything further you would like to say?
 - A. No. That concluded my testimony.
 - MS. BECKER: Okay. It's yours.

JODEY KOUGIOULIS

after having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. VERHEUL:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Mr. Kougioulis, please introduce yourself and outline your professional responsibilities.
- A. My name is Jodey Kougioulis. And since
 November of 2011, I've been employed as the quality
 assurance officer for the New Mexico Environment
 Department's Surface Water Quality Bureau.

I'm responsible for assessing and approving the Bureau's quality assurance and quality control measures related to data collection and data use.

I also assist with the development and

- implementation of surface water quality standards by
 analyzing data, researching federal and state
 requirements, and responding to public comment.
 - Q. Mr. Kougioulis, I would ask you, could you please speak up a little bit to ensure that the audience can hear you?
 - A. Sure.

4

5

6

7

11

- Q. Also, I wanted to clarify your last answer.

 Have you been with the New Mexico Environment

 Department since November of 2011 or 2010?
 - A. 2010.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- A. Sorry.
- Q. Please describe your professional experience and education.
- 16 A. Yes.
- I hold a bachelor of science's degree in environmental engineering from Montana Tech.
- Prior to joining the Bureau, I was the water
 resource manager for the Pueblo of Sandia; and before
 that, I was a hydrologist for the Pueblo of Santa Ana.
- In both these positions, I worked closely with
 legal staff in the development, negotiation, and
 protection of water rights.
- I've also worked as a hydrologist for the

United States Forest Service, as a hydrologic technician
for the National Park Service, and as a research
specialist for the Montana Bureau of Lands and Geology.

In each of these positions, water quality monitoring was a large component of my responsibilities.

My complete resume is included as Bureau Exhibit 40.

- Q. Did you prepare written technical testimony for this public hearing?
- 10 A. Yes. My written technical testimony was filed 11 as Bureau Exhibit 39.
 - Q. And do you adopt Bureau Exhibit 39 as your sworn testimony for the record in this hearing?
 - A. Yes. I adopt Bureau Exhibit 39 as my sworn testimony, and I also adopt as part of my testimony Proposal A of Bureau Exhibit 46, which is the direct testimony of former Surface Water Quality Bureau employee Ms. Debbie Sarabia.
 - Ms. Sarabia is no longer employed with the Bureau, and I am adopting a portion of her testimony, Proposal A, which is directly related to my own.
 - Q. Has anything changed to your testimony or to your adopted portion of Ms. Sarabia's testimony since they were filed?
- 25 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Will you please briefly summarize the nature of your testimony regarding proposed changes to the New Mexico water quality standards?

2.0

A. Yes. I'm presenting the conclusions of two use attainment analyses, or UAAs. Each UAA is based on the use of the Bureau's approved hydrology protocol, or HP.

The first UAA, which is part of my direct written testimony, concerns 20 non-perennial water segments associated with 13 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permitted facilities in New Mexico, which we simply refer to as NPDES-permitted facilities.

The second UAA is Proposal A of Ms. Sarabia's direct testimony, which concerns four perennial waters located in the Pecos River basin and the Tularosa Valley and Mimbres closed basin.

In each UAA, we assess site-specific hydrological factors to determine if they are affecting the attainment of designated uses in these non-perennial waters.

To do this, we use the HP, which is a technical document that was developed to distinguish between ephemeral, intermittent and perennial waters, streams and rivers in New Mexico.

Q. Is the hydrology protocol, or the HP, only

used to distinguish between ephemeral, intermittent and perennial waters?

A. No. Actually, the HP was developed to not only provide a methodology for distinguishing among hydrologic stream types, but also generate documentation of the existing uses supported by a stream's natural hydrology.

The ability to document these uses is key to assuring that the appropriate water quality standards are applied to a water body; specifically, non-perennial waters.

Basically, the HP is used to establish the most protective and attainable uses for a particular site based on the naturally existing hydrology. Used in this way, the HP supports the conclusion of the UAA, which addresses the attainment of uses based on existing hydrology. We simply refer to these types of UAAs as HP UAAs.

The real goal of an HP UAA, or any UAA, is to establish water quality criteria that neither underprotects nor overprotects for the existing and attainable uses.

For the water segments listed in these two HP UAAs, the Bureau found that the highest attainable recreation use currently being achieved is that of

secondary human contact and that the highest attainable aquatic life use currently being achieved is limited to aquatic life. In this particular case, this results in the application of less stringent criteria.

- Q. Were public notices issued for both of these HP UAAs?
- A. Yes. Both HP UAAs were open to a 30-day

 public comment period. Notices were sent out to the

 Bureau's list of interested parties and also published

 in general circulation newspapers. We also had a 30-day

 public notice period notice posted to the Bureau's

 website.
 - Q. Did the Bureau receive any comments on either of these HP UAAs?
 - A. Yes.

The UAA concerning the four ephemeral waters located in the Pecos River basin and the Tularosa Valley and Mimbres closed basins received one comment expressing support for the proposal.

The UAA associated with the 13 NPDES-permitted facilities also received one comment expressing support for the proposal and one comment expressing concerns regarding the use of the HP.

Q. Did the Bureau provide responses to all the comments that were received for both of these HP UAAs?

A. Yes.

- Q. Did the Bureau make any changes in response to the comments it received?
- A. No. Responses to comments did not require any changes to the HP UAAs.
- Q. Is a scientific demonstration necessary to change criteria related to the stream segments listed in these two HP UAAs?
- A. Not in all cases, but if the proposed change is to refine a designated use to a use requiring less stringent criteria, then a scientific demonstration -- in this case, a UAA -- is required.

The HP was developed to provide the required scientific demonstration addressing one of the UAA eligible factors listed in the Clean Water Act regulations. This particular factor, known as Factor 2, states that natural, ephemeral, intermittent or low-flow conditions or water levels prevent the attainment of the use.

So specific to Factor 2, the HP is a methodology used by the Bureau to determine a stream segment in naturally existing hydrology and document the existing and attainable uses based on that hydrology, which is particularly useful in non-perennial waters in New Mexico.

So to reiterate the findings, these two UAAs concluded that the proposed stream segments listed in each HP UAA are naturally ephemeral and that the highest attainable uses are that of secondary human contact and limited aquatic life.

Q. You mentioned that the hydrology protocol, the HP, is the methodology used by the Bureau which is particularly useful in the determination of appropriate designated uses for non-perennial waters in New Mexico.

Why is that?

A. Yes. The state has many waters which are specific -- or are not specifically identified by name or segment in the New Mexico water quality standards.

Collectively, these waters fall into two categories: perennial or non-perennial.

Each category has specific designated uses and criteria that support the Clean Water Act fishable/swimmable uses and criteria.

However, among the non-perennial waters, there are actually two types, ephemeral and intermittent, and each has different human contact and aquatic life criteria.

The HP is then used to distinguish between ephemeral and intermittent waters to generate the documentation of existing attainable uses based on the

naturally existing hydrology.

By documenting the site-specific hydrologic, biologic and geomorphic indicators, the appropriate human contact and aquatic life uses and criteria can be assigned to a non-perennial water body.

- Q. What about perennial waters in New Mexico?

 Can the HP be used on those as well?
- A. Yes. The HP provides a methodology for distinguishing among all intermittent, perennial and ephemeral streams and rivers in New Mexico.

However, it is particularly useful in distinguishing among non-perennial waters, where the assignment of designated uses and criteria depend on the determination of the naturally existing hydrology and the documentation of the existing and attainable uses.

- Q. Who is responsible for approving an HP UAA and the resulting changes to criteria?
- A. Ultimately, this Commission and EPA Region 6, but this occurs after the HP UAA has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Bureau and EPA Region 6 that certain designated uses are not feasible due to natural, ephemeral, intermittent or low-flow conditions, or water levels which prevent the attainment of that use.
- Q. Has EPA Region 6 reviewed and provided feedback on these HP UAAs?

A. Yes.

Technical approval from EPA Region 6 was received for both HP UAAs. In their technical approval, EPA Region 6 concluded that the uses and criteria associated with ephemeral waters in New Mexico can apply to these stream segments listed in the HP UAAs for all regulatory purposes.

- Q. Did you prepare the HP UAA for the 20 stream segments associated with the 13 NPDES-permitted facilities which are proposed to be listed as ephemeral?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you support the conclusions that these 20 stream segments are ephemeral, based on the evidence supported through the HP UAA?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you prepare the HP UAA for the four water segments located in the Pecos River basin and Tularosa Valley and Mimbres closed basins, which are proposed to be listed as ephemeral?
- A. No. This HP UAA was prepared by Ms. Debbie
 Sarabia.
 - Q. Do you support the conclusions reached by

 Ms. Sarabia that the four stream segments in the Pecos

 River basin and in the Tularosa and Mimbres closed

 basins included in Bureau Exhibit 46, Proposal A, are

ephemeral?

1

8

11

- A. Yes. I have reviewed this HP UAA and support the conclusions that these stream segments are ephemeral.
- Q. Do you have anything further to add to your testimony?
- 7 A. No.

BRYAN DAIL

9 after having been first duly sworn or affirmed,
10 was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 12 BY MR. VERHEUL:
- Q. Dr. Dail, please introduce yourself.
- A. Hello. My name is Bryan Dail, and I'm

 currently employed as an environmental scientist with

 the New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water

 Quality Bureau. I've held this position since June of

 2013.
- 19 I'm responsible for data assessment in support
 20 of standards development and also changes to the
 21 existing water quality standards as we find them
 22 appropriate.
- I also assist the Bureau's monitoring and
 assessment teams and work closely with Mr. Kougioulis,
 the quality assurance officer, on a number of issues,

- 1 including data, quality, and outside proposals to the 2 Bureau.
 - Q. Please describe your education and your professional experience.
 - A. I hold a bachelor degree in biology, with a chemistry as a minor, from the University of New Mexico, and a PhD in microbiology from the University of Georgia, with an emphasis on nutrient cycling.

After that, I was a postdoctoral scholar with the Energy Institute in the Department of Agronomy at Penn State University, and I held that position for two years.

Prior to joining the Department, I was an assistant professor, then research assistant professor, with the University of Maine.

In combination, I held those positions for 12 years and operated a research program dedicated to the soil and water sciences, with an emphasis of nutrient cycling, disturbance and environmental change.

- Q. And was your resume prefiled in this proceeding?
- A. Yes. My professional resume was filed as Exhibit Number 58.
 - Q. That's the Bureau's Exhibit 58?
- 25 A. Yes.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Did you prepare written technical testimony for this hearing?
- A. Yes. My written technical testimony was previously filed as the Bureau's Exhibit 57.

In addition, I will be presenting other prepared testimony on record, Proposal B regarding the Animas River use attainability analysis. This is Surface Water Quality Bureau Exhibit Number 46 and is the direct testimony of former Surface Water Quality Bureau employee, Ms. Debbie Sarabia. I have carefully reviewed this Animas UAA, comments from outside parties and responses to those comments.

- Q. Has anything changed regarding your testimony or Proposal B of Ms. Sarabia's testimony since these testimonies were prefiled?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. And do you adopt Bureau Exhibit 57 and
 Exhibit 46 as your sworn testimony for the record in
 this hearing?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you briefly summarize the nature of your testimony and adopted testimony regarding proposed changes to the water quality standards?
- 25 A. Yes.

Today, I'll be presenting three changes -- proposed changes to the Administrative Code.

The first was an error in segment identification within the Gila basin, and the second and third proposals are for use attainability analyses regarding current aquatic life uses for the Animas and Mimbres basins.

- Q. Please describe your findings for the three proposals that are before the Commission.
- A. Well, first, we identified an error at segment 503, or 503, in the Gila basin description, misidentifying part -- or a section of the West Fork Gila River, near the town of Gila Hot Springs, as the main branch of the Gila River.

The Bureau relies upon United States

Geological Survey, or USGS, maps to identify proper place names and in adopting the proper name descriptions.

We needed to also consider the different water quality criteria associated with the branches of the Gila, the west fork and the main stem.

A map included in filed testimony, Exhibit 59, illustrates our problem. Because the West Fork Gila and the main stem of the Gila have different specific conductance criteria, we then investigated water quality

data to see if this misidentified section could maintain the lower specific conductance associated with the rest of the west fork of the Gila.

Q. And what did you find?

- A. We determined that the west fork of the Gila below the town of Gila Hot Springs could indeed meet the most stringent specific conductance criteria and, therefore, that criteria should be applied to the entire length of the West Fork Gila.
 - Q. And what about the other proposals, Dr. Dail?
- A. Second, we demonstrated a necessary refinement of aquatic life uses for the Animas River in Northwest New Mexico through the use attainability analysis.

The UAA demonstrated that the current designated uses in the Animas were improperly identified and that the preponderance of evidence supported an aquatic life use change from marginal cold-water and cold-water uses to cool-water aquatic life uses.

Specifically, segments 403 and 404 were found to need adjustment to the aquatic life use, and that this is a result of local climate; for example, air temperatures which drive the natural temperature regimes of these segments in the stream.

These segments can support the cool-water use assignment. Both historical and recent fish assemblages

and their thermal preferences confirmed this finding.

The last proposal I'm presenting is a similar refinement for the aquatic life uses for the Mimbres River in Southwestern New Mexico.

For the Mimbres UAA, two segments, 803 and 804, have aquatic life uses of cold water and high-quality cold water, respectively. Temperature records, the ecological setting and native fish data strongly suggested these were not properly assigned aquatic life uses.

We propose keeping the high-quality cold-water segment only for the uppermost wilderness-bound sections of the 804 segment of the Mimbres, and we propose making this headwater segment shorter to indicate this and renumbering it as segment 807; assign the remainder of the segment 804, from the headwater eco-regional boundary at Cooney Canyon downstream to Allie Canyon, as a cold-water aquatic life use; and the Mimbres, as it heads into the Chihuahuan eco-regional zone, assigning a cool-water use, which is more appropriate to the fish community and the achievable temperatures therein, and this would be segment 803.

- Q. Was there public participation in the development of these proposals?
- A. Yes. Both UAAs were posted to our website as

public drafts. Public draft notices were published in several newspapers. Notices were also e-mailed to the Bureau's interested parties' e-mail list and made available upon request.

The Gila segment changes were open to public comment via a 30-day triennial or public draft release.

The public comment period on the draft Animas
River UAA opened November 18th, 2013, and closed
December 20th, 2013. A public meeting was held in
Farmington, New Mexico, on Tuesday, December 17th, 2013,
to present and discuss the draft UAA.

The Mimbres UAA was on draft public notice for review and comment during the April 1st through May 30th 60-day period. Additionally, the public draft on Mimbres was made available in multiple hard copies at a public meeting for the Upper Gila, San Francisco and Mimbres TMDL on July 10th, 2014.

- Q. Did the Bureau receive comments on any of the proposals that you are presenting today?
- A. The Bureau received no comments on the Gila segment corrections, nor on the Mimbres use attainability analysis; however, six comments were received for the Animas UAA.

Regarding the Animas comments, the Bureau received supporting commentary from the Animas Watershed

Partnership, the San Juan Watershed Group, the Southern
Ute Indian Tribe, the Southwestern Water Conservation
District, and the San Juan Water Commission.

The San Juan Water Commission was generally supportive; however, suggested some reevaluation of data supporting one segment's aquatic life use assignment.

This reevaluation was made and minor changes, supported by the available data, the San Juan Water Commission and the Bureau, allowed us to alleviate the concern.

EPA Region 6 also provided numerous comments and edits, which were resolved and included in a final version of the Animas UAA, which then received technical approval from EPA Region 6 in November of 2014.

- Q. Did the Bureau provide responses to all the comments that it received?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Has anything changed since you -- since your written testimony was filed?
 - A. Yes. There was one change, and the Bureau's Mimbres UAA received a technical approval letter and supporting documentation in May of 2015.
 - Q. And who was that letter from?
- A. It was from EPA Region 6.
- Q. And what is the significance of a technical approval letter?

- A. Well, it's not a final approval letter. It indicates the EPA finds the technical aspects of the UAA document to be sound and complete.
- Q. Do you support the conclusions regarding proper designated use assignments proposed for segments specified in the Mimbres and Animas UAAs?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you have any further testimony at this time?
- 10 A. This concludes my testimony.

11 KIRK PATTEN

after having been first duly sworn or affirmed,
was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. VERHEUL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Patten, please introduce yourself and outline your professional responsibilities.
- A. Okay. My name is Kirk Patten. I'm assistant chief of fisheries for the New Mexico Department of Game & Fish.
 - In that capacity, I supervise a group of 20 to 25 biologists and technicians who work on anything from sport fish management to native fish management. We also have an aquatic invasive species team which conducts activities to keep, for example, zebra and

- quagga mussels out of our state.
- Q. Did you prepare any written technical
- 3 testimony for this hearing?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. Are you only providing verbal testimony then 6 today?
- 7 A. Yes.

1

- Q. And what is the nature of that testimony?
- 9 A. Essentially, I'm here to express my interest
 10 and support for the proposed changes to the piscicide
 11 rule.
- 12 Q. The piscicide rule as proposed by the New 13 Mexico Environment Department?
- 14 A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. Do you have anything to add to that?
- 16 A. No.
- MR. VERHEUL: Thank you.
- 18 That concludes our direct testimony.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. I'd like to go to the
- 20 parties first for cross-examination.
- I think we can start this way.
- Do you have any cross-examination for the
- 23 witnesses?
- 24 MS. McCALEB: Yes, Mr. Hearing Officer, I do.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Come up.

MS. McCALEB: It's, hopefully, short.

MR. CHAVEZ: No problem.

2.4

MS. McCALEB: Good afternoon, Mr. Hearing Officer, Mr. Chair, Members of the Commission.

My name is Jolene McCaleb. I'm an attorney for the San Juan Water Commission. I have just a bit of a short cross-examination, a few questions to ask you. I believe most of it will be directed most likely at Ms. Lemon, but if any of you have anything to add, please feel free to jump in. I understand that you are being examined as a panel.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. McCALEB

MS. McCALEB: I would like to start with the topic of the stream segments, the nine stream segments, that are proposed to be changed from the previous designated use of secondary contact to primary contact, and I do believe that Ms. Lemon provided most of the direct testimony on that topic.

Ms. Lemon, do you know when the nine segments that the Department proposes to designate as primary contact were first designated as secondary contact?

MS. LEMON: It's variable. It goes back to 1988 and 2005. There was -- there were some segments that were designated in the last triennial review.

Is there a segment specific that you're

looking for?

MS. McCALEB: No, ma'am. I just wanted to get a general idea of whether some of them have been around for decades and whether some were more recent, and that provides the information I needed.

It looks like some -- some segments were designated then as secondary contact, as you said, back in the 1980s, and then some more recently, as recent as the last triennial review.

Is that correct?

MS. LEMON: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: Thank you.

And at the time these segments were designated as secondary contact uses, EPA did not object to those designations. Is that correct?

MS. LEMON: As far as I'm aware of, no, they did not.

MS. McCALEB: And at that time the EPA did not require a UAA proving that primary contact was not the more appropriate designated use?

MS. LEMON: As far as I'm aware, no, they did not.

In the 2009 triennial -- in the last triennial, they did require use attainability analyses for the ephemeral designation, which was a secondary

1 | contact designated use.

MS. McCALEB: But they did not require a UAA
with regard to any of the nine stream segments we're
talking about right now?

5 MS. LEMON: At the time, I am not aware of 6 that. No.

MS. McCALEB: Okay. And has the Environment Department received any sort of a letter from EPA demanding that these segments be upgraded to primary contact?

MS. LEMON: We have not received a letter from EPA indicating that.

It is required for the Department and the Bureau to review any water quality segments with these non-fishable/swimmable uses, we're required to review those, and in our review for this triennial review, we noted that there was primary contact recreation occurring on these nine stream segments, and that's why they are being proposed for upgrades.

MS. McCALEB: And you testified that the upgrade needs to be based on new information showing that primary contact is an attainable use, is that correct?

MS. LEMON: On new information and review, yes.

1 MS. McCALEB: Okay. And you either need to 2 show that the primary contact use is attainable or is 3 already an existing use, is that correct? 4 MS. LEMON: That is correct. What is the definition of 5 MS. McCALEB: 6 primary contact in the surface water standards? 7 MS. LEMON: I will read directly. MS. McCALEB: I believe it's at 20.6.4.7.P(5). 8 MS. LEMON: "'Primary contact' means any 9 10 recreational or other water use in which there is a prolonged and intimate human contact with the water, 11 12 such as swimming and water skiing, involving 13 considerable risk of ingesting water in quantities 14 sufficient to pose a significant health hazard. Primary 15 contact also means any use of surface waters of the 16 state for cultural, religious or ceremonial purposes in which there is intimate human contact with the water, 17 18 including but not limited to ingestion or immersion, 19 that could pose a significant health hazard." 20 MS. McCALEB: And it's your position that the 21 testimony that you have provided provides information 22 that shows that each of the nine segments have 23 activities that would fall within the definition of 24 primary contact. Is that correct?

That's correct.

MS. LEMON:

MS. McCALEB: I would like to go through each of those nine segments, if we can, please, and look at both the information that was provided in the Bureau's petition under bases for change and also the direct testimony that was filed by Ms. Pintado.

And for Ms. Pintado's direct testimony, that's the Bureau's Exhibit Number 13, starting on page 77-89.

And for the statement of basis with the petition, that would be the Department's original petition, beginning on page 17.

- MR. VERHEUL: Mr. Hearing Officer --
- MR. CHAVEZ: Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

- MR. VERHEUL: -- I think this is in the form of an objection.
 - I would ask that we make references to the latest filing of the Environment Department, the September 4th second revised petition, so that we're all working off the same document.
- MS. McCALEB: If I recall correctly, the second revised petition did not contain the statement of basis.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Counsel, can you confirm that it did not?
- 24 MR. VERHEUL: Yes. That's correct.
- MS. McCALEB: So is it all right to proceed

1 with the original petition? 2 MR. VERHEUL: I withdraw any objection. 3 MR. CHAVEZ: Great. 4 Thank you. 5 MS. McCALEB: Thank you. 6 MS. PINTADO: What was the first exhibit? 7 The first exhibit was Exhibit MS. McCALEB: Number 13, which was Ms. Pintado's written direct 8 9 testimony, beginning at page, I believe, 77-89. 10 MS. PINTADO: Okay. 11 MS. McCALEB: And the other exhibit was the 12 Department's June, 2014, original petition, and I'm 13 sorry, my copy does not have the exhibit number. 14 MR. VERHEUL: It's Exhibit 2. Thank you. 15 MS. McCALEB: 16 MR. VERHEUL: Page 17. MS. LEMON: 17? 17 18 MS. McCALEB: Yes, page 17. 19 MS. LEMON: We'll get there. Okay. 2.0 MS. McCALEB: Thank you. 21 As I looked through both the Department's 22 statement of basis and Ms. Pintado's direct testimony, 23 it appears that for each stream segment you state that

attainable and primary use may be existing and primary

"The Bureau has no evidence that this use is not

24

25

110 Twelfth Street, Northwest, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 243-5018 - Fax (505) 243-3606

contact use is likely attainable."

Is that correct?

3 MS. LEMON: Is that for me or for Ms. Pintado?

MS. McCALEB: For either. For example, maybe

5 I can point you to it.

Ms. Pintado, in your direct testimony, for example, on page 79-89, for -- let's say number four there, Section 20.6.4.116, beginning on line six, "The SWQB has no evidence that this use is not attainable and information indicates that primary contact use may be an existing use as defined under 20.6.4.7.E(3) NMAC and likely attainable."

Do you see that?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: And I have seen that language in your direct testimony with respect to all nine segments.

Would that be correct?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: And then to tie that up with the statement of basis and the Department's petition, for example, on page 17, with respect to segment 20.6.4.103, in the basis of change, you have almost the same language in the next-to-the-last sentence, "The Bureau has no evidence that this use is not attainable and

- primary contact use may be existing and is likely
 attainable."
- 3 Is that correct?
- 4 MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- MS. McCALEB: Is it the Bureau's position that
 no evidence a use is not attainable is the same showing
 as evidence that a use is attainable?
- 8 MS. PINTADO: Based on the rebuttable 9 presumption, yes.
- MS. McCALEB: And is it the Department's

 position that a determination that primary use may be

 existing is the same as evidence that a use is existing?
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- MS. LEMON: Yes.
- MS. McCALEB: And it's your conclusion that

 primary -- because primary contact use is likely

 attainable, that's the same as evidence that the use is

 attainable?
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- 20 MS. McCALEB: Let's look at these segments 21 individually, beginning with segment 20.6.4.103.
- And you state -- or, I'm sorry, the Bureau

 states in its petition that "While swimming in this area

 is 'at your own risk,' this portion of the Rio Grande is

 accessible for swimming and bodily contact can occur

with a risk of ingesting water."

Is it the Bureau's position that if a water is accessible that it must be assumed that swimming is a use that is occurring in that water?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MS. LEMON: We do have evidence that swimming is likely for -- or water-based recreation, with the result of possible immersion or ingestion, is occurring in these nine stream segments.

MS. McCALEB: But in the basis for change and in the direct testimony -- for example, for this segment, you state that swimming in the area is at your own risk.

And what evidence has been provided of that other than this statement?

MS. LEMON: There are hot springs located right on the river, and there is swimming that does occur, that we have Bureau staff who have witnessed swimming not only in this segment but in other segments, or boating and water-based recreation, that could possibly meet the definition -- that could meet the definition of primary contact.

MS. McCALEB: And is all the evidence you have of that nature provided in the Department's Rebuttal Exhibit 2?

- MS. PINTADO: Yes.

 MS. LEMON: And you provided this as an

 exhibit. What is that exhibit?

 Yes.

 MS. McCALEB: And has the Bureau provided any
 - evidence at all other than this Rebuttal Exhibit 2
 showing evidence of primary contact use in these nine
 stream segments?
- 9 MS. LEMON: No.

6

7

8

22

- MS. McCALEB: Okay. Could we take a look at this Rebuttal Exhibit 2, please?
- I notice it does not have a date on it. Can you tell me when it was prepared?
- MR. DAIL: I believe that's the memo --
- MS. LEMON: Yes.
- 16 MR. DAIL: -- from Bryan Dail.
- MS. McCALEB: Yes.
- MR. DAIL: That was prepared over the course of several months prior to submission of testimony, the NOI.
- MS. McCALEB: Prior to the Department's
- MR. DAIL: Correct.

submission of its NOI?

MS. McCALEB: Can you tell me why it wasn't referred to in any of the direct testimony and it was

- 1 provided only after all rebuttal testimony had been 2 filed -- or it was provided with the rebuttal testimony? MS. PINTADO: It was finalized for rebuttal. 3 It was finalized for rebuttal. 4 MS. McCALEB: Okay. So you did not intend to 5 6 rely on this when you provided your direct testimony? 7 MS. PINTADO: I wouldn't necessarily say that. 8 It was provided for rebuttal, when it was necessary. MS. McCALEB: And could we look at page three, 9 10 please, of Exhibit -- Rebuttal Exhibit 2? 11 With regard to segment 103 in the Rio Grande 12 basin, the Department is relying solely on a website 13 describing public pools accessible for swimming at your
- MR. DAIL: If I might interject. Bryan Dail.

 Segment 103 relies on web-based information,

 but also Department personnel who have witnessed

 kayaking on that segment and swimming.

own risk. Is that correct?

14

19

20

- MS. McCALEB: And where have you provided evidence of Department personnel stating that they have witnessed kayaking at that segment?
- MR. DAIL: It was a personal communication that may not have made it to that document.
- MS. McCALEB: So that evidence has not been presented in this hearing, is that correct?

- 1 MR. DAIL: Not to my knowledge.
- 2 MS. McCALEB: And let's look at segment number
- $3 \mid 116 \text{ of the Rio Grande basin.}$
- This memo notes that Ojo Caliente Hot Springs
 is in that segment and rafting and float trips have been
 observed.
- 7 MR. DAIL: Yes.
- 8 MS. McCALEB: Observed -- who observed those 9 float trips?
- MR. DAIL: Often it's Departmental personnel,
 in the context of them performing surveys, which occur
 probably between May and September of the year -- of the
 survey year that would have included those segments.
- MS. McCALEB: But there is no evidence

 provided here of who saw the rafting and float trips and
 when, is that correct?
- MS. LEMON: Footnote 3 does. It says, "Bureau staff has observed rafting and float trip on this segment. The Bureau of Land Management offers rafting activities on lower and upper segments of the Rio
- 21 Chama."
- MS. McCALEB: And the BLM information is based on their website, is that correct?
- MS. LEMON: And from our own information, yes.
- MS. McCALEB: And from your own information.

But you do not provide any information about what staff observed the rafting or when, is that correct?

MS. LEMON: It's Bureau monitoring staff. Our monitoring staff.

Do you need a specific name?

MS. McCALEB: I'm just asking --

MS. LEMON: Is that what you're looking for?

MS. McCALEB: I'm just asking, other than this statement that Bureau staff has observed it, there is no evidence provided about who observed it and when; is that correct?

MS. LEMON: That's correct.

MS. McCALEB: And there is no evidence provided about any documentation that the Department has indicating that this was observed. Is that correct?

MS. LEMON: That's correct.

MS. McCALEB: With regard to segment 124, what evidence is there that privately owned hot springs provide evidence of existing contact recreation use?

MR. DAIL: In historical documents on that particular segment, it includes a private in-holding within what is now the park which was developed for hot springs use. It is not currently used, but there is indication that attainability, post Water Quality Act November 25th --

- 1 MS. PINTADO: 1975.
- 2 MR. DAIL: -- 1975, has indeed occurred.
- MS. McCALEB: But it's not currently being
- 4 used as such, is that correct?
- 5 MR. DAIL: I don't believe so.
- 6 MR. KOUGIOULIS: But, by definition, an
- 7 existing use since -- it was since 1975.
- 8 MS. McCALEB: But post-1975, the Water Quality
- 9 | Control Commission designated this with a secondary
- 10 | contact use. Is that correct?
- 11 MR. DAIL: I believe so.
- MS. McCALEB: With regard to segment 206 in
- 13 the Pecos River basin, on page five, this is a segment
- 14 -- Pecos River from Brantley Reservoir to Salt Creek,
- 15 | and the notes indicate simply that Brantley Reservoir
- 16 downstream of this segment has primary contact use. Is
- 17 | that correct?
- MR. DAIL: Correct.
- 19 MS. McCALEB: Is there any evidence provided
- 20 here that this segment upstream of Brantley Reservoir
- 21 | has primary contact use?
- MR. DAIL: Well, not that I submitted for this
- 23 | particular memo, unless it's listed -- it's footnoted.
- MS. LEMON: No. But we do need to protect
- 25 downstream uses.

- MS. McCALEB: The basis for your proposal to
 upgrade the use here is because primary use is an
 existing -- primary contact is an existing or attainable
 use, correct?
- 5 MS. LEMON: I would have to look at our basis 6 for change.

7 Under the basis of change for segment 206, it 8 says, "The Department has no evidence that this use is not attainable and information indicates that primary 9 10 contact use may be existing and is likely attainable. 11 To be consistent with the latest EPA recommendations for 12 recreational contact and Clean Water Act Section 101(a) 13 goals, the designated use for secondary contact is 14 upgraded to the primary contact use with corresponding criteria." 15

- MS. McCALEB: And that's the same statement that the Bureau has made in each basis of change for these nine segments, correct?
 - MS. LEMON: I would have to check.

16

17

18

- 20 MS. McCALEB: And there is nothing in this 21 statement of basis about needing to protect downstream 22 uses, correct?
- MS. LEMON: Not in this one. As far as I can tell.
- MS. McCALEB: With regard to segment 213 in

the Pecos River basin, which is McAllister Lake, this
memo notes that McAllister Lake is pubically accessible
for camping, boating and fishing when open. There is no
statement here about swimming.

2.4

Was that an oversight, or was it an assumption that because there is boating, there will also be swimming?

MS. LEMON: It is likely -- or it is a possibility that somebody could be immersed in the water, either accidentally or on purpose, if there is boating occurring on the lake.

MS. McCALEB: And what is the definition of secondary contact use?

MS. LEMON: Do you have it?

"'Secondary contact' means any recreational or other water use in which human contact with the water may occur and in which the probability of ingesting appreciable quantities of water is minimal, such as fishing, wading, commercial and recreational boating and any limited seasonal contact."

MS. McCALEB: So in its water quality standards, the Commission has determined that recreational boating is a secondary contact use; correct?

MS. LEMON: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: And with regard to McAllister

Lake, there is a footnote here about accidental water

alteration and algal bloom that caused a fish kill which

has limited fishing in the lake since 2007.

So if fishing in the lake is limited, would that necessarily also mean that perhaps any swimming, if any, is also limited?

8 MS. LEMON: I can't answer that. I don't 9 know.

MS. McCALEB: But you've provided no evidence that the primary contact use of swimming is occurring at McAllister Lake, correct?

MS. LEMON: Our assumption, as I stated before, is that if there is water-based recreation, such as kayaking, boating, fishing, swimming, that when you're on top of the water, there is a probability that, either accidental or on purpose, you will go swimming, and there is a potential for that risk of ingestion of water.

MS. McCALEB: But under the definitions of New Mexico Surface Water Quality Standards, fishing, wading and boating are secondary contact uses; correct?

MS. LEMON: Correct.

MS. McCALEB: Are you familiar with 74-6-4(D) of the New Mexico Water Quality Act, which provides that

1 surface water quality standards adopted by this
2 Commission must be based on credible scientific data and

other evidence appropriate under the Act?

- MS. LEMON: Yes. And we found no evidence for the secondary contact use. No scientific support for that use to be applied.
- And based on the rebuttable presumption that
 all waters are fishable/swimmable, that would mean
 primary contact use should be implemented in these
 segments.
- MS. McCALEB: And EPA -- you testified that

 EPA, after the 2005 triennial review, talked about the

 need to do a UAA for -- before ephemeral waters could be

 designated with non-101(a)(2) uses; correct?
- MS. LEMON: Yes.

- MS. McCALEB: And a UAA is required to
 downgrade the use to secondary contact or limit aquatic
 life, correct?
- MS. LEMON: Not just for ephemeral waters.

 Correct.
- MS. McCALEB: Okay. Correct.
- EPA issued that determination or made its
 position known with respect to an activity where the
 Water Quality Control Commission was, in the first
 instance, designating a use; is that correct?

MS. LEMON: In the -- are you referring -- can 2 you rephrase that?

MS. McCALEB: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the 2005 triennial review, the Water Quality Control Commission drew an objection from EPA because it was at that time designating certain streams as having secondary contact uses and limited aquatic life uses. Is that correct?

I would have to look at their MS. LEMON: record of decision for their basis for these segments, because -- do we have that? I don't have it.

MS. PINTADO: I don't think we do.

13 MS. McCALEB: Let me ask the question another 14 way.

Do you have any evidence that EPA has stated that you must have a UAA supporting a previously designated use of secondary contact?

MS. LEMON: Yes. We are supposed to review any segments that do not meet the fishable/swimmable goals, and if there is no UAA or other scientific evidence to support that lesser designation, then we are required to evaluate and see if that use is attainable in this segment or existing in the segment.

MS. McCALEB: And where in the direct testimony or any of the exhibits that you've provided is there evidence that you have to do a UAA?

What I see in the direct testimony is the

statement "If any new information has become available,

if such new information indicates that the uses

specified in Section 101(a)(2) are attainable, the state

shall revise its standards accordingly." And that was

MS. PINTADO: Right.

MS. McCALEB: And so all of the new information that you have found is provided in SWQB Rebuttal Exhibit 2, is that correct?

in Ms. Pintado's direct testimony at page 77-89.

MS. LEMON: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: If the Water Quality Control
Commission approves your petition on these nine segments
and changes their designated uses from secondary contact
to primary contact, if in the future there is a need to
downgrade that use, a UAA will be required. Is that
correct?

MS. LEMON: There should be a UAA to designate it as secondary contact right now.

MS. McCALEB: But it's already been designated as secondary contact in some cases for decades, correct?

MS. LEMON: It's been -- it has been for possibly since the '80s.

I mean, on Exhibit 2, it doesn't say the

specific date that the actual secondary contact use was adopted, so I would have to go through the history and look up to see when -- exactly the date that it was adopted, but it is possible that it's been decades, yes.

MS. McCALEB: Okay. And one other basis of support for the Bureau's position with regard to these nine segments is set out in Ms. Pintado's direct testimony at page 77-89 where you refer to the latest EPA guidance for recreational contact, which is the Bureau's Exhibit Number 37.

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: And could you please look at Exhibit Number 37?

MS. PINTADO: Yes. Got it.

MS. McCALEB: Could you please read the first sentence?

MS. PINTADO: "EPA has released its 2012 recreational" -- "EPA has released its 2012 recreational water quality criteria (RWQC) recommendations for protecting human health in all coastal and non-coastal waters designated for primary contact recreation use."

MS. McCALEB: So this is additional guidance from EPA about water quality criteria for human health in those waters that have been designated with the primary contact designated use, correct?

MS. PINTADO: Yes. Yes.

MS. McCALEB: And nowhere in this document does it say that it's applicable to waters designated with the secondary contact designated use. Is that correct?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MS. McCALEB: Well, we're finished with that topic.

Thank you.

MS. PINTADO: I do want to add one thing.

You asked about a letter from EPA -- or recommendations on designating or approving secondary contact uses.

MS. McCALEB: Yes.

MS. PINTADO: Generally, EPA will write an approval or disapproval to a state on those provisions that are being changed. If the state does not submit those revisions to EPA, they may not speak to them.

But in the letter dated January 10th, 2007, EPA's review of amendments during the 2005 revisions, specifically say, "EPA was unable to take action on the limited aquatic life, aquatic life and/or secondary contact recreation use designations for Sections 97, 98 and 99. Similarly, EPA was unable to take action on the new and revised use designations and modifications for

- 1 | six classified segments because adequate supporting
- 2 documentation, such as a use attainability analysis, was
- 3 | not available to support the modifications. See
- 4 | segments 126, 128, 221, 310, 702 and 701."
- 5 MS. McCALEB: So that answers the question I
- 6 | had about whether EPA's position was made known in
- 7 response to actions being taken by this Commission to
- 8 either make new designations or change previously made
- 9 designations. Is that correct?
- MS. PINTADO: Right.
- MS. McCALEB: And there is nothing there that
- 12 | indicates EPA is applying that same standard to
- 13 designations that were made perhaps as early as the late
- 14 | 1980s, correct?
- 15 MS. PINTADO: Not in this letter, no.
- MS. McCALEB: Thank you.
- 17 Now, I would like to move to the Bureau's
- 18 | temporary standards proposal.
- 19 Ms. Pintado, I believe most of these questions
- 20 | will likely be for you.
- MS. PINTADO: Okay.
- 22 MS. McCALEB: In your direct testimony, you
- 23 | cited EPA's recent proposed changes to the federal water
- 24 | quality standards regulations concerning variances, is
- 25 | that correct?

- MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- 2 MS. McCALEB: And that's the regulation that
- 3 | was just issued in early September --
- 4 MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- 5 MS. McCALEB: -- and I believe goes into
- 6 effect next week?
- 7 MS. PINTADO: That's correct.
- 8 MS. McCALEB: Although the Bureau has elected
- 9 to term its proposal as a temporary standard, in effect,
- 10 | it accomplishes the same thing as what EPA is calling a
- 11 | variance. Is that correct?
- MS. PINTADO: It would be a subcategory of
- 13 what EPA terms a water quality standards variance.
- MS. McCALEB: Okay. Yes. My mistake. I'm
- 15 | sorry, you're right. I've gotten so used to calling it
- 16 | a variance that I forget EPA calls it a water quality
- 17 | standards variance.
- 18 In EPA's new rule, is it true that EPA makes
- 19 it clear that a water quality standards variance is a
- 20 legal tool?
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- MS. McCALEB: And, in fact, a water quality
- 23 | standards variance is in itself a water quality
- 24 | standard, is that correct?
- 25 MS. PINTADO: That's correct.

MS. McCALEB: And the Bureau has now amended its petition to make its proposal more consistent with the final EPA rule. Is that correct?

MS. PINTADO: Yes. For those -- as applicable to a body water, that's correct.

MS. McCALEB: Right.

Now that you know what EPA's final rule is, it was necessary to make changes, including adding the language about restoration activities; is that correct?

MS. PINTADO: We did add that language, yes.

MS. McCALEB: And you would agree with information provided in the preamble to the rule that water quality standards variances are important to allow flexibility while progress is being made toward achieving the original underlying designated uses in water quality standards. Is that correct?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: And it's the Bureau's position that the proposed temporary standard is a much needed tool to provide flexibility in the State of New Mexico to make progress toward meeting water quality standards that for whatever reason are not being met at this point in time, is that correct?

MS. PINTADO: Under certain conditions, yes.

MS. McCALEB: You referred earlier to 40 CFR

- 1 131.14, which is the new water quality standards 2 regulation rule. Correct?
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- 4 MS. McCALEB: And do you have a copy of the
- 5 | new rule?
- 6 MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- 7 MS. McCALEB: In the August 21st, 2015,
- 8 Federal Register, at page 51047 --
- 9 MS. BECKER: Could you please identify if
- 10 | that's an exhibit.
- MS. McCALEB: Yes. I was going to actually
- 12 ask a question of whether -- I know the Bureau cited
- 13 this in the previous -- in the most recent filing, but I
- 14 | didn't see that the Bureau made it an exhibit.
- 15 Is that correct?
- MS. PINTADO: That's correct.
- 17 MS. BECKER: For clarity, you're referring to
- 18 | not the proposed rule but the final rule?
- 19 MS. McCALEB: The final rule, yes.
- MS. BECKER: Okay. That is correct.
- 21 MS. McCALEB: If it would be helpful, I do
- 22 | have copies that we could make an exhibit and enter into
- 23 | the record, if that would be appropriate, if it would be
- 24 | helpful for review.
- MS. BECKER: If you're going to ask the

- 1 | witness a question about the rule, you can first ask if
- 2 | she has knowledge, and if not, she'd like to see a copy.
- 3 I'll let her answer that.
- 4 MS. McCALEB: Okay. Thanks.
- 5 Ms. Pintado, have you had an opportunity to
- 6 | fully review the new EPA rule?
- 7 MS. PINTADO: I have reviewed more fully the
- 8 section on water quality standards variances.
- 9 MS. McCALEB: And you actually have a copy of
- 10 | the new rule --
- MS. PINTADO: I do.
- MS. McCALEB: -- before you?
- 13 I'm sorry, I lost my place for a moment.
- 14 Back at page 51047, which would be Section
- 15 | 131.3(o) of the new EPA rule, there is a definition of a
- 16 | water quality standards variance.
- 17 Do you see that? It's on the left-hand
- 18 | column, toward the top, Subsection O.
- 19 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Mr. Hearing Officer,
- 20 | may I interject?
- Jolene, you said you had copies of the final
- 22 rule.
- MS. McCALEB: I do.
- 24 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: May I have a copy?
- MS. McCALEB: Mr. Hearing Officer, would it be

- 1 appropriate for me to distribute copies?
- MR. CHAVEZ: Yes. That's fine.
- MS. McCALEB: Thank you.
- 4 MR. CHAVEZ: Counsel, I'm not asking you to
- 5 | limit your cross on this issue. As soon as you're done,
- 6 | I want to take a 10-minute break, and then you can
- 7 | continue, if that's okay with you.
- 8 MS. McCALEB: Yes, sir, that would be fine.
- 9 This shouldn't take very long before we can break.
- MR. CHAVEZ: No problem.
- MS. McCALEB: Thank you.
- Ms. Pintado, have you been able to find the
- 13 definition?
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- MS. McCALEB: Thank you.
- 16 Could you read that definition for us, please?
- MS. PINTADO: "A water quality standards
- 18 | variance is a time-limited designated use and criterion
- 19 for a specific pollutant or water quality parameter that
- 20 reflect the highest attainable condition during the term
- 21 of the water quality standards variance."
- 22 MS. McCALEB: And so that definition indicates
- 23 | that a water quality standards variance applies both to
- 24 | a designated use and a criterion, is that correct?
- MS. PINTADO: Correct.

1 MS. McCALEB: But in the Department's 2 proposal, at 20.6.4.10.F(3), it states "Designated uses 3 shall not be modified on a temporary basis." Is that 4 correct? 5 MS. PINTADO: That's correct. 6 MS. McCALEB: And so the Department is 7 proposing to leave out the potential temporary change of 8 the designated use and limit it to a potential timelimited change to a criteria, is that correct? 9 10 MS. PINTADO: No. The Department intends -because the way the federal regulations just finalized 11 12 reads, you have to identify the highest attainable use 13 and -- with the temporary standard. 14 I believe the language in the Department's 15 proposal makes it clear that designated uses -- the 16 underlying designated uses do not change. 17 MS. McCALEB: So it's the Bureau's position that the underlying designated uses don't change? 18 19 MS. PINTADO: That's correct. 20 MS. McCALEB: Which comports with EPA's rule, correct? 21 22 MS. PINTADO: Correct. 23 MS. McCALEB: Is there any particular reason 24 why the Department opposes adopting a definition of a 25 temporary standard that would mimic EPA's definition of

- a water quality standards variance?
- 2 MS. PINTADO: No. Unless the procedure is not
- 3 adopted.

- 4 MS. McCALEB: Correct. Yes.
- And, in fact, according to the petition, at

 page eight, EPA recommended using the term "temporary

 standard" instead of the term "temporary criteria" to

 allow the state flexibility and the option to have a
- 9 temporary use or a temporary criteria. Is that correct?
- MS. PINTADO: That's correct.
- MS. McCALEB: And, in fact, the Department
- 12 changed its proposal and changed its term from
- 13 | "temporary criteria" to "temporary standard." Correct?
- 14 MS. PINTADO: That's correct.
- MS. McCALEB: Is it true that any temporary
- 16 standard approved by this Commission must ultimately be
- 17 | approved by EPA?
- MS. PINTADO: That is true.
- 19 MS. McCALEB: And as EPA has made it clear
- 20 | that a water quality standards variance is itself a
- 21 | water quality standard, would you agree that this
- 22 | Commission has the authority to adopt a temporary
- 23 | standard because it is, in effect, a water quality
- 24 standard?
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.

- 1 MS. McCALEB: Okay. Thank you.
- 2 That's all the questions I have on this topic,
- 3 Mr. Hearing Officer.
- 4 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
- 5 Let's go off the record.
- 6 (Recess held from 3:10 to 3:28 PM.)
- 7 MR. DOMINGUEZ: -- if we can prepare to come
- 8 back.
- 9 MR. CHAVEZ: All right. We're back on the
- 10 | record in the Matter of New Mexico Water Quality
- 11 | Standards -- sorry about that.
- 12 We're back on the record in the Matter of WQCC
- 13 | 14-05(R).
- 14 You may continue with your cross.
- MS. McCALEB: Thank you, Mr. Hearing Officer.
- 16 And I was remiss before the break. I would
- 17 | like to move admission of the new EPA rule that we were
- 18 discussing. It's referred to by the Department in their
- 19 | second amended petition, and I just think it would be
- 20 useful for the Commission, if they needed to refer to
- 21 | the rule, to have it as an exhibit.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Do we have any objection?
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: I would make a
- 24 | provisional objection, only basically what's good for
- 25 the goose is good for the gander.

As I mentioned before, one of the exhibits
that Amigos Bravos submitted yesterday was an EPA
guidance document that was directly referenced in
testimony from certain parties.

So we would not object, but if our guidance document is excluded, then we would hope that that rule would be fairly applied across the board.

MR. CHAVEZ: Interesting.

The other parties?

Chevron.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROSE: No objections, although I note that the Commission probably could take notice of the rule, anyway, without it formally being admitted as an exhibit since it's already public.

MR. VERHEUL: The Department has no objection, but we agree with Mr. Rose's comments.

MR. CHAVEZ: Los Alamos, any issues?

MS. KATZ: No, Mr. Hearing Officer.

MR. CHAVEZ: Freeport?

MS. CHAPPELLE: No, Mr. Hearing Officer.

MR. CHAVEZ: You know what, I'm not going to allow it, because I think once again we already have the issue on record and everybody has access to it.

So for the purposes now, I'm not going to allow that.

105

```
1 MS. McCALEB: Thank you.
```

2 May I give a full citation to this document so

3 | it's clear in the record which document I was referring

4 to?

- 5 MR. CHAVEZ: Yes, you may.
- 6 MS. McCALEB: Thank you.
- 7 It's 80 Federal Register, Number 162,
- 8 | beginning at page 51020, and it's dated August 21st,
- 9 2015.
- 10 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
- MS. McCALEB: Thank you.
- 12 MR. CHAVEZ: And for clarification, this
- 13 document is currently part of NMED's records -- record,
- 14 or not?
- MR. DAIL: By reference.
- 16 MR. CHAVEZ: Because you were -- you were
- 17 referring to it.
- 18 MS. PINTADO: The final rule -- I'm not sure,
- 19 | actually. It was referenced --
- MS. BECKER: It's referenced in your exhibits,
- 21 right?
- MS. PINTADO: Yeah. Right.
- MS. BECKER: Mr. Hearing Officer, for clarity,
- 24 | I believe it's in Ms. Pintado's testimony as a
- 25 reference, as a citation, but not included as an

exhibit.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2 MR. CHAVEZ: That's fine.

MS. BECKER: Do you want me to find that page

4 | number for you?

MR. CHAVEZ: No. That's exactly what we just

6 | did for the record. So we're fine.

Proceed.

MS. McCALEB: Thank you.

I believe it's also referenced in the revised petition language submitted in the second amended petition by the Department.

MS. PINTADO: That is correct.

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.

You may proceed.

MS. McCALEB: Thank you.

Ms. Lemon, in your testimony today, and also in the direct testimony of Mr. Hogan that you adopted today, there was a discussion about the need to perform the UAAs to downgrade approximately 30 stream segments that are ephemeral waters because of EPA's record of decision in 2005 which did not approve the Commission's original designation of non-fishable/non-swimmable uses for ephemeral waters. Is that correct?

MS. LEMON: Can you restate that?

MS. McCALEB: Sure. I'll make that a little

easier.

MS. LEMON: Yeah.

MS. McCALEB: During the 2005 triennial review, the Water Quality Control Commission designated uses for ephemeral waters that included secondary contact and omitted aquatic life. Is that correct?

MS. LEMON: That is correct.

MS. McCALEB: And according to EPA, those are non-Section 101(a)(2) uses.

MS. LEMON: That is correct.

MS. McCALEB: So, in other words, they are non-fishable/non-swimmable uses, is that correct?

MS. LEMON: Yes.

MS. McCALEB: And, therefore, in its record of decision following the 2005 triennial review, the EPA refused to adopt -- or to approve those designations of secondary contact and limited aquatic life; is that correct?

MS. LEMON: They took no action.

MS. McCALEB: So they refused to approve them -- or they took no action, they certainly didn't approve them, and then during the next triennial review, the Commission, in response, assigned the designated uses of primary contact, and I believe that was warm-water aquatic life. Is that correct?

- MS. LEMON: To which segments?
- 2 MS. McCALEB: To the ephemeral streams.
- MS. LEMON: That's not correct.
- 4 MS. McCALEB: Okay. Could you --
- MS. LEMON: Our ephemeral designation in

 Section 97 has a limited aquatic life use and secondary
- 7 contact.

- MS. McCALEB: Okay. I'm sorry. I misspoke on that. If I would follow my notes rather my mind at the moment, I would get it correct.
- 11 We'll start over again. Thanks.
- Let me approach it another way. After the

 2005 triennial review, the EPA, in its record of

 decision, stated that federal regulations established a

 rebuttable presumption that fishable/swimmable uses are

 attainable; is that correct?
- MS. LEMON: Yes.
- MS. McCALEB: And, therefore, those uses have
 to apply to a water body, unless it's demonstrated that
 those uses are not attainable through a UAA. Is that
 correct?
- MS. LEMON: Yes.
- MS. McCALEB: And that is the purpose for the
 UAAs that have been done since the last triennial review
 and the portions of the Bureau's petition during this

```
triennial review to downgrade certain segments to
secondary contact and limited aquatic life. Is that
correct?

MS. LEMON: For the HP UAAs?
```

MS. McCALEB: Yes.

MS. LEMON: Correct.

MS. McCALEB: And with regard to Mr. Hogan's testimony that you adopted, there is a footnote on page 4-22, it's Footnote 1.

MS. LEMON: On the direct testimony?

MS. McCALEB: On the direct testimony, which

12 was -- I'm sorry, the rebuttal testimony, which is

13 Rebuttal Exhibit 1.

MS. BECKER: Do you need the question?

MS. LEMON: I don't think there is a question

16 yet.

2.0

21

5

6

MS. McCALEB: You quote from EPA's -- or

18 Mr. Hogan quoted --

MS. LEMON: So which page again?

MS. McCALEB: -- on page 4-22 --

MS. LEMON: Okay.

MS. McCALEB: -- quoted from the EPA record of

23 decision, and there is a footnote to that record of

24 decision, it's Footnote 1. Is that correct?

MS. LEMON: Yes.

- MS. McCALEB: And the URL for that footnote seems to indicate that that was a draft record of decision.
- Do you see that at the end there, "Review 5 Draft 11/16/06"?
- 6 MS. LEMON: Yes, I do.
- MS. McCALEB: Do you know whether the record of decision here that has been quoted on page 4-22 was a draft record of decision and, if so, whether there was a final record of decision?
- MS. LEMON: I am unsure.
- MS. McCALEB: Okay. But it was based on this
 language that's quoted here where EPA disagreed with the
 Commission's interpretation that the limited aquatic
 life use was a fishable/swimmable use. Is that correct?
 - MS. LEMON: Yes.

- MS. McCALEB: Okay. Prior to receiving this
 record of decision, EPA had previously approved
 secondary contact and limited aquatic life designated
 uses without requiring a UAA. Is that correct?
- MS. LEMON: Prior to this record of decision,
 yes.
- MS. McCALEB: And, in fact, during the 2005
 triennial review, it was even the Bureau's position that
 the limited aquatic life and secondary contact uses

- proposed for ephemeral waters were consistent with Section 101(a)(2) uses. Is that correct?
- MS. LEMON: I'm unsure.
- MS. McCALEB: I believe if you will look again at 4-22, the first sentence under the heading "Secondary Contact," I believe that sentence sets forth the Bureau's position.
- MS. LEMON: Yes. It says "The Bureau argued that the limited aquatic life and secondary contact uses proposed for ephemeral waters under Section 97 were consistent with 101(a)(2) uses. However in its review of the 2005 triennial review, the EPA determined these uses are not consistent with 101(a)(2) goals and rejected assigning the ephemeral designation."
- MS. McCALEB: Was this a new position or a change of position of the EPA at that time?
- MS. LEMON: I believe it was a change of position. It could be new or a change. I'm unsure.
- MS. McCALEB: Okay.
- 20 MS. LEMON: But that is when this rebuttable 21 presumption became clear.
- MS. McCALEB: Okay. And was this record of decision issued by EPA Region 6 or the EPA administrator? If you know.
- 25 MS. LEMON: Does it come from the

- administrator?
- MS. PINTADO: I don't know.
- MS. LEMON: We don't know.
- 4 MS. PINTADO: I don't have that in front of
- $5 \, \text{me.}$

- 6 MS. McCALEB: After receiving this record of
- 7 decision from EPA, did the Bureau seek to challenge
- 8 EPA's new rebuttable presumption?
- 9 MS. LEMON: We worked with EPA to resolve the
- 10 issues that were brought up.
- 11 MS. McCALEB: And what did that work include?
- 12 | How did you resolve the issues?
- MS. LEMON: We developed a process to
- 14 | establish a way to determine if a water is naturally
- 15 ephemeral and what the designated uses would be
- 16 appropriate for those waters. That's the hydrology
- 17 | protocol and the HP UAA process.
- 18 MS. McCALEB: And so as a result, it's now
- 19 | necessary to use the HP and go through a UAA process in
- 20 order to show that an ephemeral stream should
- 21 | appropriately have the lower designated use of the
- 22 | secondary contact on limited aquatic life; is that
- 23 correct?
- MS. LEMON: That's correct.
- MS. McCALEB: Those are the only questions I

- 1 have. Thank you very much.
- 2 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
- 3 Let's move now to Amigos Bravos.
- 4 MR. VERHEUL: Mr. Hearing Officer, if I might
- 5 interject.
- 6 MR. CHAVEZ: Yes.
- 7 MR. VERHEUL: We only have Mr. Patten from the
- 8 Department of Game & Fish for today. He won't be
- 9 available tomorrow.
- 10 So I wonder if you could perhaps check and see
- 11 | if any party has any questions for him before we get to
- 12 | the end of the day.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. Let's go ahead and do
- 14 that.
- 15 Are there other -- between Chevron, Freeport
- 16 | and Los Alamos, will you have any questions for --
- 17 | what's his name?
- MR. VERHEUL: Mr. Patten.
- MR. CHAVEZ: -- for Mr. Patten?
- MS. CHAPPELLE: Freeport does not, Your Honor.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Okay.
- MS. KATZ: Los Alamos does not.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Okay.
- MR. ROSE: No. No, Mr. Hearing Officer.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Okay. Are there any Members of

- 1 the Commission that is going to have any questions for
 2 Mr. Patten?
- 4 MR. CHAVEZ: Any members of the public that 5 are going to have any questions for Mr. Patten?
- 6 Mr. Chairman and Members of the Commission, 7 your answer of "potentially," if we know what those 8 answers (sic) are, would Amigos have any problem if we took just limited questions for him right now from the 9 10 Commission, if we know what those questions -- because my concern is this, is given the time, and I know the 11 12 estimated length of cross by Amigos Bravos, since we 13 need to really be out of here by 5:00, the Commission --14 we wouldn't get to questions by the Commission until 15 probably first thing in the morning.
- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: I have one.
- Do you want me to go first?
- MR. DOMINGUEZ: Go ahead.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Amigos, do you mind if we ask those questions right now?
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Absolutely not,
- 22 Mr. Hearing Officer.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Does that work for the rest of
- 24 | the parties?
- MS. BECKER: Thank you.

- 1 MR. CHAVEZ: Great. Thank you guys.
- 2 Mr. Chair, Members of the Commission, if we
- 3 can start questions limited to that witness.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: Mr. Chair, do you want me
- 6 to go first?
- 7 MR. DOMINGUEZ: Yes.
- 8 MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: Okay. Thank you. Thank
- 9 you, Mr. Hearing Officer.
- 10 CROSS EXAMINATION BY COMMISSION MEMBERS
- 11 MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: Mr. Patten, so the changes
- 12 | that were made -- but the changes suggest that we would
- 13 only -- the hearing would only come to the Commission if
- 14 | your -- if the activities would not be covered under an
- 15 NPDES permit.
- 16 So what kind of applications are there where
- 17 | you're not covered by an NPDES permit?
- 18 MR. PATTEN: I guess, as of right now, because
- 19 of the way the federal structure is set up, as long as
- 20 | we had an NPDES permit, no applications would come to
- 21 | review by the Commission.
- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. PATTEN: Yeah.
- 24 MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: That's all. Believe it or
- 25 | not, that's all.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. We'll just shoot from the hip here.

And the same type of deal, part of this might fall towards the Environment Department as well as Game & Fish.

The changes on this were based off of the fact that there is a -- has been a general permit issued by EPA for piscicide use on or near water. There have been a number of congressional activities, some still pending, where they are trying to rectify the conflict between Clean Water Act and FIFRA.

If that gets rectified, where the general permit goes away, then where does that place these rules or the Commission as far as oversight on piscicides? I refer to them as piscicides.

Where would that provide a -- then an issue as far as what our regulations would be, or would that be just a situation where that occurred that it would have to come back and in front of the Commission, or does the current language allow that flexibility to still move forward?

MR. PATTEN: I can give the answer a shot, if you guys would like.

MS. PINTADO: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. PATTEN: The way we set up the proposed

amendments was that if there is an NPDES permit, you know, that's covered under the federal rules.

If Congress were to act and clear up that, you know, I guess whatever you want to call it, then if we did not have an NPDES permit, because it was no longer required by the Clean Water Act and FIFRA, then we would come back to the Commission, and it would be almost an identical process as it has been in the past.

So if we don't have an NPDES permit because Congress acts and deals with that -- once again, that conflict, we would draft a petition, submit it to the Department, submit it to the Commission, it would, you know, have all of the different elements that we've included traditionally.

The one difference is right now the hearing is mandatory right now, that the Commission has to go before a hearing officer and all of those things. This would make it discretionary for the Commission. And then I believe we also included some language in the draft amendment that says -- kind of sets up how the Commission can weigh whether or not they want to hold a hearing or not.

So it would essentially be a very similar process as it is now.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay.

1 MS. PINTADO: I'd agree with that, and also 2 allow for public input before the application is 3 proposed to the Commission, so you have a basis on 4 determining whether a hearing needs to be held or not. 5 Thank you. MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. Very good. 6 I was just wanting to make sure we weren't 7 going to get stuck in limbo at some point in time, even 8 though that's purely a hypothetical of it getting there, as there have been some actions. 9 10 So, Mr. Hearing Officer, that is all I have, 11 but --12 MR. HUTCHINSON: I guess I would ask, you 13 know, concerning these piscicides, isn't there ongoing 14 and current litigation concerning these piscicides in 15 other jurisdictions? 16

MR. PATTEN: I'm trying to think through all of the -- the jurisdictions I'm familiar with, and I'm not aware of any that are ongoing right now.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There has been litigation in California.

There has been litigation in Montana. I believe both of those cases were resolved some years ago. So I'm not aware of any, no.

MR. HUTCHINSON: Okay. Thank you.

MR. PATTISON: Mr. Chairman.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Mr. Pattison.

- MR. PATTISON: Yes, Mr. Hearing Officer.
- 2 Mr. Patten, under these proposals, do the
- 3 | activities of your agency have anything to do with playa
- 4 lakes?

- 5 MR. PATTEN: Generally speaking, no. And the
- 6 | reason I say "generally" is someone mentioned McAllister
- 7 | Lake earlier, and that is a water that we used to manage
- 8 as a sport fishery, and it is technically a playa
- 9 lake --
- MR. PATTISON: Okay.
- 11 MR. PATTEN: -- but, generally speaking,
- 12 because playa lakes are, you know, dry at one point and
- 13 | wet at another point, there is not much of a fishery
- 14 involved and a need to use a piscicide in that case. It
- 15 | would not be foreseeable.
- So, no, I don't believe so.
- MR. PATTISON: Thank you.
- 18 MR. CHAVEZ: Mr. Chair, Members of the
- 19 | Commission, any further questions for Mr. Patten?
- MR. DOMINGUEZ: I think that's it from the
- 21 Commission.
- We appreciate the parties' indulgence in
- 23 letting us run out of order there, but in order to work
- 24 | in the witness.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.

- MR. DOMINGUEZ: Back to you, Mr. Hearing
 Officer.
- MR. VERHEUL: We would ask that that witness be excused at this time then, Mr. Hearing Officer.
- 5 MR. CHAVEZ: Amigos Bravos, do you have any 6 questions for Mr. Patten?
- 7 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: No, we do not.
 - MR. CHAVEZ: Mr. Patten, you are excused.
- 9 MR. PATTEN: Thank you.
- MR. CHAVEZ: You may proceed.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Mr. Chair --
- 12 Mr. Chairman, Mr. Hearing Officer, Commissioners, good
- 13 afternoon. Witnesses, good afternoon. Good afternoon,
- 14 | counsel.

- 15 Let me begin by extending Amigos Bravos'
- 16 | appreciation to the Department for working through some
- 17 of the issues that we had regarding the piscicide
- 18 | applications as well as the segment 128 issues. We do
- 19 appreciate that work, and it does clear the deck a
- 20 | little bit for this triennial review. So thank you.
- 21 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH
- 22 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Most of my
- 23 | cross-examination will, I think, be directed to
- 24 | Ms. Pintado, and I'm going to begin with temporary
- 25 | standards and the Department's proposal for them.

MS. PINTADO: Okay.

2.4

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: While crafted as a temporary standard, the Department's proposal would be reviewed by EPA as effectively a variance. Is that right?

MS. PINTADO: It would be viewed by EPA as a variance -- a water quality standards variance.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: A water quality.

And that's basically because of some tension between how EPA defines a variance versus how New Mexico, under New Mexico law, defines variance. Is that right?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Is it the Department's position that its temporary standards proposal is consistent with EPA rules and guidance dealing with variances?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: On page four of your direct testimony, and I'll -- well, I can quote from it or allow you time to turn to it -- you state that "The need for a temporary standard is apparent in the state's application of the general narrative nutrient criteria in Subsection E of 20.6.4.13 NMAC." Page four of your direct testimony.

MS. PINTADO: Sorry.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: I must have the wrong 3 page number on there. I apologize.

In your direct testimony, do you remember providing information that the -- one of the driving forces behind the temporary standard was the general nutrient criteria?

MS. PINTADO: That's correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: How long has the general nutrient criteria been in place?

MS. PINTADO: I really don't know.

The general criteria has been in place for -it would be in the end notes of the standards, but --

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Could you speak up, please?

MS. PINTADO: I'm sorry for not being able to speak up.

I don't know.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Do you have any sense of more than five years, less than five years?

MS. PINTADO: More than five years.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Did regulated entities or the Department attempt action through, for example, compliance schedules or other mechanisms to make progress towards the general nutrient criteria?

MS. PINTADO: I can't answer that question.

Maybe someone here on the panel can.

2 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Maybe I'll direct 3 that question to Ms. Lemon.

Ms. Lemon, did -- did the regulated entities or Department attempt action before submitting the temporary standards proposal to make progress towards the general nutrient criteria through, for example, compliance schedules or other mechanisms?

MS. LEMON: We have worked with the permitted entities that the narrative -- that are assigned the narrative permit limitations.

The problem is that we don't have permitting authority. We've been working with EPA to try to resolve some of the issues, but our translators are technologically not feasible for wastewater treatment plants, and that's where the problem -- that's where the problem stems from.

And we have talked to EPA Region 6 about compliance schedules and other ways of achieving the same goal, which is to achieve the water quality standard in the stream. The problem there also is that a permit is only for five years, so it may take longer than five years for the technology to come into play, and if it is economically feasible for the community where it's existing.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Is there a limitation in the Department's proposal providing that a temporary standard would only be applicable if a compliance schedule would not work with a permit?

MS. PINTADO: No.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: You mentioned,
Ms. Lemon, that you had discussions with EPA on this
front.

What was EPA's response in terms of the use of compliance schedules and/or other mechanisms to comply with the general nutrient criteria?

MS. LEMON: Their general approach is to have a three-year -- no more than three-year compliance schedule, and they are starting -- headquarters and the region are starting to recognize that that may not be feasible in all situations, but they are still not really implementing any compliance schedules longer than three years.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Before a petitioner submits a temporary standard proposal, would the Department encourage the petitioner, or if the Department itself was the petitioner, would the Department first look to compliance schedules to see whether you could come into compliance with the general nutrient criteria before the sunset of that five years

```
1
    for the permit -- of the NPDES permit?
2
                          I would anticipate that the
              MS. LEMON:
3
    temporary standard would be the last consideration.
4
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: But again, and I
5
    think I asked this, but just to be clear, there is no
6
    provision in the temporary standards proposal requiring
7
    you to first look at a compliance schedule to see if
8
    that is an appropriate vehicle and then say, "Well, it
    won't work in this situation because it may take beyond
9
10
    five years, so we need to do a temporary standard
    instead"? There is no requirement to make that happen?
11
12
              MS. LEMON: We do have a section in our
13
    standards that speaks to compliance schedules.
              Kris --
14
15
              MS. PINTADO:
                            Yes.
16
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Could you point to
17
    that section or generally identify that?
18
              MS. PINTADO: H -- 12.H.
19
              MS. LEMON: 12.
20
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: As I understand it,
21
    12.H only refers to incorporation of a temporary
22
    standard into the permitting process. It doesn't --
23
              MS. PINTADO:
                            Right.
                                     Sorry.
24
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: -- talk about
25
    compliance schedules, is that right?
```

- 1 MS. PINTADO: G would be compliance schedules, 2 correct.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So, Ms. Pintado, is
 there a provision in G regarding compliance schedules?

 I'm sorry, not in G, in F -- in Subsection F.
 - MS. PINTADO: No.

7

8

9

10

- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you.
- Can you provide any additional examples beyond the general nutrient criteria of where additional flexibility is required necessitating the use of temporary standards?
- MS. PINTADO: In the case where the state must
 adopt a more stringent water quality criteria or a new
 water quality standard, and it becomes more stringent,
 and the technology to treat for that particular
 pollutant may not be technologically achievable or
 feasible.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Do you have any -
 understood that that's the component of how that -- how

 the temporary standard works.
- Do you have any concrete examples, other criteria?
- MS. PINTADO: There are at this time
 recommendations to upgrade the ammonia criteria,
 selenium, and all of the human health criteria may be

1 updated.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 So we'll be looking at those over the course 3 of the next three years.

4 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: What is the timeline 5 for the update to those provisions?

MS. PINTADO: We will review the recommendations and determine whether we need to adopt or change our criteria for the next triennial.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: As I understand it, the Department's temporary standards proposal would apply to all discharges of a specific pollutant or parameter -- or impact on a parameter into a specific water body segment. Correct?

MS. PINTADO: The temporary standard applies to the water body as described by the petitioner.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And so say in a particular water body segment you had five discharges. Would all of those use the temporary standard or rely on this temporary standard?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: How are multiple discharges into a water body segment proposed for a temporary standard accounted for in the petition?

MS. PINTADO: They are not -- to be clear, the temporary standard does not change any permit

regulations and the anti-deg requirements or permit 2 review or permitting policies.

A temporary standard is aimed at the temporary water quality standard to be implemented in an NPDES permit.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Nonetheless, the provision in F(5) does provide for the preparation of a work plan by the petitioner.

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Would the work plan identify and account for those multiple discharge 11 12 points?

MS. BECKER: Objection.

Mr. Hearing Officer, I believe that the questions are directed to the exhibits that were attached by Amigos Bravos.

I don't believe they are language that's included in the petition that was the subject of Ms. Pintado's testimony regarding multiple discharges.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: I'm not sure how relevant that is. I mean, I'm entitled to cross-examine the Department on the structure of the proposal. -- while it does relate to some of the proposals that we've made, in terms of understanding or improving the standard, I think, as an attorney, I'm still entitled to

- 1 cross-examine on the structure of the rule and what it 2 would apply to or not apply to.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Last word.
- MS. BECKER: Mr. Hearing Officer, I would
 recommend -- my understanding is that if he's going to
 introduce new topics for discussion that he would do
 that on rebuttal and we'd be prepared to address that on
 rebuttal.
- 9 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: I would only say
 10 that I'm asking a direct question regarding the
 11 structure of the proposal that's been advanced in direct
 12 testimony.
- MR. CHAVEZ: I'm going to go ahead and allow it.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So the work plan

 section in F(5), it -- how does it account for multiple

 discharge points into that particular water body

 segment?
- MS. PINTADO: The work plan itself is not required to account for those.

22

23

- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: If it's not required to account for multiple discharge points -- I'm referring to page 21 -- if you could turn to page 21 of your direct testimony.
- 25 You mention that the temporary standard

requires compliance with the original standard as soon as possible. Is that correct?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: If the work plan does not account for -- identify or account for the multiple discharge points, how does the work plan actually ensure that compliance with the original standard is made as soon as possible?

MS. PINTADO: The petitioner must comply with the temporary standard.

If there are multiple dischargers to that water body, EPA requires that they be identified in the submission for the temporary standard.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: I'm sorry, could you say that last part again, that if --

MS. PINTADO: Sure.

EPA requires that the -- let me read the language for you. Supporting documentation -- right.

19 Would you ask the question again? I'm sorry.

20 I lost myself in the regs.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: How does the temporary standard achieve the original standard as soon as possible where you have multiple dischargers within that water body segment?

MS. PINTADO: All of the dischargers to that

water body segment would have to meet the underlying
criteria for that pollutant. They have to adhere to the
temporary standard just as the petitioner would.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: As I understand it, in order to justify the temporary standard, the proponent needs to submit a work plan pursuant to Subsection F(5). Is that correct?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And that the work plan, and reading from the language, requires a timeline for proposed actions to be taken to achieve the uses attainable over the term of the temporary standard. Is that right?

MS. PINTADO: Right.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: What would those proposed actions look like if the work plan is not identified and accounting for the multiple discharge points?

MS. PINTADO: It would include baseline monitoring. It would include projects needed to improve the plant for treatment. It could -- those would be the types of things we would anticipate in the plan.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So if it was projects for a particular facility, wouldn't you have to identify that facility in the first place in the work plan to

determine whether the temporary standard would, in fact, achieve the original standard within the lifetime of the temporary standard?

MS. PINTADO: The petitioner would identify their -- within their purview.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So the facility who submits the petition would submit a work plan identifying what actions they would take?

MS. PINTADO: The petitioner would submit a work plan identifying those actions.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And let's go back to the hypothetical, if you had five discharge points, say you have five facilities in that segment, a single facility could seek a temporary standard, prepare a work plan about how they are going to comply with the temporary standard to justify it, but the other four facilities would not have to submit a work plan?

MS. PINTADO: All of them would have to adhere to the temporary standard as a water quality standard and the limits and conditions in that temporary standard.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Understood.

But in that hypothetical, the four other dischargers would not have to submit a work plan, only the original petitioner would have to?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

1

8

22

- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you.
- Going back to your testimony on page 21, where
 you mentioned that the original standard must be, quote,
 unquote, achieved as soon as possible, and that the
 temporary standard is limited to, quote, unquote, the
 minimum time necessary -- I'll give you a chance to turn
- Can you pinpoint for me where this timing

 constraint on the lifetime of the temporary standard is

 found in the proposed language in Subsection F?
- MS. PINTADO: It is not.

back to page 21.

- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Is there a reason for that omission?
- MS. PINTADO: The proposal -- if you don't mind, I'm going to refer to it.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And I would note that your testimony has in quotes "minimum time necessary,"
- 19 but the provision, and specifically in F(1) (b) --
- MS. PINTADO: Right.
- 21 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: -- simply states
- MS. PINTADO: That's correct.

"minimum necessary" and excludes "time."

24 The temporary standard should be tailored to 25 the time necessary to achieve the underlying standard.

- 1 It may depend on the complexity of meeting or achieving 2 that standard.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Understood. But that wasn't my question.
- My question was, why was that time -
 specifically that word "time," was it omitted

 intentionally or unintentionally in Subsection F(1)(b)?

 Why doesn't it have a clear temporal component, I guess,

 is my question?
- MS. PINTADO: Well, it was recommended by EPA

 at the time the clarifications rule was being finalized.

 There was discussed a time limit for water quality

 standards variances.
 - The EPA advised us to consider the flexibility of not incorporating a definite time frame, especially to accommodate restoration projects or remediation projects that may require more time to achieve the underlying criteria or standard.
 - MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Would the Department be amenable to including a general time component, so inclusion of that word "time" between "minimum" and "necessary"?
- MS. PINTADO: No.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Is there -- well,
- 25 | never mind.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 Regarding the work plan proposed for Section 2 -- Subsection F(5) --3 MS. PINTADO: Yes. 4 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: -- will the 5 Commission specifically approve the work plan? 6 MS. PINTADO: The Commission will approve the 7 work plan with the petition for the temporary standard, 8 yes. 9 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So to be clear, they 10 would be approving not just the temporary standard but the specific work plan submitted to justify that 11 12 temporary standard? 13 MS. PINTADO: Correct. 14 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Can you point out 15 specifically where in Subsection F it provides that the 16 Commission will approve the work plan --17 MS. PINTADO: The Commission --MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: -- not just the 18 19 temporary standard component? 20 MS. PINTADO: Right. 21 I don't believe that's specified. 22 I'm sorry. Excuse me. That's true. 23 Ms. Lemon is pointing out to me "As a 24 condition of the petition for a temporary standard, in 25 addition to meeting the requirements in this subsection,

- 1 the petitioner shall prepare a work plan in accordance
 2 with paragraph" --
- MS. LEMON: 4.
- 4 MS. PINTADO: -- "4" --
- 5 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: 4.
- 6 MS. PINTADO: -- "and submit the work plan to the Department for review and comment."
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: That doesn't include, though, "and to the Commission for approval," does it?
- MS. PINTADO: The work plan and the petition are submitted together.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: But there is no
 language in Subsection F, or in proposed Subsection H,
 that explicitly provides for Commission approval of that
 work plan, only the temporary standard itself?
 - MS. PINTADO: The work plan as part of the temporary standard, correct.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: The proposed text in
 Subsection F(7) states that "Temporary standards may be
 implemented only after appropriate public
 participation."
- What does this mean?

17

MS. PINTADO: At the beginning of Section

F(1), in paragraph F(1), "Any person may petition the

Commission to adopt a temporary standard."

So the implication is that temporary standard would be adopted, as any other water quality standard, and implemented after appropriate public participation, as required by our rule-making procedure.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So to be clear, you're not suggesting that the temporary standard could be approved, and then before that approved standard is implemented, you would go through the public participation? It would occur before it's actually approved?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Will the public be involved in the development of a temporary standards proposal before it is submitted to the Commission?

MS. PINTADO: That petitioner may include public participation is required in paragraph -- yes, paragraph 5, public notice and consultation, review of -- oh, that's review of progress.

The public notice and consultation with appropriate state, tribal, local and federal agencies is outlined in that paragraph.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So there is a notice provision, but does that encompass actual participation by the public, so that they would get to review, for example, a draft work plan that's submitted to the

Department?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PINTADO: The water quality management

plan requires, for rule-making process, that we include

public participation. We would expect to see a draft

notice put out for discussion and comment, yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So, for example, for Subsection F(5), the work plan that's submitted to the Department for review and comment, that would also be available to the public for review and comment?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: But to be clear, Subsection F(5) doesn't include that as an explicit requirement?

MS. PINTADO: No.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Does the Commission have to hold a public hearing on the temporary standards proposal?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Switching gears a little bit.

NMED's proposed language for Subsection H would incorporate the temporary standard into 402 NPDES permits as enforceable limits and conditions, correct?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Once a temporary

standard is approved, this means that effluent limits in
that permit would be crafted on the basis of the
temporary standard, correct?

MS. PINTADO: For the interim period, correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Could this result in weaker effluent limits in a renewed 402 permit compared to the prior 402 permit?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Could weaker effluent limits in a renewed 402 permit result in increased concentrations of discharges into the receiving water?

MS. PINTADO: For a limited period of time, it may.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Over the lifetime of the temporary standard?

MS. PINTADO: Over the lifetime of the temporary standard, we would expect to see that, first of all, the water quality is absolutely maintained, and what is achievable at that time is maintained, but the pollutant in question should be reducing over that period of the temporary standard.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So there is sort of a downward slope towards compliance with the original standard?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: But at the beginning of the slope, you could have increased concentrations because of the weaker effluent limits compared to the prior permit that existed in the absence of the temporary standard?

6 MS. PINTADO: Compared to the prior permit, 7 yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: How does a temporary standard account for any new or increased discharges into the water body segment the standard will cover, say a new facility or an expansion of an existing facility?

MS. PINTADO: The temporary standard is still subject to the same permit reviews, rules and regulations, including anti-degradation, as any other water quality standard or permit.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So I guess you answered this earlier, but just to be clear, if you have -- if there was a new or increased discharge, the entity seeking a permit would not have to prepare a work plan that -- per Subsection F(5); they would simply have to comply with the temporary standard proposal?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: What would happen if the Commission, in accord with Subsection F(6), imposes

conditions on the approval of a temporary standard that go to that work plan?

Would the new or increased discharger have to also comply with those actions?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: How does the temporary standard proposal account for these new or increased discharges, since the premise seems to be that it is approved when there is -- there are assurances that the original standard will be achieved?

If you have a new or increased discharge, would you clarify how do you ensure that once that new or increased discharge is in that water body segment you're still on track to achieve the original standard by the end of the life of the temporary standard?

MS. PINTADO: Well, the underlying criterion standards are still the end game. Those new and -- or increased dischargers would be subject to anti-deg.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So the temporary standard does -- it doesn't alter New Mexico's anti-degradation policy and implementation plan?

MS. PINTADO: No.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Are there distinctions in whether and how a temporary standard applies relative to each anti-degradation tier?

- MS. PINTADO: Could you rephrase that, please?

 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Are there -- if you
- 3 have a temporary standard for a Tier 1 water, is that
- 4 different from a Tier 2 -- how it would apply to a Tier
- 5 | 2 or 3 water?
- 6 MS. PINTADO: For new or increased -- and
- 7 increased dischargers or for anybody?
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: For any discharge.
- 9 MS. PINTADO: There is no difference.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: There is no
- 11 difference.
- MS. PINTADO: It would still apply. Right.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Would the proponent
- 14 | of a temporary standard have to demonstration compliance
- 15 | with the anti-degradation provision before the temporary
- 16 | standard is approved or after?
- 17 MS. PINTADO: It would be part of the permit
- 18 | review process.
- 19 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So after the
- 20 | temporary standard is approved?
- MS. PINTADO: Correct.
- 22 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Turning to Section H
- 23 | -- 12.H, this provision seems to encompass only Clean
- 24 | Water Act 402 permits, not 404, dredge and fill permits.
- 25 | I'm curious if you could explain why.

1 MS. PINTADO: Because of the way the 2 regulations were finalized in the federal rule, they 3 made it very clear they were aimed at the NPDES permit. 4 They also made it clear you can incorporate 402. 5 you know, obviously, it would be federal permits that 6 we're talking about under 401, 402, so --7 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Would the -- so you mentioned 401 and 402, but would the provisions of the 8 9 temporary standard -- my understanding of Subsection H 10 is that this is to make sure that the provisions of the temporary standard become enforceable within the 11 12 permit. 13 MS. PINTADO: That's correct. That's correct. 14 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And so would they 15 also be enforceable for a 404 permit? MS. PINTADO: 16 Yes. 17 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Is there a reason, then, that Subsection H excludes reference to 404 and 18 19 only references 402 NPDES permits? 2.0 MS. PINTADO: No. 21 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Would the Department 22 be amenable to changing that to encompass both 402 and 23 404 permits? 2.4 MS. PINTADO: Yes. 25 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you.

1 I'd like to switch to the aluminum criteria in 2 the Department's proposal. These questions specifically 3 pivot off the Department's proposal for Section 4 900.I(1). It's a provision -- I'm paraphrasing a bit --5 that EPA has disapproved use of a hardness-based 6 equation for total recoverable aluminum in waters where 7 the pH is less than 6.5 in the receiving stream for federal Clean Water Act purposes. 8 Ms. Pintado, EPA's disapproval was included as 9 10 Attachment A to the Department's October 20th, 2014, amended petition. Correct? 11 12 MS. PINTADO: Correct. MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: What was the basis of 13 14 EPA's disapproval? 15 MS. PINTADO: Actually, it wasn't very clear. 16 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Can you strive to 17 make a guess at what you --18 MS. PINTADO: I will. 19 They felt that the hardness-based formula 20 would be protective for pH -- for waters of pH over 6.5. 21 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: But not protective of 22 waters under a pH of 6.5, is that correct? 23 MS. PINTADO: Correct. 24 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Assuming that the 25 Department's proposal for Subsection I(1) is adopted,

- what would the criteria for aluminum be in waters where the pH is less than 6.5?
- MS. PINTADO: EPA would apply the 87 microgram per liter chronic criteria.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: What would be the
 aluminum standard for state Water Quality Act purposes
 in a water that's not subject to federal Clean Water Act
 permitting?
- 9 MS. PINTADO: Not subject to Clean Water Act 10 permitting?
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: A water of the state, not a water of the US.
- MS. PINTADO: A water of the state.
- 14 We would use the hardness based.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Does EPA's rejection

 for federal Clean Water Act purposes of hardness-based

 aluminum criteria in waters where the pH is less than

 6.5 raise concerns that the hardness-based criteria may

 not be sufficiently protective of aquatic species for

 state Water Quality Act purposes also in waters where

 the pH is less than 6.5?
- MS. PINTADO: They had no basis for assigning the 87, other than reverting to the old criteria, but --
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: But they nonetheless determined that the hardness-based criteria was not

protective of aquatic uses for federal Clean Water Act purposes in waters with a pH of less than 6.5?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

2.0

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And it's the Department's position that there aren't any concerns regarding waters of the state where the pH is less than 6.5?

MR. DAIL: There is a huge concern, because pH -- waters with a pH less than 6.5 would already be impaired and therefore on the 303(d) list.

Under those circumstances, a lot of metals would be in a dissolved and thus potentially bioavailable form, but it's the underlying problem with pH that may be of concern for remedy.

However, some of the waters that are in those low pHs just happen to be natural and a function of geologic -- geologic interface between water and the surrounding geology that drives it.

So you can have a natural low pH under those circumstances.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So even in waters where it seems that the pH problem is a little bit independent of the aluminum toxicity issue to aquatics, in your view, doesn't that intensify the need to control aluminum discharges into that water body, because that

could exacerbate impacts to aquatic species, even given the natural background pH issues?

- MR. DAIL: No, I don't think you're going to be able to remedy the problem with aluminum without tackling the problem with pH, but I don't it's -- it's warranted to try to tackle a natural pH.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Do you think it's not warranted to also tackle the aluminum problem at the same time?
- MR. DAIL: I think, since the solubility of aluminum, and thus the toxicity at low pH, is related to the pH, by resolving one, if indeed it is an unnatural or manmade reduction in pH, you are resolving the other.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: But pending resolution of that, you are nonetheless allowing the hardness-based aluminum criteria that EPA found was not protective of aquatic uses in those waters?
- MR. DAIL: Well, these are hypotheticals.
 - In water quality measurements, we may indeed be measuring a lot of metals and below a pH of 6.5, and the hardness-based criteria for all of those metals may indicate impairment.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Ms. Pintado, you
 mentioned that there was ambiguity or you didn't quite
 understand EPA's rationale for disapproving the

(505) 243-5018 - Fax (505) 243-3606

- hardness-based criteria for federal Clean Water Act
 purposes.
- And specifically on 84 of your direct

 testimony -- I'll let you turn to that -- you state that

 EPA's letter, which was Attachment A, was not well

 justified and ambiguous about what criteria should apply
- 8 Is that correct?

in low pH waters.

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 9 MS. PINTADO: Correct.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: What's the basis of this characterization?
- MS. PINTADO: Well, they would apply the 87
 chronic whether it were under acute or chronic
 conditions first.
 - Second, it does not acknowledge the footnote in the original 1988 criteria document, and we tried to remedy the problem by putting the 88 criteria into the table. EPA said this would not fix the problem. That's when it became very clear that they wouldn't -- they were intending to adopt or use 87, the chronic, with no basis.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Shouldn't that
 omission be referring only to the 87, it should be
 understood in context of EPA's role as a permitting
 authority where they typically reference chronic

```
1
    criteria as the most protective of water quality?
2
              MS. PINTADO: I'm not sure.
 3
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: EPA did state -- I'm
    referring to Attachment A again, on page two -- that,
4
 5
    quote, unquote, "The previously approved 304(a) criteria
 6
    for aluminum are thus the applicable water quality
7
    standards for purposes of the Clean Water Act."
    Correct?
8
9
              MS. PINTADO: Correct.
10
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And that encompasses
11
    both the aquatic criteria of 87 micrograms per liter and
12
    the acute criterion of 750 micrograms per liter,
13
    correct?
              MS. PINTADO: Correct.
14
15
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: EPA also provided a
16
    letter dated December 4th, 2013, attached as Department
17
    Exhibit 5 to your notice of intent, regarding
    recommended language for aluminum criteria.
18
19
              Let me get to that.
2.0
              MS. PINTADO: Exhibit which?
21
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Exhibit 5. If you'd
22
    go to -- I believe it's page eight, at the bottom --
23
              MS. PINTADO: Yes.
24
              MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: -- for aluminum.
25
              MS. PINTADO: Uh-huh.
```

1 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And EPA says "To 2 resolve this disapproval, EPA again recommends that the 3 State adopt language specific to this equation specifying the following, " and I highlighted it, and I 4 5 can't -- "Where pH is equal to or greater than 6.5 in 6 the receiving water after mixing, the chronic hardness-7 dependent equation will apply. Where pH is 6.5 or less 8 in the receiving water after mixing, either the 87 micrograms per liter chronic total recoverable aluminum 9 10 criterion or the criterion resulting from the chronic hardness-dependent equation will apply, whichever is 11 12 more stringent." 13 Is that correct? 14 MS. PINTADO: Correct. 15 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Did the Department 16 consider inclusion of this language? 17 MS. PINTADO: Yes. 18 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And the Department 19 presumably rejected it? 2.0 MS. PINTADO: Yes. 21 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And what was the 22 basis for that rejection? 23 MS. PINTADO: EPA will apply the aluminum criteria as they recommend. I don't feel -- I don't 24 25 think the state is obligated to adopt the exact

language.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Has the Department conferred with the EPA in an attempt to resolve the ambiguities resolving what aluminum criteria --

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: -- must apply?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: And what were the results of those conversations, beyond the -- and I understand that there are letters attached to the exhibits. But were there other conversations that led to some pathway to clarify this?

MS. PINTADO: Well, we understand that the aluminum criteria are in the process of being -- new 304(a) recommendations are in the process of being developed for aluminum.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: By not specifying what the aluminum criteria are for receiving waters with a pH below 6.5, has the Department now itself created an ambiguity?

MS. PINTADO: No.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: If I was a member of the public and I referred to the water quality standards, how would I know what aluminum criterion applies to a water where the pH is below 6.5?

- MS. PINTADO: Not from the standards perhaps,
 but in the assessment protocols, we would make that
 clear.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you,

 Ms. Pintado.
- I want to turn now to something that Amigos

 Bravos does support from the Department, which is the

 Department's proposal to upgrade the designated use of

 I think it's nine stream segments to primary contact

 use.
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.

16

17

18

19

- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: This proposal is
 based in part on the fact that there are no use
 attainability analyses to support secondary contact for
 these stream segments. Is that correct?
 - MS. PINTADO: Correct.
 - MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: In the absence of a use attainability analysis, EPA requires New Mexico to apply Clean Water Act 101(a)(2) uses, fishable/swimmable uses, to these waters; correct?
- MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Does designation of secondary contact uses obviate the Clean Water Act requirement to protect existing uses; in other words, those uses that have occurred since 1975?

MS. PINTADO: No.

2.0

2.4

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: So if swimming or other primary contact use occurred anytime after 1975, primary contact would be considered an existing use and the Clean Water Act would mandate protection of this use?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Therefore, to meet EPA requirements, these nine segments must be given primary contact protections unless there is a use attainability analysis showing that these uses are both not existing and not attainable?

MS. PINTADO: Correct.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: In accord with the rebuttable presumption about protection of Clean Water Act 101(a)(2) uses, you don't really need evidence to support application of primary contact, but you do need evidence in a use attainability analysis to apply only secondary contact uses; is that right?

MS. PINTADO: That's right.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: By providing evidence of primary contact use for these segments, the Department then has effectively exceeded its evidentiary burden?

MS. PINTADO: Yes.

110 Twelfth Street, Northwest, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 243-5018 - Fax (505) 243-3606

- 1 MR. DAIL: That's correct.
- 2 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: If the Commission
- 3 does not upgrade these nine segments with primary
- 4 | contact uses, would EPA require the preparation of use
- 5 attainability analyses to demonstrate why
- 6 | fishable/swimmable uses do not apply to these segments?
- 7 MS. PINTADO: Yes.
- 8 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you.
- 9 No further questions.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
- 11 Any questions on cross-examination from
- 12 Chevron?
- MR. ROSE: No questions.
- 14 MR. CHAVEZ: Any cross from Freeport?
- MS. CHAPPELLE: No cross.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Los Alamos?
- 17 MR. DOLAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, Tim Dolan for
- 18 Los Alamos.
- We have no questions.
- MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.
- 21 At this point I think we've got about
- 22 | 15 minutes before we pack up and have to get out of
- 23 here, so let me go ahead and turn to the Commission for
- 24 | the beginning of their cross and then we can finish up
- 25 in the morning.

So, Mr. Chairman.

2 MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Mr. Hearing Officer,

3 just one thing about public comment provisions for the

4 | end of the day.

7

12

21

22

23

24

25

5 MR. CHAVEZ: That's what we're going to take 6 up in the limited time here.

MR. SCHLENKER-GOODRICH: Thank you.

8 MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. We'll turn to questions 9 from the Commission.

10 Does anybody have questions?

I see Commissioner Hutchinson.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY COMMISSION MEMBERS

MR. HUTCHINSON: Just a quick question for

14 Ms. Pintado.

The EPA water quality standards regs, the final and the draft, say that they apply to waters of the US.

MS. PINTADO: Sure.

MR. HUTCHINSON: And also I -- I'm questioning

-- okay, I'll ask the question, Mr. Chairman.

Is the State of New Mexico actually obligated to follow these? I mean, they, you know, publish a proposed rule, they issue a final rule after comments, et cetera. Like for the use attainability analysis, it has to be consistent with EPA's regulation.

1 Are we obligated to follow this proposed 2 rule? 3 MS. PINTADO: Well, the water quality standards are obligated to abide by the Clean Water Act 4 also; and where the Clean Water Act -- in federal 5 actions for permits, we would definitely be required to 6 7 follow them, yes. 8 MR. HUTCHINSON: Okay. In waters of the US? 9 MS. PINTADO: Yes, sir. 10 MR. HUTCHINSON: And those have now been invalidated by the court, their definition. 11 12 MS. PINTADO: I can't speak to that. 13 MR. HUTCHINSON: Okay. Thank you. 14 MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: Does anyone else want to 15 go first because --MR. DOMINGUEZ: Commissioner Waters. 16 17 MR. WATERS: On those nine streams that you're 18 changing from secondary to primary classification, how 19 many NPDES permits assigned to municipally or publicly 20 owned treatment plants are there on those nine 21 particular stretches, total? 22

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

23

24

25

MS. LEMON: There are four.

MR. WATERS: Can you name them?

wastewater treatment plant, Abiquiu wastewater treatment

MS. LEMON: Yes. Truth or Consequences

- plant, Artesia wastewater treatment plant, and Fort
 Sumner wastewater treatment plant.
- 3 MR. WATERS: That's all I've got.
- 4 MR. DOMINGUEZ: Commissioner Pattison.
- 5 MR. PATTISON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 - Can you explain to me the difference between waters of the state and waters of the US, as far as it applies to New Mexico? Maybe give us an example.
- 9 MS. PINTADO: Well, do you want to cover that?
- MR. KOUGIOULIS: Waters of the state includes
 all waters of the US, so that's part of the definition
 of waters of the state, is it does include all waters of
 the US. So it is, I guess, more water than what the
- The difference, I think, is probably site

waters of the US would encompass.

- specific to some degree.

 As we mentioned, we have some waters that we
- 19 determination, and that comes out of a site-specific
- 20 analysis. So probably action driven, a permit,

used to call unclassified but need further

- 21 something like that may -- would generally instigate a 22 question like that.
- MR. PATTISON: What about ephemeral waters,
- 24 how do they apply?

6

7

8

14

18

MR. KOUGIOULIS: To what specifically?

- MR. PATTISON: How do they fit in your
 definition? Ephemeral waters, in other words, a stream
 that's dry most of the time.
- MR. KOUGIOULIS: We have a definition in our standards of what ephemeral waters are.
- 6 MR. PATTISON: Is it a water of the US or a 7 water of the state?
- MR. KOUGIOULIS: That is very much a

 9 site-specific determination, because the Army Corps has

 10 their own guidance on determination of intermittent and

 11 ephemeral waters in the Southwest and we have our

 12 hydrology protocol.
- MR. PATTISON: How does the -- how do playa lakes fit in the picture?
- And I'm having a hard time hearing you.
- MR. KOUGIOULIS: Sorry.
- I guess, could you be more specific? In the
 picture of a particular proposal that we're proposing,
 or just in general how do playa lakes fit into
 something?
- 21 MR. PATTISON: Whatever applies to what we're 22 here for today.
- MR. KOUGIOULIS: I don't believe that we're --
- MS. BECKER: Louder.
- MR. KOUGIOULIS: I don't believe we are --

playa lakes are part of the definition of a water of the state, but I don't think we have anything -- a specific proposal addressing or identifying playa lakes as the subject or focus of a proposal.

MR. PATTISON: I will have more questions on this later, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. A couple of quick questions, I believe, hopefully.

Back to the nine bodies of water that are being moved from secondary to primary, it's kind of been noted that there has been references that some of these bodies of water have been seen being used for swimming, et cetera.

Is there anything that the Department utilizes to distinguish if that use is actually safe, or if somebody is just swimming in a water, it's assumed that it's primary contact attainable, or does the Department actually look at something to say it's not just some idiot out there swimming somewhere that they shouldn't be?

MS. LEMON: Common sense.

We want to protect for the public health and the environment, and primary contact recreation use and criteria protect for swimming.

In order to prove that that is not attainable, that secondary contact is the more appropriate use and people shouldn't be swimming in there, we would have to conduct a use attainability analysis to evaluate that and scientifically support that decision.

We do not have that -- we have not found any of that scientific evidence that's supportive of a secondary contact use, but we have seen people using as an existing use and probably an attainable use primary contact.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. Switching to the UAA on the Animas.

MR. DAIL: Yes.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Refresh my memory of when that was completed. Was that 2013? Did I hear correct on that?

MR. DAIL: I believe the dates on --

MR. DOMINGUEZ: I believe that's Exhibit 50.

MS. LEMON: 50.

MR. DAIL: Okay. The public comment permit on the draft Animas UAA opened November 18th, 2013, and closed December 20th, 2013.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay. Would any of the recent unfortunate incidents connected to the Animas River change any of the perspective on the UAA, or is there

anything that due to the --

2 MR. DAIL: That's a good question.

MR. CHAVEZ: -- release from the Gold King

Mine that would need to be reevaluated regarding that

UAA?

6 MR. DAIL: That's a good question, Chairman.

The UAA was focused on looking at the supporting attainable uses for certain fish communities as it relates to water temperature.

So we've divided fish communities into waters largely based on temperature regimes that is supportive of those fish communities.

Certainly, pollution can impact the thriving of those fish communities, but the UAA itself is fairly narrow in this case and speaks only to what are the supported uses.

So even if a catastrophic event, or even chronic mine pollution, was affecting the Animas, such that it affected those communities, we would still support that we know what those communities are, we know what they should be, we may not be right there, so it triggers another question how do we get to where it should be.

Right now, what we know about this UAA, which hasn't changed, is specific fish communities indicate

that the cool-water aquatic life use is the most supportable for those two segments in the UAA.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Okay.

MR. HUTCHINSON: Mr. Chairman, on that point.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Yes, Commissioner Hutchinson.

MR. HUTCHINSON: Did the past drought conditions have an effect on the UAA's conclusions resulting in the proposal for classifying them as ephemeral?

MR. DAIL: Do you want to do that?

MR. KOUGIOULIS: Yes. You're referring to the hydrology protocol use.

So as part of the hydro protocols we do, of course, look at drought conditions. Specifically, we look at what's called the standardized precipitation index. That is basically an index that quantifies the relative amount of either surplus water or deficit water for a particular region over a particular time frame.

What we focus on is the type of drought that would affect hydrologic -- it's a hydrologic drought that would affect water tables, would affect base flow that go into a stream.

So, for instance, you may have a three-month drought that may affect soil conditions. A six-, nine-month drought may be more of an agricultural

- drought. But we're looking for a drought that would be in the 12-month category, something where it's significant and it would start to influence the results of our HP.
 - So we have guidance on when we can operate and perform the HP, and we try to stay outside of a range where we feel like a type of drought may be biasing or influencing the results so that we're not seeing what would be naturally attainable.
- MR. HUTCHINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- MR. LONGWORTH: On that point.

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

- MR. DOMINGUEZ: Commissioner Longworth.
- MR. LONGWORTH: So let me make sure I understand what you're saying.
- You're saying that you use a 12-month period to determine whether or not there is a drought?
- MR. KOUGIOULIS: No. So the standardized

 precipitation index, the SPI, can use any amount of time

 previous to when we would go into the field.
 - The way it was developed is to each amount of -- the time frame you select is more indicative of what you're trying to assess.
- So when I say "soil moisture" -- if you

 haven't had rain in three months, you're going to really

 see that, but if you hadn't had rain in three months,

that may not be affecting the water table in that time frame.

So we're looking for something -- so that -the 12-month is getting to be a pretty -- I wouldn't say
severe, it is a moderate drought, that you're starting
to look at if you're getting deficits in water, and
those are the ones that we feel would manifest and the
literature supports would affect base flow and water
table levels.

MR. LONGWORTH: So a follow-up question is, then, so if we have no snowpack, which is let's just say it's six-month period, that's going to affect flow, how do you account for that?

MR. KOUGIOULIS: Well, so that would be -- if it was snowpack and it was something that came down every year, that would fall under the definition of intermittent and not under ephemeral, and so what we're looking at are the ephemeral streams in this particular proposal.

So as our definition in the standards say that intermittent streams are those that are seasonal, that are related to snowmelt and such, it wouldn't be the focus of an HP that is trying to assign uses that would be an ephemeral water.

MS. LEMON: And I would clarify that the index

that Mr. Kougioulis is describing, we use that to identify when we should go out into the field to take our measurements and evaluate the conditions.

So if it's under a severe drought, we won't go, or we will definitely be advised that we may be influencing the results that we're getting. So we use that index to evaluate when we should go out in the field. But once we're out in the field, we collect the data and information that we need to determine the natural hydrology of the system. And it looks at multiple indicators, both biological and geomorphic indicators of flow, to determine, you know, whether a stream is naturally ephemeral, intermittent or perennial.

So that index is used to evaluate when we collect our data. It's not necessarily -- it is part of that process, but we collect data when we feel it's the most representative of the conditions to find natural hydrology.

Does that --

MR. LONGWORTH: I'll think about it overnight.

MS. LEMON: Okay. Sorry.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Mr. Hearing Officer, to help you with your scheduling, I know that Commissioner

DeRose-Bamman has some questions, I was going to ask

her --

2.0

MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: May I ask one today?

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Go ahead.

I think we just have one question from the Commission.

MR. CHAVEZ: Please continue.

7 MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hearing 8 Officer and Mr. Chair. Thank you.

I do have several questions throughout -- for all of them, but I want to focus on the temporary standards provision for today.

We have had the environmental -- the municipalities I represent have had an opportunity to discuss some options for implementing the narrative nutrient criterion over the last several years, and that approach has been very different than what has been drafted here in this petition; and as Amigos Bravos counsel said, that in your testimony you had said that the reason -- one of the reasons was to help us with the nutrient criteria issue.

And so that's implementation of the narrative criterion, and it doesn't translate to permit limits until it gets implemented through an impairment decision and then through a TMDL in most cases. Is that correct? The narrative --

MS. LEMON: It --

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: I mean, it won't --
- 3 MS. LEMON: Not necessarily.
- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: How will it impact the municipality or any entity for the narrative in terms of the permit condition?
 - MS. LEMON: It would most likely be an impaired water. But a TMDL does not have to be in place in order for this process to move forward. It could.
 - And those implementation -- the -- this proposal is to provide a procedure so that we can implement this. So it's kind of providing another tool in the toolbox to help with the implementation aspect.
- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: But one of the -- thank you.
 - One of the baselines for being able to qualify for a temporary standard is to -- to say that you meet one of the factors in 131.10(g), which is also one of the -- one of the factors that you can use to qualify for a use attainability -- a use change.
- MS. LEMON: Right.
- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: And so I think we -- we've
 been having those discussions over and over, that if
 that's a fundamental requirement in order to achieve a
 temporary standard, you might as well just go for the --

- why would you not go for a use change, a designated use change, if you can meet one of those six factors, instead of a temporary standard?
- MS. PINTADO: If the use is not attainable
 because of one of the six factors, and that is
 demonstrated, then a UAA may be the appropriate path.

 But in cases where the use is attainable, just not
 today, then a temporary standard would be the
 appropriate path.

- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: So we would need to forecast the future in our work plan or our -- I'm trying to understand how a petition would look just in terms of the feasibility. I like the exploring different tools, I think that's really important, but in terms of the applicability of the way it's structured right now, I don't see it working for the municipalities because of the -- referring back to the criteria in 131.10(g).
- I mean, because if you can meet that now, you could just go for the change in use.
 - MS. PINTADO: And that may be the case, but we anticipate most folks -- using municipalities as an example, the Factor 6 economic factor would be the most likely factor, and that seems a reasonable process.
- MS. DeROSE-BAMMAN: But I even think within

our discussions on other approaches, like changes in the water quality management plan, that even the economic approach was even going to be challenging at times.

We haven't thoroughly gotten through that discussion of how you could meet that factor in particular.

So the -- just the way it's currently drafted,

I don't think -- it doesn't mesh with what we were

thinking along the lines -- other states have allowed

for temporary -- longer-term temporary changes, and I

like the fact that there isn't a time limit in here, so

that's good, but I also think that because of planning

purposes for -- to build huge treatment facilities, you

know, just where -- within -- if it's within the

standards approval, and then it has to be renewed every

cycle, that it could be a huge problem for the

municipalities.

And so I think the -- I'm going to probably ask more questions on this a little bit later, but the way it's currently drafted, I don't think it kind of helps us. There may be other entities that it could help, but I don't think it helps the municipalities much.

MR. DOMINGUEZ: Mr. Hearing Officer, I think this might be a good time that we turn it back to you

1	for public comment and then possibly pick up first thing					
2	tomorrow with any follow-up questions from the					
3	Commission.					
4	MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and					
5	Members of the Commission.					
6	For clarification, there will be more					
7	questions from the Commission for these witnesses in the					
8	morning, I'm assuming.					
9	MR. DOMINGUEZ: Yes.					
10	MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you.					
11	So at this point, we want to move towards					
12	wrapping up for the day, so I want to open it up and ask					
13	for general non-technical public comment.					
14	Please approach.					
15	And the witnesses are excused until the					
16	morning.					
17	Feel free to come up and take a seat.					
18	MS. PERROTTE: Thank you.					
19	(Oath administered to Marlene Perrotte.)					
20	MS. PERROTTE: Thank you.					
21	MARLENE PERROTTE					
22	after having been first duly sworn or affirmed,					
23	provided public comment as follows:					
24	PUBLIC COMMENT					
25	MS. PERROTTE: Dear Members of the New Mexico					

Water Quality Control Commission, I am Marlene Perrotte, and I am speaking in support of the positions of the Sisters of Mercy in Albuquerque, the Partnership for Earth Spirituality, and the Communities for Clean Water.

I'm really grateful that we have such a Commission, because given what we are dealing with here in New Mexico, we're not only talking about quality, but we're talking about quantity, and it's how we are going to protect this great holy resource that is the lifeblood of all our citizens.

Our Public Trust Doctrine of the United States

Common Law passed in 1647 is the principle that the

government has an affirmative duty to protect natural

resources for public use.

You, Commissioners, have the duty to be the legal protectors of the commonwealth for the common good.

We request that you adopt Amigos Bravos' proposal to strengthen the aluminum standard and to reverse the present weakened New Mexico aluminum water quality standard, and to reject the faulty data/ research of the hardness-based solutions standard that is -- that is at present.

I also want to say that permits should not be to adjust to the needs of polluters and giving more time

1 and understanding, which weakens quality, but rather we must protect the commonwealth of this state and demand 2 3 high quality, even if it means closing it down until there is proper cleanup. 4 5 I also urge this Commission to reject the New 6 Mexico Environment Department temporary standard 7 proposal. We cannot allow polluters to have weak --8 weaker permit levels and increase pollution into our 9 rivers and streams. We look to you as our defenders of 10 the commonwealth. 11 Thank you very much. 12 MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you. 13 Is there any other public comment? Please come forward. 14 15 Feel free to sit down and state your name. (Oath administered to Joan Brown.) 16 JOAN BROWN 17 after having been first duly sworn or affirmed, 18 19 provided public comment as follows: 2.0 PUBLIC COMMENT 21 MS. BROWN: My name is Joan Brown, and I'm a 22 Franciscan Sister.

your work, which is very complex, because you're dealing

with water municipalities, you're dealing with

I, first of all, want to thank you for all of

23

24

extractive industries, you're dealing with other kinds of industries, and you're dealing with the whole common good of the State of New Mexico.

I'm executive director of New Mexico

Interfaith Power and Light. So our concern really is,
as we move into what some of you were talking about,
droughts and concerns about water and the quality as
well as the quantity, and as we have less water at
certain times, we all know that the water quality can be
impaired more easily.

So the issues that you're dealing with in this triennial review are quite important.

I'd also like to just note, as kind of a caveat and overview, that Pope Francis just came out with the Laudoto Si', which I think some of you might be aware of, and in that, in addressing climate change and economics and poverty issues, one of the main concerns was water, and he said in all of these issues water is very precious and it's the lifeblood, and once it's impaired, sometimes it is impaired and it cannot be unimpaired.

So given that, I have some concerns about weaker standards. I think, if anything, we should be maintaining high standards.

I would recommend that the standard for

aluminum, that the mining industry weakened, that I support the Amigos Bravos recommendation of reverting to the EPA standards for that particular area.

As a citizen, I'm also -- I don't understand all of it, because I'm not a technician, but I am concerned about -- anytime I hear something about temporary kind of permits or weaker standards.

Now, I can see where maybe that would be helpful sometimes if there is like an emergency kind of situation with a water utility, but I think some of these things can be utilized by appliers, maybe industry, that don't always have the moral or ethical convictions that we hope that they have. And so I have a concern about that weakening of the standards on waters into which discharge is emitted, and the cumulative effects of some of those discharges upon water, because we're not just talking about water in the state, because we are an arid state, so we always have to address those cumulative.

I also believe in any of this that there should always be a strong public hearing prior to plans already being made up and proposing those plans.

Oftentimes I hear it from the public that they are concerned that they get in at the last minute about something when, quote, the decision is basically already

made. So I think we need to keep that in mind.

2 So the temporary standards is a big concern.

Another -- and I don't really agree with that.

Also, I'm concerned because we have such -- so many small bodies of water and headwaters in many places and also small ponds and wetlands that sometimes flow at certain times of the year, that we really need to protect those areas as well, those small bodies of water, those wetlands, which serve many purposes in our biological system.

So I would recommend not weakening the standards on those as well.

So, yeah, I thank you for your work, and I trust that you take into consideration these concerns for the common good and the overall ethics of water.

Thank you.

MR. CHAVEZ: Thank you, Ms. Brown.

Is there any other members of the public that would like to provide comment at this time?

Seeing none, this is the conclusion of day one of the hearing.

We'll continue tomorrow morning with cross-examination on NMED's witnesses, and we'll follow with the presentation by Freeport-McMoRan.

Thank you.

87102

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO.) 2)ss. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) 3 4 I, Kathy Townsend, the officer before whom the 5 foregoing hearing was taken, do hereby certify that the 6 witnesses whose testimony appears in the foregoing 7 transcript were duly sworn by me; that I personally 8 recorded the testimony by machine shorthand; that said transcript is a true record of the testimony given by 9 10 said witnesses; that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to 11 12 the action in which this matter is taken, and that I am 13 not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel 14 employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action. 15 16 17 18 NOTARY PUBLIC 19 CCR License Number: 23 Expires: 12/31/15 20 My Commission Expires: 9/12/2017 21 22 23 24

1	13th [2] - 1:14, 6:3	2005 [12] - 31:13,	305(b) [1] - 47:20	53 [1] - 5:10
	14 [2] - 40:8, 45:1	32:10, 73:23, 90:12,	307 [1] - 1:17	57 [2] - 65:5, 65:18
	14-05(R [1] - 1:3	91:4, 94:20, 106:21,	30th [2] - 36:10,	575 [1] - 3:13
'80s [1] - 92:24	14-05(R) [3] - 6:7,	107:3, 107:15,	69:14	58 [2] - 64:23, 64:24
'at [1] - 80:24	6:23, 103:13	108:13, 110:23,	31 [1] - 52:16	59 [1] - 66:22
'primary [1] - 76:9	14th [1] - 52:8	111:12	310 [1] - 95:4	5:00 [1] - 114:13
'secondary [1] -	15 [2] - 31:6, 154:22	2007 [2] - 89:4, 94:19		3.00[1] - 114.13
88:15		2009 [3] - 32:9,	32.2 [1] - 30:4	C
	15.C [2] - 51:12,	32:11, 74:23	325 [2] - 3:22, 4:5	6
1	51:17		338-3945 [1] - 2:13	
<u> </u>	15.D [1] - 51:18	2010 [2] - 54:10,	37 [2] - 93:10, 93:13	6 [13] - 19:7, 49:25,
	155 [1] - 5:18	54:11	39 [4] - 5:8, 55:11,	61:18, 61:20, 61:24,
1 [7] - 1:21, 25:23,	15th [1] - 52:8	2011 [2] - 53:19,	55:12, 55:14	62:2, 62:4, 70:10,
49:7, 109:9, 109:13,	16 [3] - 40:22, 41:10,	54:10	3:10 [1] - 103:6	70:13, 70:23, 111:23,
109:24, 142:3	41:21	2012 [3] - 24:25,	3:28 [1] - 103:6	123:18, 168:23
10 [5] - 40:22, 41:10,	162 [1] - 105:7	93:17, 93:18	3rd [1] - 36:3	6.5 [14] - 144:7,
42:4, 49:19, 50:5	1647 [1] - 171:12	2013 [12] - 12:15,		
10(F [1] - 42:5	1663 [1] - 4:9	21:6, 36:3, 52:8,	4	144:20, 144:22,
10-minute [1] - 100:6	17 [5] - 77:10, 78:16,	63:18, 69:8, 69:9,		145:2, 145:18,
10.F [1] - 51:1	78:17, 78:18, 79:22	69:10, 149:16,		145:21, 146:2, 146:7,
	170 [1] - 5:20	160:15, 160:21,	4 [5] - 19:7, 49:14,	146:9, 147:20, 150:5,
10.F(1)(a [1] - 49:12	172 [1] - 5:22	160:22	136:3, 136:4, 136:5	150:7, 151:19, 151:25
101(a [1] - 87:12	17th [1] - 69:10	2014 [10] - 36:7,	4-22 [4] - 109:9,	60 [1] - 36:9
101(a)(2 [9] - 27:15,	18 [1] - 5:4	36:10, 36:21, 52:8,	109:20, 110:8, 111:5	60-day [1] - 69:14
31:19, 92:5, 107:9,	18th [2] - 69:8,	52:15, 52:20, 69:17,	40 [5] - 30:15, 30:22,	602 [1] - 3:12
111:2, 111:11,	160:21	70:13, 78:12, 144:10	45:1, 55:7, 97:25	613-4197 [1] - 3:13
111:13, 152:19,	19 [1] - 39:23	2015 [9] - 1:15, 13:3,	401 [4] - 25:9, 44:19,	63 [1] - 5:12
153:16		38:10, 41:1, 41:8,	143:6, 143:8	6565 [1] - 2:12
103 [2] - 83:11, 83:16	1975 [5] - 86:1, 86:2,	45:24, 70:21, 98:7,	402 [10] - 138:22,	66 [1] - 25:16
10th [2] - 69:17,	86:7, 152:25, 153:3	105:9	139:6, 139:7, 139:10,	667-7512 [1] - 4:10
94:19	1980s [2] - 74:8,	206 [2] - 86:12, 87:7	142:24, 143:4, 143:6,	
11 [1] - 24:4	95:14	208 [1] - 3:11	143:8, 143:19, 143:22	7
11/16/06 [1] - 110:5	1988 [2] - 73:23,	20th [3] - 69:9,	403 [1] - 67:19	•
115 [1] - 5:16	148:16	144:10, 160:22	404 [7] - 44:20, 47:9,	
116 [1] - 84:3	1:02 [2] - 1:19, 6:5	21 [4] - 129:23,	67:19, 142:24,	701 [1] - 95:4
1190 [1] - 2:17	1st [2] - 36:7, 69:13	133:3, 133:8	143:15, 143:18,	702 [1] - 95:4
12 [2] - 64:16, 125:19		•	143:23	71 [1] - 5:14
12-month [3] - 163:2,	2	213 [1] - 87:25	42 [1] - 45:14	73 [1] - 5:15
163:15, 164:4		21st [3] - 45:24, 98:7,	46 [4] - 55:16, 62:25,	74-6-4(D [2] - 45:4,
12.G [1] - 47:12		105:8		89:24
12.H [5] - 48:2, 51:1,	2 [11] - 59:16, 59:20,	221 [1] - 95:4	65:9, 65:19	750 [1] - 149:12
125:18, 125:21,	78:14, 81:25, 82:6,	23 [2] - 5:6, 177:19	490 [1] - 1:17	77-89 [4] - 77:7, 78:9
142:23	82:11, 83:10, 92:11,	25 [1] - 71:22	4:59 [1] - 176:3	92:7, 93:8
	92:25, 142:4, 142:5	2540 [1] - 3:17	4th [6] - 38:9, 38:12,	79-89 [1] - 79:7
12/31/15 [1] - 177:19	20 [6] - 19:7, 46:6,	25th [1] - 85:25	41:1, 41:8, 77:17,	10 00 [1] 10.1
120 [1] - 5:17	56:9, 62:8, 62:12,	28th [1] - 13:3	149:16	8
1239 [1] - 3:5	71:21		_	0
124 [1] - 85:17	20.6.4 [3] - 1:7, 6:20,	3	5	
126 [1] - 95:4	41:18			8 [3] - 5:3, 37:20,
128 [4] - 11:19,	20.6.4.10 [1] - 41:16		F to: 40:40 40:05	50:3
13:20, 95:4, 120:18	20.6.4.10.F(3 [1] -	3 [2] - 84:17, 142:5	5 [6] - 49:19, 49:25,	80 [2] - 30:5, 105:7
13 [6] - 40:13, 56:10,	101:2	30 [2] - 36:4, 106:19	137:17, 149:17,	803 [2] - 68:5, 68:22
58:20, 62:9, 77:7,	20.6.4.103 [2] -	30-day [4] - 52:7,	149:21	804 [3] - 68:6, 68:13,
78:8	79:22, 80:21	58:7, 58:10, 69:6	50 [2] - 160:18,	68:16
131.10(g [1] - 167:18	20.6.4.116 [1] - 79:8	303(c) [2] - 46:21,	160:19	807 [1] - 68:15
131.10(g) [1] -	20.6.4.13 [1] - 121:24	53:6	503 [2] - 66:11	
168:18	20.6.4.16 [1] - 8:18	303(c)(1 [1] - 19:10	505 [7] - 2:13, 2:19,	827-0528 [1] - 2:19
131.10(j [1] - 30:22	20.6.4.7.E(3 [1] -	303(c)(3 [1] - 20:13	3:6, 3:18, 3:23, 4:6,	84 [1] - 148:3
131.13 [1] - 45:1	79:11	303(d [2] - 47:20,	4:10	87 [7] - 145:3,
131.14 [1] - 98:1		146:10	51020 [1] - 105:8	145:23, 148:12,
	20.6.4.7.P(5) [1] - 76:8	304(a [2] - 149:5,	51047 [2] - 98:8,	148:20, 148:23,
131.2 [1] - 30:15			İ.	140.11 150.0
131.2 [1] - 30:15 131.3(o [1] - 99:15	2004 [1] - 24:2	151:15	99:14	149:11, 150:8 87048-2540 [1] - 3:17

87110 [1] - 2:13 87501 [4] - 2:18, 3:6, 3:22, 4:5 87545 [1] - 4:10 87571 [1] - 3:12 88 [1] - 148:17 888-6600 [1] - 3:18 8th [1] - 36:21

8:22

accord [2] - 140:25,

9

9 [1] - 37:20 9/12/2017 [1] -177:20 900.1(1) [1] - 144:4 920 [1] - 2:12 97 [4] - 51:8, 94:23, 108:6, 111:10 97(C) [3] - 41:22, 51:24, 52:22 98 [1] - 94:23 982-3873 [2] - 3:23, 4:6 982-9523 [1] - 3:6 99 [1] - 94:24 9:00 [1] - 176:2

Α

A187 [1] - 4:9 abide [1] - 156:4 ability [1] - 57:8 Abiquiu [1] - 156:25 able [4] - 100:12, 122:15, 147:4, 167:16 absence [2] - 140:4, 152:17 absolutely [2] -114:21, 139:18 accept [1] - 8:2 accepted [1] - 8:4 access [1] - 104:23 accessible [5] - 22:6, 80:25, 81:3, 83:13, 88:2 accidental [2] - 89:2, 89:17 accidentally [1] -88:10 accommodate [1] -134:16 accomplish [1] -22.7 accomplished [1] -10:1 accomplishes [1] -96:10

accomplishing [1] -

153:14 accordance [3] -6:24, 51:16, 136:1 according [5] - 9:6, 45:14, 47:23, 102:5, 107:8 accordingly [2] -50:8, 92:6 account [9] - 128:11, 129:16, 129:20, 129:22, 130:5, 140:9, 141:7, 164:13 accounted [1] -127:23 accounting [1] -131:17 achievable [4] -46:9, 68:21, 126:16, 139:19 achieve [13] - 29:2, 43:16, 44:2, 46:12, 51:5, 123:20, 130:22, 131:11, 132:2, 133:25, 134:17, 141:14, 167:24 achieved [5] - 28:23, 57:25, 58:2, 133:5, 141:10 achieving [3] -97:15, 123:19, 134:1 acknowledge [1] -148:15 act [2] - 6:17, 117:3 Act [54] - 6:25, 19:11, 19:15, 19:18, 19:25, 20:3, 20:14, 24:19, 25:10, 26:25, 27:3, 27:9, 27:11, 28:24, 30:7, 30:16, 31:18. 39:15. 40:2. 43:2. 44:19, 45:4, 46:21, 47:9, 47:17, 47:19, 50:13, 51:3, 53:6, 59:15, 60:17, 85:24, 87:12, 89:25, 90:3, 116:11, 117:6, 142:24, 144:8, 145:6, 145:7, 145:9, 145:16, 145:20, 146:1, 148:1, 149:7, 152:19, 152:23, 153:5, 153:16, 156:4, 156:5 **Acting** [2] - 21:7, 22:20 acting [6] - 23:9, 23:12, 24:22, 24:25, 25:13, 25:20 action [9] - 94:21,

94:24, 107:19, 107:21, 122:22, 123:5, 157:20, 177:12, 177:15 actions [10] - 44:20, 47:9. 95:7. 118:9. 131:11. 131:16. 132:8, 132:10, 141:4, 156:6 activities [10] -24:20, 35:3, 47:11, 71:25, 76:23, 84:20, 97:9, 115:14, 116:9, 119:3 activity [1] - 90:23 acts [1] - 117:10 actual [2] - 93:1, 137:23 acute [2] - 148:13, 149:12 add [5] - 63:5, 72:15, 73:9, 94:10, 97:10 added [4] - 48:2, 49:12, 49:14, 50:3 adding [3] - 22:13, 22:14, 97:8 addition [6] - 12:2, 21:22, 28:16, 41:21, 65:6, 135:25 additional [5] -36:17, 40:19, 93:22, 126:8, 126:9 additionally [1] -69:14 additions [2] - 40:14, 51:9 address [13] - 14:24, 18:8. 21:8. 22:4. 22:13, 24:11, 24:12, 26:14, 32:6, 32:9, 40:18, 129:7, 174:19 addressed [2] - 11:6, 21:2 addresses [1] -57:16 addressing [3] -59:14, 159:3, 173:16 adds [1] - 42:5 adequate [1] - 95:1 adhere [2] - 131:2, 132:18 adjust [1] - 171:25 adjustment [1] -67:20 administered [4] -8:9, 22:22, 170:19, 172:16 Administrative [3] -19:8, 41:16, 66:2

6:22, 7:17, 111:24, 112.1 admission [2] - 12:8, 103:17 admit [1] - 18:7 admitted [2] - 12:25, 104.13 adopt [30] - 19:21, 19:22, 22:8, 26:5, 27:6, 28:16, 30:15, 35:1, 40:25, 42:6, 43:1, 44:13, 44:23, 45:2, 45:5, 45:11, 48:10, 55:12, 55:14, 55:15, 65:18, 102:22, 107:16, 126:13, 127:7, 136:25, 148:20, 150:3, 150:25, 171:18 adopted [26] - 21:1, 22:12, 31:14, 32:8, 32:11, 33:4, 42:11, 43:17, 44:10, 45:12, 45:15, 46:19, 47:7, 47:12, 49:9, 50:12, 55:23, 65:23, 90:1, 93:2, 93:4, 102:3, 106:17, 109:8, 137:2, 144:25 adopting [8] - 19:19, 25:20, 30:23, 40:3, 46:17, 55:20, 66:17, 101:24 adoption [2] - 42:15, 44:11 adopts [1] - 20:11 **ads** [1] - 36:13 advanced [1] -129:11 advised [2] - 134:14, 165:5 affect [7] - 35:15, 162:20, 162:21, 162:24, 164:8, 164:12 affected [4] - 44:22. 47:4, 48:22, 161:19 affecting [5] - 7:10, 31:5, 56:18, 161:18, 164:1 affirmed [8] - 8:12, 23:2, 39:3, 53:12, 63:9, 71:12, 170:22, 172:18 afternoon [8] - 6:10, 6:15, 10:13, 18:24, 73:3, 120:13 agencies [1] -137:20 agency [2] - 19:17,

Agency [1] - 20:15 agenda [1] - 6:7 ago [1] - 118:21 agree [6] - 18:5, 97:11, 102:21, 104:16, 118:1, 175:3 agreeing [1] - 11:18 agreement [2] -11:21, 12:7 agreements [1] agricultural [2] -20:8, 162:25 Agronomy [1] -64:10 ahead [7] - 18:20, 113:13. 114:18. 116:24, 129:13, 154:23, 166:3 aimed [2] - 128:3, 143:3 air [1] - 67:21 Alamos [11] - 4:2, 4:9, 4:10, 10:22, 11:17, 11:19, 104:17, 113:16, 113:22, 154:16, 154:18 Albuquerque [3] -2:13, 37:5, 171:3 algal [1] - 89:3 alleviate [1] - 70:9 Allie [1] - 68:17 allow [16] - 9:25, 44:1, 44:5, 44:23, 47:6, 49:12, 51:12, 97:13, 102:8, 104:22, 104:25, 116:20, 118:2, 121:21, 129:13, 172:7 allowable [1] - 50:20 allowed [4] - 35:18, 44:15, 70:9, 169:9 allowing [3] - 44:9, 50:13, 147:15 almost [4] - 34:22, 34:23, 79:23, 117:7 alter [1] - 141:20 alteration [1] - 89:3 aluminum [32] -14:17, 15:23, 16:9, 16:10, 16:21, 16:22, 17:12, 22:14, 144:1, 144:6, 145:1, 145:6, 145:17, 146:23, 146:25, 147:4, 147:8, 147:11, 147:16, 149:6, 149:18, 149:24, 150:9, 150:23, 151:4, 151:14, 151:16,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

administrator [4] -

151:18, 151:24, 171:19, 171:20, 174:1 AM [1] - 176:2 ambiguities [1] -151.4 ambiguity [2] -147:24, 151:20 ambiguous [1] -148:6 amenable [2] -134:20, 143:22 amend [1] - 19:21 amended [5] - 38:11, 97:1, 103:19, 106:10, 144:11 amendment [1] -117:20 amendments 181 -21:4, 36:8, 36:19, 36:23, 37:11, 37:21, 94:20. 117:1 AMENDMENTS [1] -1:5 Americas [1] - 2:12 Amigos [27] - 3:9, 10:19, 11:16, 11:22, 12:1, 14:5, 14:18, 14:24, 15:11, 16:9, 16:24, 17:16, 41:24, 48:16, 50:2, 104:2, 113:3, 114:8, 114:12, 114:19, 120:5, 120:15, 128:16, 152:6, 166:17, 171:18, 174:2 ammonia [1] -126:24 amount [4] - 34:18, 162:17, 163:18, 163:20 **Ana** [1] - 54:21 analyses [7] - 21:19, 43:18, 56:5, 66:5, 74:24, 152:14, 154:5 analysis [14] - 30:12, 31:2, 32:19, 52:4, 65:8, 67:13, 69:22, 95:2, 152:18, 153:11, 153:18, 155:24, 157:20, 160:4 analyzing [1] - 54:2 **AND** [1] - 1:6 Andrews [1] - 10:21 ANDREWS [2] -3:21. 4:4 Animas [15] - 65:8, 65:12, 66:6, 67:12, 67:15, 69:7, 69:23, 69:24, 69:25, 70:12, 71:6, 160:12, 160:21,

160:24, 161:18 announced [1] - 36:3 answer [6] - 54:8, 89:8, 99:3, 114:7. 116:22, 122:25 answered [1] -140:18 answers [2] - 95:5, 114:8 anti [6] - 128:1, 140:15, 141:18, 141:21, 141:25, 142:15 anti-deg [2] - 128:1, 141:18 anti-degradation [4] - 140:15, 141:21, 141:25, 142:15 anticipate [3] -125:2, 131:22, 168:22 anticipated [1] -13:15 anytime [2] - 153:3, 174:6 anyway [1] - 104:13 apologize [2] -15:15, 122:3 apparent [1] - 121:22 appearance [2] - 8:2, 10:11 applicability [1] -168:15 applicable [7] - 47:4, 47:24, 49:8, 94:3, 97:4, 124:3, 149:6 application [7] -21:12, 21:18, 52:19, 58:4, 118:2, 121:23, 153:17 applications [4] -22:10, 115:16, 115:20, 120:18 applied [8] - 43:4, 46:4, 46:25, 51:19, 57:10, 67:8, 90:6, 104:7 appliers [1] - 174:11 applies [7] - 46:4, 100:23, 127:14, 141:25, 151:25, 157:8. 158:21 **apply** [25] - 26:15, 29:15, 46:8, 47:2, 48:3, 49:16, 62:5, 108:19, 127:11, 129:2, 142:4, 142:12, 145:3, 148:6, 148:12,

150:7, 150:11,

150:23, 151:6,

152:19, 153:18,

154:6, 155:16, 157:24 applying [1] - 95:12 appreciable [1] -88:18 appreciate [2] -119:22, 120:19 appreciated [1] -52:1 appreciates [1] -12:5 appreciation [1] -120:16 approach [5] -108:12, 124:12, 166:16, 169:3, 170:14 approaches [1] -169:1 appropriate [30] -16:18, 19:13, 19:25, 20:5, 25:6, 35:2, 41:25, 43:20, 43:24, 45:7, 46:10, 50:14, 50:20, 57:9, 60:8, 61:3, 63:22, 68:20, 74:20, 90:3, 98:23, 100:1, 112:16, 125:8, 136:20, 137:3, 137:20, 160:2, 168:6, 168:9 appropriately [2] -32:25, 112:21 approval [17] -20:15, 38:14, 46:20, 52:21, 53:1, 53:6, 62:2, 62:3, 70:13, 70:20, 70:25, 71:1, 94:16, 136:9, 136:14, 141:1, 169:15 approve [10] - 20:16, 20:18, 31:17, 106:21, 107:16, 107:20, 107:21, 135:5, 135:6, 135:16 approved [19] -32:18. 32:25. 43:22. 46:19, 47:7, 47:13, 53:5, 56:6, 102:16, 102:17, 110:18, 137:7, 137:10, 139:1, 141:9, 142:16, 142:20, 149:5 approves [3] - 20:11, 53:3, 92:14 approving [6] - 44:6, 44:7, 53:22, 61:16, 94:12, 135:10 **April** [3] - 36:3, 36:7, 69.13 aquatic [47] - 21:18,

29:18, 30:3, 30:20, 31:9, 31:14, 32:13, 58:2, 58:3, 60:5, 60:21, 61:4, 66:6, 67:12, 67:17, 67:18, 67:20, 68:3, 68:6, 68:9, 68:18, 70:6, 71:24. 90:17. 91:7. 94:22, 107:6, 107:17, 107:25, 108:6, 109:2, 110:14, 110:19, 110:25, 111:9, 112:22, 145:19, 146:1, 147:1, 147:17, 149:11, 162:1 aquatics [1] - 146:23 area [4] - 8:23, 80:23, 81:12, 174:3 Areas [1] - 23:22 areas [1] - 175:8 argued [1] - 111:8 Arid [1] - 23:22 arid [1] - 174:18 Arizona [3] - 23:19, 23:24, 23:25 Army [1] - 158:9 ART [2] - 5:2, 8:11 **Art** [1] - 8:9 Artesia [1] - 157:1 aspect [2] - 9:17, 167:13 aspects [1] - 71:2 assemblages [1] -67:25 assess [4] - 35:18, 47:19, 56:17, 163:22 assessed [2] - 24:19, 32:21 assessing [2] - 31:5, 53:22 assessment [3] -63:19, 63:24, 152:2 Assessment [3] -24:16, 24:23, 25:12 assign [5] - 32:12, 32:25, 52:21, 68:15, 164:23 assigned [6] - 35:10, 61:5. 68:9. 107:23. 123:10, 156:19 assigning [3] -68:19, 111:14, 145:22 assignment [3] -61:13, 67:25, 70:6 assignments [1] -71:5 assist [2] - 53:25, 63:23 assistant [4] - 23:21,

Assistant [1] - 2:17 associated [7] -48:3, 56:10, 58:20, 62:5, 62:9, 66:20, 67:2 **assume** [1] - 38:20 assumed [3] - 24:7, 81:3, 159:17 assuming [2] -144:24, 170:8 assumption [2] -88:5, 89:13 assurance [3] -53:20, 53:23, 63:25 assurances [1] -141:9 **assuring** [1] - 57:9 attached [4] - 16:15, 128:16, 149:16, 151:10 attaching [1] - 16:16 Attachment [3] -144:10, 148:5, 149:4 attainability [21] -21:19, 30:12, 31:2, 32:19, 43:18, 52:4, 65:8, 66:5, 67:13, 69:22, 74:24, 85:24, 95:2, 152:14, 152:18, 153:11, 153:18, 154:5, 155:24, 160:4, 167:20 attainable [57] -29:1, 29:24, 30:11, 30:17, 31:1, 31:24, 31:25, 32:4, 32:24, 33:9, 34:6, 34:24, 35:3, 43:10, 43:11, 43:21, 46:8, 50:18, 57:13, 57:22, 57:24, 58:1, 59:23, 60:4, 60:25, 61:15, 75:22, 76:2, 78:25, 79:1. 79:9, 79:12, 79:25, 80:2, 80:6, 80:7, 80:17, 80:18, 87:3, 87:9, 87:10, 91:22, 92:5, 100:20, 101:12, 108:16, 108:20, 131:12, 153:12, 159:18, 160:1, 160:9, 161:8, 163:9, 168:4, 168:7 attaining [1] - 27:11 attainment [6] - 31:6, 56:5, 56:19, 57:16, 59:18, 61:23 attempt [3] - 122:22, 123:5, 151:3 attendance [1] - 7:8

64:14, 71:18

22:15, 28:13, 28:14,

attention [1] - 11:9 Attorney [2] - 3:10, attorney [4] - 73:5, 128:25, 177:10, 177:13 Attorneys [5] - 2:11, 3:5, 3:16, 3:21, 4:4 audience [1] - 54:5 August [4] - 24:2, 45:24, 98:7, 105:8 authorities [3] -43:1, 44:23, 51:3 authority [4] - 48:9, 102:22, 123:13, 148:25 authorize [1] - 45:1 authorizes [2] - 45:5, 47:14 **available** [10] - 14:9, 35:17, 37:19, 69:4, 69:15, 70:8, 92:3, 95:3, 113:9, 138:9 avid [1] - 8:15 avoid [1] - 7:11 aware [7] - 35:14, 74:16, 74:21, 75:5, 118:18, 118:22, 173:16

В

bachelor [2] - 54:17, bachelor's [2] -23:17, 39:19 background [2] -39:17, 147:2 bacteria [1] - 35:11 Bamman [1] - 165:25 **BAMMAN** [16] - 2:4, 114:16, 115:5, 115:8, 115:11, 115:22, 115:24, 156:14, 166:2, 166:7, 167:2, 167:4, 167:14, 167:22, 168:10, 168:25 base [2] - 162:21, 164:8 based [53] - 16:3, 19:24, 21:5, 22:14, 28:17, 34:8, 34:19, 34:21, 34:25, 35:2, 35:11, 37:22, 37:23, 43:13, 43:23, 45:6, 46:3, 48:7, 48:20, 49:19, 50:23, 52:10, 52:19, 56:5, 57:14,

154:24

10:25, 20:21

behalf [3] - 10:22,

behind [1] - 122:6

below [4] - 67:6,

147:20, 151:19,

57:16, 59:23, 60:25, 62:13, 75:21, 80:8, 81:7, 81:20, 83:16, 84:22, 89:14, 90:2, 90:7, 110:12, 116:6, 144:5, 144:19, 145:14, 145:16, 145:18. 145:25. 147:16, 147:21, 148:1, 152:13, 161:11, 171:22 baseline [1] - 131:19 baselines [1] -167:16 bases [2] - 41:10, 77:4 basin [13] - 22:16, 51:20, 56:15, 56:16, 58:17, 62:17, 62:24, 66:4, 66:11, 83:12, 84:3, 86:13, 88:1 basins [4] - 58:18, 62:18, 62:25, 66:7 basis [23] - 28:9, 50:4, 77:8, 77:21, 78:22, 79:21, 79:23, 81:10, 87:1, 87:5, 87:7, 87:17, 87:21, 91:10, 93:5, 101:3, 118:3, 139:2, 144:13, 145:22, 148:10, 148:21, 150:22 **BE** [1] - 1:14 became [3] - 24:24, 111:21, 148:19 Becker [2] - 5:8, 10:14 **BECKER** [16] - 2:16, 38:25, 39:6, 53:10, 98:9, 98:17, 98:20, 98:25, 105:20, 105:23, 106:3, 109:14, 114:25, 128:13, 129:4, 158:24 become [3] - 24:15, 92:3, 143:11 becomes [2] - 7:16, 126:14 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:2 begin [4] - 10:10, 11:5, 120:15, 120:24 **beginning** [8] - 77:9, 78:9, 79:8, 80:21, 105:8, 136:23, 140:1,

151:25 BERNALILLO [1] -177:3 better [3] - 14:19, 17:15, 18:6 between [10] - 56:23, 57:1, 60:23, 84:12, 113:15, 116:11, 121:10, 134:21, 146:17, 157:6 beyond [3] - 125:9, 126:8, 151:9 biasing [1] - 163:7 big [1] - 175:2 bioavailable [1] -146:13 biologic [1] - 61:3 biological [3] - 28:3, 165:11. 175:10 biologists [1] - 71:22 biology [2] - 23:18, 64:5 bit [8] - 52:1, 54:5, 73:6, 120:20, 138:20, 144:4, 146:22, 169:19 **BLM** [1] - 84:22 bloom [1] - 89:3 board [1] - 104:7 boating [10] - 34:19, 34:22, 81:20, 88:3. 88:6, 88:11, 88:19, 88:23, 89:15, 89:22 bodies [4] - 159:10, 159:13, 175:5, 175:8 **bodily** [1] - 80:25 body [24] - 26:16, 28:21, 28:23, 33:4, 42:12, 42:21, 44:21, 46:7, 47:3, 57:10, 61:5, 97:5, 108:19, 127:13, 127:15, 127:17, 127:22, 129:17, 130:12, 130:24, 131:1, 140:10, 141:13, 146:25 bottom [1] - 149:22 **bound** [1] - 68:12 boundary [1] - 68:17 **Box** [2] - 3:17, 4:9 branch [1] - 66:14 branches [1] - 66:20 Brantley [3] - 86:14, 86:15, 86:20 Bravos [17] - 3:9, 10:19, 11:16, 11:22, 15:11, 17:17, 41:24, 48:16, 50:2, 104:2, 113:3, 114:12, 120:5, 128:16, 152:7,

100:9, 103:16 brief [1] - 25:1 **briefly** [5] - 39:16, 41:12, 48:19, 56:1, 65:22 **bring** [3] - 18:17, 18:18, 18:20 brings [1] - 11:24 brought [2] - 14:25, 112:10 brown [1] - 175:17 Brown [2] - 172:16, 172:21 BROWN [3] - 5:21, 172:17, 172:21 Bryan [5] - 21:16, 22:23, 63:14, 82:16, 83:15 **BRYAN** [2] - 5:11, 63:8 **build** [1] - 169:13 Building [2] - 1:17, 2.18 burden [1] - 153:24 Bureau [86] - 21:7, 21:17, 22:21, 23:9, 23:10, 23:12, 24:2, 24:3, 24:5, 24:25, 25:2, 25:13, 25:20, 31:8, 33:22, 34:10, 34:13, 35:17, 35:25. 36:7, 36:11, 36:16, 36:20, 36:23, 37:13, 38:8, 39:11, 39:23, 40:17, 41:6, 42:22, 44:9, 44:10, 48:6, 48:12, 51:21, 52:2, 52:23, 52:24, 53:21, 54:19, 55:3, 55:6, 55:11, 55:12, 55:14, 55:16, 55:17, 55:20, 57:24, 58:13, 58:24, 59:2, 59:21, 60:7, 61:20, 62:25, 63:17, 64:2, 65:9, 65:11, 65:18, 66:15, 69:18, 69:20, 69:24, 70:9, 70:14, 75:14, 78:24, 79:24, 80:22, 81:18, 82:5, 84:17, 84:19, 85:3, 85:9, 87:17, 96:8, 97:1, 98:12, 98:14, 111:8, 112:7 Bureau's [38] -20:17, 21:9, 21:17,

166:17, 174:2

Bravos' [7] - 12:1,

14:18, 14:24, 16:10,

break [3] - 100:6,

16:24, 120:15, 171:18

25:22, 34:21, 35:7, 35:13, 36:13, 37:8, 37:9, 37:18, 37:20, 46:5, 51:12, 51:18, 52:15, 52:17, 53:23, 56:6, 58:9, 58:11, 63:23, 64:24, 65:5, 69:3. 70:19. 77:3. 77:7, 80:5, 81:2, 93:6, 93:10, 95:17, 97:18, 101:17, 108:25, 110:24, 111:7 BUTCH [1] - 2:3 **BY** [9] - 23:5, 39:6, 53:15, 63:12, 71:15, 73:12, 115:10, 120:21, 155:12

C

Caliente [1] - 84:4 California [1] -118:19 camping [1] - 88:3 cannot [3] - 20:20, 172:7, 173:20 Canyon [2] - 68:17 capabilities [1] capacities [1] - 24:9 capacity [1] - 71:21 Capitol [1] - 1:17 carefully [1] - 65:11 case [8] - 17:1, 20:25, 58:3, 59:12, 119:14, 126:12, 161:15, 168:21 cases [6] - 50:21, 59:9, 92:22, 118:21, 166:24, 168:7 CASTANEDA [1] -15:6 catastrophic [1] -161:17 categories [1] -60:15 categorize [1] -27:24 category [2] - 60:16, 163:2 caused [1] - 89:3 causes [1] - 29:23 caveat [1] - 173:14 CCR [1] - 177:19 cell [1] - 7:20 **Celsius** [1] - 30:5 Center [4] - 3:11, 10:19, 23:21, 23:23

ceremonial [1] -

76:16 certain [11] - 21:15, 21:19, 22:11, 61:20, 91:6, 97:24, 104:4, 109:1, 161:8, 173:9, 175.7 certainly [3] - 34:23, 107:21, 161:13 certification [1] -44:19 certified [1] - 25:8 certify [1] - 177:5 certifying [1] - 47:8 cetera [2] - 155:24, 159:14 CFR [4] - 30:15, 30:22, 45:1, 97:25 Chair [1] - 2:3 **chair** [9] - 8:16, 14:12, 18:24, 73:4, 115:2, 115:5, 119:18, 120:11, 166:8 chairman [11] - 6:13, 114:6, 118:24, 120:12, 155:1, 155:20, 157:5, 159:6, 162:4, 163:10, 170:4 **Chairman** [1] - 161:6 challenge [1] - 112:7 challenging [1] -169:3 Chama [1] - 84:21 chance [1] - 133:7 change [32] - 26:17, 31:9, 37:21, 47:5, 48:25, 59:7, 59:9, 64:19, 67:17, 70:19, 77:4, 79:23, 81:10, 87:6, 87:7, 87:17, 95:8, 101:7, 101:9, 101:16, 101:18, 111:16, 111:17, 111:18, 127:8, 127:25, 160:25, 167:20, 168:1, 168:2, 168:20, 173:16 changed [12] - 13:4, 43:21, 50:8, 50:19, 55:22, 65:14, 70:17, 73:15, 94:17, 102:12, 161:25 changes [50] - 8:18, 16:10, 16:24, 20:17, 21:14, 21:19, 26:2, 35:2, 35:14, 35:15, 37:2, 37:23, 37:25, 38:2, 38:5, 38:10, 40:19, 40:21, 40:24, 41:3, 41:7, 41:10,

42:4, 48:6, 48:15,

48:19, 49:3, 49:5, 52:9, 52:14, 53:3, 56:2, 59:2, 59:5, 61:17, 63:20, 65:24, 66:1, 66:2, 69:5, 70:7, 72:10, 92:15, 95:23, 97:8, 115:11, 115:12, 116:6. 169:1. 169:10 changing [4] - 26:15, 42:18, 143:22, 156:18 Chappelle [1] - 11:1 CHAPPELLE [4] -3:3, 104:20, 113:20, 154:15 Chapter [1] - 19:7 characteristics [1] characterization [1] - 148:11 Chavez [2] - 1:16, 6:16 CHAVEZ [72] - 2:10, 2:11, 6:13, 10:4, 11:4, 13:1, 13:18, 14:2, 14:10, 14:22, 15:9, 16:2, 17:9, 17:25, 18:9, 18:20, 22:19, 38:20, 38:23, 72:19, 72:25, 73:2, 77:12, 77:22, 78:3, 100:2, 100:4, 100:10, 103:4, 103:9, 103:22, 104:8, 104:17, 104:19, 104:21, 105:5, 105:10, 105:12, 105:16, 106:2, 106:5, 106:13, 113:2, 113:6, 113:13, 113:19, 113:21, 113:23, 113:25, 114:4, 114:19, 114:23, 115:1, 119:18, 119:25, 120:5, 120:8, 120:10, 129:3, 129:13, 154:10, 154:14, 154:16, 154:20, 155:5, 161:3, 166:6, 170:4, 170:10, 172:12, 175:17, 176:2 check [2] - 87:19, 113:10 chemical [1] - 28:3 chemistry [1] - 64:6 Chevron [6] - 3:19, 10:21. 14:10. 104:10. 113:15, 154:12

Chevron's [1] - 17:5

chief [6] - 23:9,

25:20, 71:19

23:13, 24:25, 25:13,

Chief [2] - 21:8, 22:21 Chihuahuan [1] -68.19 Chino [2] - 3:2, 21:13 chronic [9] - 145:4, 148:13, 148:20, 148:25, 150:6, 150:9, 150:10, 161:18 circulation [2] - 37:4, 58:10 circumstances [4] -15:16, 20:6, 146:11, 146:20 citation [3] - 49:5, 105:2, 105:25 cited [3] - 16:13, 95:23, 98:12 citing [1] - 50:8 citizen [1] - 174:4 citizens [2] - 37:17, 171:10 clarification [4] -15:18, 15:24, 105:12, 170:6 clarifications [3] -45:20, 49:7, 134:11 clarified [2] - 32:11, 49.18 clarify [6] - 17:7, 48:17. 54:8. 141:12. 151:12, 164:25 clarity [2] - 98:17, 105:23 classification [2] -11:19, 156:18 classified [1] - 95:1 classifying [1] -162:8 Clean [41] - 19:11, 19:18, 20:13, 24:19, 25:10, 27:9, 27:11, 28:24, 30:7, 30:16, 31:18, 39:15, 40:2, 43:2, 44:18, 46:21, 47:9, 47:17, 47:19, 50:12, 53:6, 59:15, 60:17, 87:12, 116:11, 117:6, 142:23, 144:8, 145:7, 145:9, 145:16, 146:1, 148:1, 149:7, 152:19, 152:23, 153:5, 153:15, 156:4, 156:5, 171:4 cleanup [1] - 172:4 clear [23] - 13:19, 17:14, 22:6, 49:21, 96:19, 101:15, 102:19, 105:3,

120:19, 125:5, 127:24, 134:8, 135:9, 137:5, 138:11, 140:18, 143:3, 143:4, 144:15, 148:19, 152:3 climate [2] - 67:21, 173:16 **closed** [8] - 22:16, 51:20, 56:16, 58:18, 62:18, 62:24, 69:8, 160:22 closely [2] - 54:22, 63:24 closing [1] - 172:3 coastal [2] - 93:20 Code [3] - 19:8, 41:16, 66:2 codified [1] - 19:7 cold [6] - 67:17, 67:18, 68:6, 68:7, 68:11, 68:18 cold-water [4] -67:17, 67:18, 68:11, 68:18 collect [4] - 34:9, 165:8, 165:16, 165:17 collection [1] - 53:24 collectively [1] -60:14 column [1] - 99:18 combination [1] -64:16 coming [1] - 11:13 Comment [3] - 5:3, 5:20, 5:22 comment [29] - 7:23, 8:3, 8:6, 8:13, 10:6, 10:9, 36:9, 37:22, 52:2, 54:3, 58:8, 58:18, 58:21, 58:22, 69:6, 69:7, 69:13, 136:7, 138:5, 138:8, 138:9, 155:3, 160:20, 170:1, 170:13, 170:23, 172:13, 172:19, 175:19 COMMENT [3] -8:14, 170:24, 172:20 commentary [1] comments [26] - 8:2, 13:16, 18:5, 24:12, 37:10, 37:13, 37:18, 43:6, 48:7, 48:9, 52:10, 52:13, 58:13, 58:25, 59:3, 59:4, 65:12, 65:13, 69:18, 69:20, 69:22, 69:24,

70:10, 70:15, 104:16,

155:23

173:2, 175:15

commercial [1] -88:19 COMMISSION [4] -1:2, 2:2, 115:10, 155:12 Commission [106] -1:17, 2:8, 3:14, 5:16, 5:18, 6:4, 6:14, 6:17, 7:17, 9:8, 9:20, 10:16, 12:16, 16:16, 18:25, 19:16, 19:20, 19:22, 20:4, 20:11, 21:1, 31:14, 32:3, 32:6, 32:8, 32:18, 32:23, 35:1, 36:20, 41:8, 42:6, 43:17, 43:22, 44:12, 45:5, 45:11, 46:15, 46:19, 46:23, 48:17, 49:10, 49:20, 50:2, 50:6, 53:3, 53:4, 61:18, 66:9, 70:3, 70:4, 70:8, 73:4, 73:6, 86:9, 88:22, 90:2, 90:24, 91:5, 92:14, 95:7, 102:16, 102:22, 103:20, 104:12, 107:4, 107:23, 114:1, 114:6, 114:10, 114:13, 114:14, 115:2, 115:13, 115:21, 116:14, 116:19, 117:7, 117:12, 117:16, 117:18, 117:21, 118:3, 119:19, 119:21, 135:5, 135:6, 135:16, 135:17, 136:9, 136:14, 136:25, 137:14, 138:15, 140:25, 154:2, 154:23, 155:9, 166:5, 170:3, 170:5, 170:7, 171:1, 171:6, 172:5, 177:20 Commission's [7] -37:8, 38:13, 50:4, 51:3, 52:21, 106:21, 110:14 Commissioner [6] -155:11, 156:16, 157:4, 162:5, 163:12, 165:24 Commissioners [3] -6:9, 120:12, 171:15 **committed** [1] - 44:9 Common [1] -171:12 common [4] -159:22, 171:16,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

111:21, 117:3,

commonly [3] - 19:2, 27:14, 30:10 commonwealth [3] -171:16, 172:2, 172:10 communication [3] -12:2, 12:21, 83:22 communities [7] -161:8, 161:10, 161:12, 161:14, 161:19, 161:20, 161:25 Communities [1] community [3] -44:22, 68:21, 123:24 Company [1] - 3:2 compared [3] -139:6, 140:3, 140:6 compiled [1] - 32:22 complete [2] - 55:6, 71:3 completed [2] -30:25, 160:15 complex [1] - 172:24 complexity [1] -134:1 compliance [23] -25:4, 43:22, 47:14, 47:15, 50:16, 122:23, 123:8, 123:19, 124:3, 124:10, 124:13, 124:17, 124:23, 124:24, 125:7, 125:13, 125:25, 126:1, 126:4, 130:1, 130:7, 139:23, 142:14 complies [1] - 47:16 comply [7] - 26:20, 35:22, 124:10, 130:9, 132:15, 140:22, 141:4 component [6] -9:11, 55:5, 126:19, 134:8, 134:20, 135:19 comports [1] -101:20 comprehensive [1] -38:13 concentrations [2] -139:11, 140:2 concept [1] - 31:21 concern [9] - 15:9, 36:5, 70:9, 114:11, 146:8, 146:14, 173:5, 174:14, 175:2 concerned [4] - 15:1, 174:6, 174:24, 175:4 concerning [4] -58:16, 95:24, 118:13, 118:14 concerns [12] -

24:12, 40:19, 48:13, 56:9, 56:14, 58:22, 145:18, 146:5, 173:7, 173:17, 173:22, 175:14 concluded [3] - 53:9, 60:2. 62:4 concludes [3] -38:17, 71:10, 72:18 conclusion [4] -20:10, 57:15, 80:15, 175:20 conclusions [7] -51:22, 56:4, 62:12, 62:22, 63:3, 71:4, 162:7 concrete [1] - 126:21 concur [1] - 9:19 concurs [1] - 51:21 condition [3] -100:20, 135:24, 167:6 conditions [15] -43:15, 44:22, 48:4, 59:18, 61:22, 97:24, 132:20, 138:23, 141:1, 148:14, 162:7, 162:14, 162:24, 165:3, 165:18 conduct [7] - 6:18, 7:2, 13:8, 19:1, 35:4, 36:21, 160:4 conductance [3] -66:25, 67:2, 67:7 conducted [8] - 6:24, 24:11, 25:4, 31:4, 33:3, 34:11, 35:22, 35:25 conducting [3] -24:17, 31:8, 32:15 conducts [1] - 71:25 conferred [1] - 151:3 confirm [1] - 77:22 confirmed [1] - 68:1 conflict [2] - 116:10, 117:11 Congress [2] -117:3, 117:10 congressional [1] -116:9 connected [1] -160:24 Consequences [1] -156:24 conservation [1] -

37:15

Conservation [1] -

consider [4] - 42:22,

66:19, 134:14, 150:16

considerable [1] -

76:13 consideration [4] -36:5, 43:7, 125:3, 175:14 considered [2] -42:24, 153:4 consist [1] - 35:24 consistent [14] -31:18, 43:3, 45:9, 45:18, 49:8, 49:11, 51:2, 87:11, 97:2, 111:1, 111:11, 111:13, 121:16, 155:25 consolidates [1] -38:10 constraint [1] -133:10 consultation [2] -137:17, 137:19 consuming [1] - 9:21 contact [96] - 26:17, 28:12, 30:21, 31:15, 32:12, 33:18, 33:23, 34:2, 34:5, 34:16, 34:22, 35:12, 58:1, 60:4, 60:21, 61:4, 73:16, 73:21, 74:7, 74:14, 74:19, 75:1, 75:10, 75:17, 75:22, 76:2, 76:6, 76:11, 76:15, 76:17, 76:24, 79:1, 79:10, 80:1, 80:16, 80:25, 81:22, 82:7, 85:19, 86:10, 86:16, 86:21, 87:3, 87:10, 87:12, 87:13, 87:14, 88:13, 88:16, 88:20, 88:23, 89:11, 89:22, 90:5, 90:9, 90:17, 91:7, 91:17, 92:15, 92:16, 92:20, 92:22, 93:1, 93:9, 93:21, 93:25, 94:4, 94:13, 94:23, 107:6, 107:17, 107:24, 108:7, 109:2, 110:19, 110:25, 111:9, 112:22, 152:9, 152:14, 152:23, 153:3, 153:4, 153:10, 153:17, 153:19, 153:22, 154:4, 159:18, 159:24, 160:2, 160:8, 160:10 Contact [1] - 111:6 contact' [2] - 76:9, 88:15 contacted [1] - 35:13

contained [1] - 41:13 contest [1] - 41:25 context [3] - 18:6, 84:11, 148:24 continue [4] - 100:7, 103:14, 166:6, 175:22 CONTINUED [2] -3:1, 4:1 CONTROL [2] - 1:2, control [4] - 19:17, 40:1, 53:23, 146:24 Control [9] - 1:16, 6:4. 19:16. 86:9. 90:24, 91:5, 92:13, 107:4, 171:1 conversations [2] -151:9, 151:11 convictions [1] -174:13 **cool** [4] - 67:18, 67:24, 68:20, 162:1 cool-water [4] -67:18, 67:24, 68:20, 162:1 Cooney [1] - 68:17 coordinator [2] -21:10, 39:10 copies [4] - 69:15, 98:22, 99:21, 100:1 **copy** [6] - 7:15, 78:13, 98:4, 99:2, 99:9, 99:24 **Corps** [1] - 158:9 Corrales [1] - 3:17 Correct [1] - 102:13 correct [138] - 20:19, 20:20, 26:6, 34:4, 72:14, 74:10, 74:15, 75:23, 76:3, 76:4, 76:24, 76:25, 77:24, 79:2, 79:18, 80:3, 82:23, 83:14, 83:25, 84:16, 84:23, 85:2, 85:11, 85:12, 85:15, 85:16, 86:4, 86:10, 86:17, 86:18, 87:4, 87:18, 87:22, 88:24, 89:12, 89:22, 89:23, 90:14, 90:18, 90:20, 90:21, 90:25, 91:8, 92:11, 92:18, 92:22, 93:25, 94:5, 94:6, 95:9, 95:14, 95:25, 96:7, 96:11, 96:24, 96:25, 97:3, 97:5, 97:9, 97:16, 97:23, 98:2, 98:15, 98:16, 98:20, 100:24, 100:25, 101:4, 101:5,

101:9, 101:19, 101:21, 101:22, 102:4, 102:9, 102:10, 102:14, 106:12, 106:23, 107:6, 107:7, 107:10, 107:12, 107:18, 107:25, 108:3. 108:10. 108:16, 108:21, 109:3, 109:6, 109:24, 110:15, 110:20, 111:2, 112:23, 112:24, 121:13, 122:8, 126:2, 127:13, 130:2, 131:7, 131:8, 133:1, 133:23, 135:13, 136:17, 137:11, 138:23, 138:24, 139:3, 139:4, 139:25, 142:21, 143:13, 144:11, 144:12, 144:22, 144:23, 146:3, 148:8, 148:9, 149:8, 149:9, 149:13, 149:14, 150:13, 150:14, 152:15, 152:16, 152:20, 153:7, 153:13, 154:1, 160:15, 166:24 corrected [1] - 50:6 corrections [2] -40:14, 69:21 correctly [1] - 77:19 corresponding [1] -87:14 cost [1] - 10:2 costs [1] - 9:21 council [1] - 8:16 Council [1] - 8:16 Counsel [5] - 2:8, 4:8, 38:20, 77:22, 100:4 counsel [5] - 10:10, 120:14, 166:18, 177:10, 177:13 Counsels [1] - 2:17 **COUNTY** [1] - 177:3 couple [1] - 159:8 course [3] - 82:18, 127:2, 162:14 Court [1] - 7:14 court [2] - 7:15, 156:11 cover [2] - 140:10, 157:9 covered [5] - 9:10, 9:15, 115:14, 115:17, 117:2 crafted [2] - 121:2,

contain [1] - 77:20

139:2 created [1] - 151:19 credibility [1] - 7:10 credible [3] - 19:24, 45:6, 90:2 Creek [1] - 86:14 Criteria [1] - 24:13 criteria [85] - 22:14, 26:13, 27:21, 28:9, 29:7, 29:10, 29:14, 29:15, 29:19, 30:1, 33:18, 33:23, 35:11, 42:18, 42:20, 43:20, 48:22, 48:25, 50:14, 50:15, 52:22, 57:20, 58:4, 59:7, 59:11, 60:17, 60:18, 60:22, 61:4, 61:13, 61:17, 62:4, 66:20, 66:25, 67:7, 67:8, 87:15, 93:19, 93:23, 101:9, 102:7, 102:9, 102:13, 121:23, 122:7, 122:10, 122:12, 122:24, 123:7, 124:11, 124:25, 126:9, 126:13, 126:22, 126:24, 126:25, 127:8, 131:2, 134:18, 144:1, 145:1, 145:4, 145:17, 145:18, 145:23, 145:25, 147:16, 147:21, 148:1, 148:6, 148:16, 148:17, 149:1, 149:5, 149:11, 149:18, 150:24, 151:4, 151:14,

criterion [13] - 29:21, 30:4, 48:22, 50:20,

151:18, 159:25,

166:20, 168:17

100:18, 100:24,

141:16, 149:12,

150:10, 151:24,

166:15, 166:22

49:17

critical [2] - 17:1,

crop [1] - 39:21

cross [20] - 7:7, 7:10,

13:25, 21:20, 21:24,

38:21, 72:20, 72:22,

73:7, 100:5, 103:14,

114:12, 120:23,

128:21, 129:1,

154:11, 154:14,

154:15, 154:24,

Cross [4] - 5:15,

5:16, 5:17, 5:18

175:23

CROSS [4] - 73:12, 115:10, 120:21, 155:12 cross-examination [9] - 21:20, 21:24, 38:21, 72:20, 72:22, 73:7, 120:23, 154:11, 175:23 cross-examine [2] -128:21, 129:1 cross-examining [1] -13:25Cruces [1] - 37:6 cultural [1] - 76:16 cumulative [2] -174:16, 174:19 curious [1] - 142:25 current [11] - 9:7, 23:6, 31:22, 32:24, 38:13, 39:8, 39:12, 66:6, 67:14, 116:20, 118:14 **cycle** [1] - 169:16

D

cycling [2] - 64:8,

64:19

Dail [7] - 21:16, 22:23, 63:13, 63:14, 67:10, 82:16, 83:15 DAIL [28] - 63:8, 82:14, 82:16, 82:18, 82:23, 83:15, 83:22, 84:1, 84:7, 84:10, 85:20, 86:2, 86:5, 86:11, 86:18, 86:22 105:15, 146:8, 147:3, 147:10, 147:18, 154:1, 160:13, 160:17, 160:20, 161:2, 161:6, 162:10 Dail's [1] - 31:10 daily [1] - 24:6 dairy [1] - 39:19 Dalva [1] - 10:24 **DALVA**[1] - 3:3 dam [1] - 49:13 data [20] - 19:24, 24:19, 32:22, 34:11, 45:6, 45:10, 53:24, 54:2, 63:19, 64:1, 67:1, 68:8, 70:5, 70:8, 90:2, 165:9, 165:16, 165:17, 171:21 date [6] - 37:2, 38:5, 41:7, 82:12, 93:1, 93:3

dated [4] - 52:15,

94:19, 105:8, 149:16 dates [1] - 160:17 days [2] - 36:4, 36:9 de [3] - 3:5, 3:22, 4:5 deadlines [2] -12:17, 12:20 deal [3] - 12:22, 14:16, 116:3 dealing [8] - 17:12, 121:17, 171:6, 172:24, 172:25, 173:1, 173:2, 173:11 deals [1] - 117:10 dear [1] - 170:25 **Debbie** [3] - 55:18, 62:20, 65:11 decades [3] - 74:4, 92:22, 93:4 December [4] - 69:9, 69:10, 149:16, 160:22 decided [1] - 18:10 deciding [1] - 17:20 decision [22] - 18:12, 20:11, 31:16, 32:7, 32:10, 91:10, 106:21,

110:3, 110:8, 110:9, 110:10, 110:18, 110:21, 111:23, 112:7, 160:5, 166:23, 174:25 deck [1] - 120:19 dedicated [1] - 64:17 deems [1] - 20:5 default [1] - 31:15 defenders [1] - 172:9 deficit [1] - 162:17 deficits [1] - 164:6 define [2] - 27:18,

107:15, 108:14,

109:23, 109:24,

defined [4] - 8:25, 28:1, 28:22, 79:11 defines [2] - 121:10, 121:11 definite [1] - 134:15 definitely [2] - 156:6, 165:5

76:23, 81:21, 81:22, 86:6, 88:12, 99:15, 100:13, 100:16, 100:22, 101:24, 101:25, 156:11, 157:11, 158:2, 158:4, 159:1, 164:16, 164:20

definition [19] - 76:5,

definitions [1] -89:20 deg [2] - 128:1,

141:18

degradation [4] -140:15, 141:21, 141:25, 142:15 degree [8] - 23:17, 23:18, 39:19, 39:20, 42:14, 54:17, 64:5, 157:16 degrees [2] - 30:5 **Deke** [1] - 17:3 **Del** [1] - 3:11 demand [1] - 172:2 demanding [1] - 75:9 demonstrate [4] -30:25, 32:3, 51:22, 154:5

demonstrated [6] -31:25, 61:19, 67:11, 67:14, 108:19, 168:6 demonstrates [1] -30:13

demonstration [4] -59:6, 59:11, 59:14, 142:14

deny [1] - 44:18 **DEPARTMENT** [1] -2:15

Department [74] -4:2, 6:22, 8:19, 10:14, 11:13, 11:17, 12:5, 15:25, 21:3, 21:23, 21:25, 22:20, 23:20, 26:21, 34:1, 34:13, 34:25, 35:4, 35:21, 37:10, 37:21, 38:4, 39:11, 39:24, 44:18, 50:7, 51:18, 54:10, 63:16, 64:10, 64:13, 71:19, 72:13, 73:20, 75:8, 75:13, 77:16, 83:12, 83:17, 83:20, 85:14, 87:8, 101:6. 101:10, 101:24, 102:11, 103:18, 104:15, 106:11, 113:8, 116:4, 117:12, 120:16, 122:22, 123:5, 124:21, 124:22, 124:23,

159:18, 172:6 Department's [33] -22:8, 22:17, 23:10, 26:16, 38:8, 38:12, 39:1, 40:7, 40:13, 40:20, 41:14, 46:13,

128:22, 134:19,

143:21, 149:16,

150:15, 150:18,

153:23, 159:15,

136:7, 138:1, 138:8,

151:2, 151:19, 152:7,

53:21, 77:9, 78:12, 78:21, 79:21, 80:10, 81:24, 82:21, 101:1, 101:14, 120:25, 121:3, 121:15, 124:2, 127:10, 144:2, 144:3, 144:10, 144:25, 146:5. 152:8 Departmental [1] -

84:10 dependent [2] -150:7, 150:11 DeRose [17] - 2:4, 114:16, 115:5, 115:8, 115:11, 115:22, 115:24, 156:14, 165:25, 166:2, 166:7, 167:2, 167:4, 167:14, 167:22, 168:10, 168:25

DeRose-Bamman [17] - 2:4, 114:16, 115:5, 115:8, 115:11, 115:22, 115:24, 156:14, 165:25, 166:2, 166:7, 167:2, 167:4, 167:14, 167:22, 168:10, 168:25

describe [9] - 26:19, 39:16, 40:22, 45:25, 47:18, 51:14, 54:14, 64:3, 66:8 described [5] - 31:6,

33:15, 38:2, 46:4, 127:15 describing [2] -

83:13, 165:1 description [1] -66:11

descriptions [1] -66:18

designate [3] -28:16, 73:20, 92:19

Designated [1] -101:2 designated [64] -

6:17, 21:9, 26:13,

26:15, 27:16, 27:19, 28:7, 28:8, 28:12, 29:5, 29:8, 29:12, 29:24, 30:2, 30:8, 30:23, 31:12, 32:2, 32:5, 32:24, 33:18, 35:1, 35:12, 50:15, 56:19, 59:10, 60:9, 60:16, 61:13, 61:21, 67:15, 71:5, 73:16, 73:21, 73:24, 74:7,

74:13, 74:20, 75:1,

86:9, 87:13, 90:14, 91:17, 92:15, 92:21, 93:21, 93:24, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 97:15, 100:18, 100:24, 101:8, 101:15, 101:16, 101:18, 107:4. 107:23. 110:19, 112:15, 112:21, 152:8, 168:1 designating [4] -27:19, 90:25, 91:6, 94.12 designation [6] -74:25, 91:21, 106:22, 108:5, 111:14, 152:22 designations [7] -74:15, 94:23, 94:25, 95:8, 95:9, 95:13, 107:16 detail [3] - 32:21, 40:23, 51:15 detailed [1] - 49:3 details [2] - 14:21, determination [7] -60:8. 61:14. 80:11. 90:22, 157:19, 158:9, 158:10 determine [10] -33:8, 33:20, 56:18, 59:21, 112:14, 127:7, 132:1, 163:16, 165:9, 165:12 determined [5] -31:17, 67:5, 88:22, 111:12, 145:25 determining [1] -118:4 detrimental [1] -44:21 developed [8] -32:13, 56:22, 57:3, 59:13, 85:22, 112:13, 151:16, 163:20 developing [6] -24:20, 36:1, 39:13, 40:3, 44:9, 50:19 development [6] -26:22, 53:25, 54:23, 63:20, 68:24, 137:13 Development [1] -24:13 **DIAL** [1] - 5:11 difference [5] -117:15, 142:9, 142:11, 157:6, 157:15 different [9] - 42:17, 43:25, 60:21, 66:19, 66:24, 117:13, 142:4,

166:16, 168:14 dire [1] - 17:21 Direct [5] - 5:6, 5:8, 5:10, 5:12, 5:14 **DIRECT** [5] - 23:4, 39:5, 53:14, 63:11, 71.14 direct [39] - 25:25, 26:2, 33:15, 34:15, 38:17, 40:12, 42:1, 49:4, 55:16, 56:8, 56:14, 65:10, 72:18, 73:18, 77:4, 77:6, 78:8, 78:22, 79:6, 79:16, 81:11, 82:25, 83:6, 91:24, 92:2, 92:7, 93:7, 95:22, 106:17, 109:10, 109:11, 121:20, 121:25, 122:4, 123:2, 129:10, 129:11, 129:24, 148:3 directed [3] - 73:8, 120:23, 128:15 directly [6] - 7:15, 16:9, 16:17, 55:21, 76:7, 104:3 director [1] - 173:4 disagreed [1] -110:13 disapproval [4] -94:16, 144:9, 144:14, 150:2 disapproved [2] -20:24, 144:5 disapproving [1] -147:25 discharge [15] -25:7, 28:5, 35:9, 40:5, 128:11, 129:17, 129:22, 130:6, 131:17, 132:12, 140:19, 141:11, 141:13, 142:8, 174:15 Discharge [4] - 25:8, 35:16, 43:14, 56:10 discharger [1] -141:3 dischargers [6] -130:11, 130:23, 130:25, 132:24, 141:18, 142:7 discharges [9] -127:11, 127:17, 127:22, 128:19, 139:11, 140:9, 141:8, 146:25, 174:16 discretionary [1] -

117:18

discuss [6] - 26:16,

41:14, 41:20, 48:13, 69:11, 166:14 discussed [2] -43:19, 134:12 discussing [1] -103:18 discussion [5] -36:8, 106:18, 129:6, 138:5, 169:5 discussions [5] -48:15, 48:20, 124:7, 167:23, 169:1 dissolved [1] -146:12 distinctions [1] -141:24 distinguish [4] -56:22, 57:1, 60:23, 159:16 distinguishing [3] -57:4, 61:9, 61:12 distribute [1] - 100:1 District [1] - 70:3 districts [1] - 37:15 disturbance [1] -64:19 divided [1] - 161:10 diving [1] - 34:16 dlm@gknet.com [1] - 3:7 docketed [1] - 6:22 Doctrine [1] - 171:11 document [21] -15:21, 15:22, 16:12, 16:13, 16:18, 16:19, 38:4, 41:6, 56:22, 57:8, 59:22, 71:3, 77:18, 83:23, 94:2, 104:3, 104:6, 105:2, 105:3, 105:13, 148:16 documentation [7] -57:5, 60:25, 61:15, 70:21, 85:14, 95:2, 130:18 documented [1] -34:15 documenting [1] -61:2 documents [3] -24:10, 39:15, 85:20 Dolan [1] - 154:17 **DOLAN** [2] - 4:7, 154:17 DOMINGUEZ [28] -2:3, 6:1, 103:7, 114:3, 114:18, 115:7, 116:1, 117:25, 118:5, 118:25, 119:20, 120:1, 122:14, 155:8,

169:24, 170:9 done [3] - 44:10, 100:5, 108:24 down [4] - 9:22, 164:15, 172:3, 172:15 downgrade [5] -44:7, 90:17, 92:17, 106:19, 109:1 downgrading [1] -50:19 downstream [4] -68:17, 86:16, 86:25, 87:21 downward [1] -139:23 Dr [10] - 17:3, 17:5, 23:14, 24:24, 25:21, 25:25, 26:5, 31:10, 63:13, 67:10 draft [19] - 25:6, 36:8, 36:11, 48:6, 52:6, 69:1, 69:6, 69:7, 69:11, 69:12, 69:14, 110:2, 110:9, 117:11, 117:20, 137:25, 138:4, 155:16, 160:21 **Draft** [1] - 110:5 drafted [3] - 166:17, 169:7, 169:20 drafting [2] - 40:5, 42:23 drafts [1] - 69:1 drainages [3] -21:13, 52:5, 52:18 draw [1] - 11:9 dredge [3] - 44:20, 47:10, 142:24 drew [1] - 91:5 **Drive** [1] - 2:17 drive [1] - 67:22 driven [1] - 157:20 drives [1] - 146:18 driving [1] - 122:5 drought [12] - 162:6, 162:14. 162:19. 162:20, 162:24, 162:25, 163:1, 163:7, 163:16, 164:5, 165:4 droughts [1] - 173:7 dry [2] - 119:12, 158:3 due [2] - 61:21, 161:1 duly [9] - 8:12, 23:2, 39:3, 53:12, 63:9,

71:12, 170:22,

160:11, 160:14,

160:18, 160:23,

165:23, 166:3,

162:3, 162:5, 163:12,

172:18, 177:7
duplicative [2] - 9:9,
9:14
during [16] - 11:2,
24:22, 31:13, 33:12,
33:16, 36:20, 37:13,
44:8, 69:13, 91:4,
94:20, 100:20, 107:3,
107:22, 108:25,
110:23
duties [1] - 39:13
duty [2] - 171:13,
171:15

Ε

e-mail [3] - 15:7, 36:13, 69:3 e-mailed [1] - 69:2 early [2] - 95:13, 96:3 Earth [1] - 171:4 easier [1] - 107:1 easily [1] - 173:10 eco [2] - 68:16, 68:19 eco-regional [2] -68:16, 68:19 ecological [1] - 68:8 economic [3] -44:22, 168:23, 169:2 economically [1] -123:24 economics [1] -173:17 edits [1] - 70:11 education [3] -23:15, 54:15, 64:3 educational [1] -39:17 **EDWARD** [1] - 2:6 effect [4] - 96:6, 96:9, 102:23, 162:7 effective [2] - 8:22, 27:5 effectively [5] -11:12, 13:21, 31:23, 121:4, 153:23 effects [1] - 174:16 effluent [5] - 46:9, 139:1, 139:6, 139:9, 140:3 efforts [1] - 44:21 eight [2] - 102:6, 149:22 either [8] - 58:13, 76:1, 79:4, 88:10, 89:17, 95:8, 150:8, 162:17 elected [1] - 96:8 elements [2] - 42:24,

156:16, 157:4, 159:8,

117:13 eligible [1] - 59:15 Elimination [4] -25:9, 35:16, 43:14, 56.11 elsewhere [1] -34:18 emergency [1] -174:9 emitted [1] - 174:15 emphasis [2] - 64:8, 64:18 emphasized [1] -31:22 employed [5] -53:19, 55:19, 63:15, 177:11, 177:14 employee [3] -55:18, 65:11, 177:13 encompass [4] -137:23, 142:23, 143:22, 157:14 encompasses [1] -149:10 encourage [1] -124:21 end [7] - 10:8, 110:4, 113:12, 122:13, 141:15, 141:17, 155:4 ending [1] - 36:9 Energy [3] - 4:2, 11:10, 64:10 enforceable [7] -43:5, 47:21, 47:25, 48:4, 138:23, 143:11, 143:15 engineering [1] -54:18 **English** [1] - 37:3 enhance [3] - 20:2, 27:2, 27:8 ensure [5] - 29:11, 29:17, 54:5, 130:6, 141:12 enter [2] - 10:11, 98:22 entered [2] - 8:1, 13:20 entire [1] - 67:8 entities [6] - 37:16, 51:17, 122:22, 123:4, 123:10, 169:21 entitled [2] - 128:21, 128:25 entity [2] - 140:19, 167:5 environment [4] -9:5, 10:3, 28:10, 159:24 **ENVIRONMENT**[1] -

2.15 Environment [14] -6:22, 10:14, 11:13, 12:5, 21:3, 23:9, 53:20, 54:9, 63:16, 72:13. 75:7. 77:16. 116:4, 172:6 environmental [6] -21:16, 39:25, 54:18, 63:15, 64:19, 166:12 Environmental [4] -3:11, 10:18, 20:14, 39:24 **EPA** [107] - 9:11, 15:22, 16:12, 20:16, 20:17, 20:20, 20:24, 25:5, 27:10, 30:9, 31:16, 31:21, 35:15, 37:14, 43:6, 44:5, 45:9, 45:14, 46:20, 47:7, 47:13, 48:3, 48:16, 49:15, 52:25, 53:4, 61:18, 61:20, 61:24, 62:2, 62:4, 70:10, 70:13, 70:23, 71:2, 74:14, 74:18, 75:8, 75:12, 87:11, 90:11, 90:12, 90:22, 91:5, 91:15, 93:9, 93:17, 93:18, 93:23, 94:11, 94:15, 94:18, 94:21, 94:24, 95:12, 96:10, 96:13, 96:16, 96:18, 97:3, 99:6, 99:15, 102:6, 102:17, 102:19, 103:17, 104:2, 107:8, 107:15, 108:13, 109:22, 110:13, 110:18, 111:12, 111:16, 111:23, 112:7, 112:9, 116:8, 121:4, 121:6, 121:10, 121:16, 123:13, 123:18, 124:7, 130:12, 130:17, 134:10, 134:14, 144:5, 145:3, 147:16, 148:18, 149:3, 149:15, 150:1, 150:2, 150:23, 151:3, 152:18, 153:9, 154:4, 155:15. 174:3 **EPA's** [25] - 22:13, 31:22, 32:6, 32:10, 45:19, 49:6, 53:1, 94:20, 95:6, 95:23, 96:18, 97:7, 101:20, 101:25, 106:20, 109:17, 112:8, 124:9, 144:9, 144:14,

145:15, 147:25, 148:5, 148:24, 155:25 EPA-approved [1] -47:13 EPA-issued [1] -35:15 ephemeral [47] -21:13, 22:11, 31:15, 32:13, 41:22, 51:13, 51:23, 52:5, 52:18, 52:22, 56:23, 57:1, 58:16, 59:17, 60:3, 60:20, 60:24, 61:10, 61:22, 62:5, 62:10, 62:13, 62:19, 63:1, 63:4, 74:25, 90:13, 90:19, 106:20, 106:23, 107:5, 108:2, 108:5, 111:1, 111:10, 111:14, 112:15, 112:20, 157:23, 158:2, 158:5, 158:11, 162:9, 164:17, 164:18, 164:24, 165:13 equal [1] - 150:5 equation [4] - 144:6, 150:3, 150:7, 150:11 ERIK [1] - 3:10 Erik [1] - 10:17 eriksg@ westernlaw.org [1] -3:13 error [2] - 66:3, 66:10 especially [1] -134:15 essential [1] - 26:10 essentially [3] -13:10, 72:9, 117:23 establish [4] - 30:9, 57:12, 57:20, 112:14 established [1] -108:14 establishes [1] -31:23 establishing [2] -26:15, 27:22 estimated [1] -114:12 et [2] - 155:24, 159:14 ethical [1] - 174:12 ethics [1] - 175:15 evaluate [5] - 91:22, 160:4, 165:3, 165:7, 165:15 **evaluation** [1] - 25:4 event [1] - 161:17 evidence [41] - 9:3,

19:24, 34:5, 34:8, 34:15, 34:25, 45:7, 45:10, 62:13, 67:16, 78:24, 79:9, 79:25, 80:6, 80:7, 80:12, 80:17, 81:6, 81:14, 81:23, 82:6, 82:7, 83:20, 83:24, 84:14, 85:10, 85:13, 85:18, 85:19, 86:19, 87:8, 89:10, 90:3, 90:4, 91:15, 91:21, 92:1, 153:16, 153:18, 153:21, 160:7 evidentiary [1] -153:23 exacerbate [1] -147:1 exact [1] - 150:25 exactly [2] - 93:3, 106:5 examination [11] -7:8, 7:11, 21:20, 21:24, 38:21, 72:20, 72:22, 73:7, 120:23, 154:11, 175:23 Examination [9] -5:6, 5:8, 5:10, 5:12, 5:14, 5:15, 5:16, 5:17, 5:18 **EXAMINATION** [9] -23:4, 39:5, 53:14, 63:11, 71:14, 73:12, 115:10, 120:21, 155:12 examine [2] -128:21, 129:1 examined [6] - 23:3, 39:4, 53:13, 63:10, 71:13, 73:11 examining [1] -13:25 example [16] - 29:20, 30:3, 31:8, 32:16, 67:21, 71:25, 79:4, 79:7, 79:22, 81:11, 122:23, 123:7, 137:25, 138:6, 157:8, 168:23 examples [2] -126:8, 126:21 exceeded [1] -153:23 excluded [1] - 104:6 excludes [2] -133:22, 143:18 excuse [1] - 135:22 excused [3] - 120:4, 120:8, 170:15

executive [1] - 173:4

exempted [1] - 29:16 exhibit [20] - 12:4, 12:11, 16:15, 16:16, 17:12, 17:13, 28:3, 78:6, 78:7, 78:11, 78:13, 82:3, 98:10, 98:14, 98:22, 103:21, 104:13, 106:1, 149:20 Exhibit [35] - 25:16, 25:23, 40:8, 40:13, 46:6, 52:16, 55:7, 55:11, 55:12, 55:14, 55:16, 62:25, 64:23, 64:24, 65:5, 65:9, 65:18, 65:19, 66:22, 77:7, 78:7, 78:14, 81:25, 82:6, 82:11, 83:10, 92:11, 92:25, 93:10, 93:13, 109:13, 149:17, 149:21, 160:18 **Exhibits** [1] - 37:20 exhibits [11] - 14:25, 15:19, 17:12, 17:18, 17:19, 17:22, 91:25, 104:1, 105:20, 128:15, 151:11 existed [1] - 140:4 existing [37] - 28:22, 29:4, 29:24, 31:1, 34:6, 34:17, 34:23, 42:16, 57:6, 57:14, 57:16, 57:21, 59:22, 59:23, 60:25, 61:1, 61:14, 61:15, 63:21, 76:3, 78:25, 79:11, 80:1, 80:12, 85:19, 86:7, 87:3, 87:10, 91:23, 123:25, 140:11, 152:24, 153:4, 153:12, 160:9 expansion [1] -140:11 expect [3] - 20:16, 138:4, 139:17 expected [1] - 33:11 experience [6] -23:16, 34:21, 39:18, 40:3, 54:14, 64:4 expert [2] - 14:6, 14:18 experts [1] - 17:3 Expires [2] - 177:19, 177:20 explain [3] - 26:12, 142:25, 157:6 explanation [1] -36:24 explicit [1] - 138:12

explicitly [1] -

136:14 exploring [1] -168:13 express [1] - 72:9 expressing [3] -58:19, 58:21, 58:22 extending [1] -120:15 extensions [1] - 50:7 extensive [1] - 35:25 external [1] - 28:5 extra [4] - 9:7, 9:19, 9:20, 9:21 extractive [1] - 173:1

F

F(1 [2] - 136:24 F(1)(b [2] - 133:19, 134:7 F(5 [6] - 128:7, 129:16, 135:2, 138:7, 138:12, 140:21 **F(5)** [1] - 131:7 F(6 [1] - 140:25 **F(7** [1] - 136:19 facilities [9] - 25:5, 40:6, 56:11, 56:12, 58:21, 62:10, 132:13, 132:17, 169:13 facility [7] - 11:20, 131:24, 131:25, 132:6, 132:14, 140:11, 140:12 fact [13] - 13:4, 15:12, 16:18, 16:22, 44:8, 96:22, 102:5, 102:11, 110:23, 116:6, 132:1, 152:13, 169:11 **factor** [4] - 59:16, 168:23, 168:24, 169:5 Factor [3] - 59:16, 59:20, 168:23 factors [9] - 31:5, 46:3, 49:15, 56:18, 59:15, 167:18, 167:19, 168:2, 168:5 facts [1] - 20:5 Fahrenheit [1] - 30:5 failed [1] - 53:8 fairly [2] - 104:7, 161:14 fall [4] - 60:14, 76:23, 116:4, 164:16 familiar [2] - 89:24, 118:17 familiarized [1] -

25:24

87:23, 116:14, 116:17, 157:7 Farmington [1] -69:10 faster [1] - 10:1 faulty [1] - 171:21 Fe [7] - 1:18, 2:18, 3:6, 3:22, 4:5, 37:5 feasibility [1] -168:13 feasible [9] - 29:2, 42:15, 43:13, 44:16, 61:21, 123:15, 123:24, 124:16, 126:17 February [1] - 52:8 Federal [2] - 98:8, 105:7 federal [40] - 9:16, 19:10, 19:18, 20:13, 27:5, 28:21, 28:25, 30:9, 30:14, 30:22, 33:6, 43:3, 44:4, 95:23, 101:11, 108:14, 115:19, 117:2, 137:20, 143:2, 143:5, 144:8, 145:7, 145:16, 146:1, 148:1, 156:5 federally [2] - 25:5, 25:8 feedback [1] - 61:25 felt [2] - 16:16, 144:19 few [1] - 73:7 field [5] - 34:12, 163:19, 165:2, 165:8 FIFRA [2] - 116:11, 117:6

file [1] - 46:14 filed [21] - 6:21, 11:16, 12:12, 12:13,

25:22, 38:9, 40:7, 40:12, 41:1, 41:4, 41:7, 48:14, 49:21, 55:10, 55:24, 64:22, 65:5, 66:22, 70:18, 77:5, 83:2 filing [6] - 11:24, 12:18, 38:12, 40:17, 77:16, 98:13

filings [1] - 11:9 fill [3] - 44:20, 47:10, 142.24

final [17] - 20:11,

far [6] - 74:16, 74:21,

49:6, 51:21, 52:14,

52:20, 53:5, 70:11,

98:18, 98:19, 99:21,

finalized [7] - 45:20,

101:11, 134:11, 143:2

71:1, 97:3, 97:7,

105:18, 110:10,

155:16, 155:23

50:5

66:8

177:14

45:23. 83:3. 83:4.

financially [1] -

fine [4] - 100:2,

100:8, 106:2, 106:6

finish [1] - 154:24

finished [1] - 94:7

firm [1] - 11:2

first [40] - 8:12,

11:10, 13:2, 18:18,

20:18, 21:7, 22:1,

22:18, 23:2, 24:5,

39:3, 41:14, 49:21,

53:12, 56:8, 63:9,

66:3, 66:10, 71:12,

72:20, 73:21, 78:6,

78:7, 90:24, 93:15,

114:17, 115:6,

124:23, 125:7,

131:25, 139:17,

148:14, 156:15,

170:1, 170:22,

172:18, 172:23

Fish [8] - 8:19, 9:1,

15:21, 21:23, 34:14,

71:20, 113:8, 116:5

20:7, 27:12, 67:25,

68:8, 68:20, 71:23,

161:12, 161:14,

161:25

89:3, 161:8, 161:10,

fishable [1] - 28:14

fishable/non [2] -

fish [15] - 8:23, 8:24,

99:1, 111:5, 114:15,

findings [2] - 60:1,

44:19, 44:25, 47:6, 47:8, 47:9, 47:16, 47:23, 48:10, 49:8, 49:11, 51:2, 54:2,

fishable/ swimmable [20] -27:15, 30:6, 30:11, 30:18, 30:19, 30:24, 31:20, 31:24, 32:2,

106:22, 107:12

32:4, 33:3, 33:7, 60:18, 75:15, 90:8, 91:19, 108:15, 110:15, 152:19, 154:6 **fisheries** [1] - 71:19

fisherman [1] - 8:15

fishery [2] - 119:8, 119:13

fishes [1] - 9:23 fishing [7] - 34:20, 88:3, 88:19, 89:4, 89:5, 89:15, 89:21

fit [3] - 158:1, 158:14, 158:19

five [18] - 21:13, finalizing [1] - 52:25 41:21, 51:19, 51:23, **finally** [2] - 26:19, 52:4, 52:17, 52:20,

86:13, 122:19, 122:20, 123:22, 123:23, 124:25,

125:10, 127:17, 132:12, 132:13

fix [1] - 148:18 flexibilities [1] -

50:13

flexibility [6] - 97:14, 97:20, 102:8, 116:20, 126:10, 134:14 float [4] - 84:5, 84:9, 84:15, 84:18

flow [9] - 28:4, 49:17, 59:17, 61:22, 162:21, 164:8, 164:12,

165:12, 175:6 **fly** [1] - 8:15

focus [4] - 159:4, 162:19, 164:23, 166:10

focused [2] - 48:9, 161:7

folks [1] - 168:22 follow [7] - 108:9, 155:22, 156:1, 156:7, 164:10, 170:2, 175:23

follow-up [2] -164:10, 170:2

following [3] - 33:12, 107:15, 150:4

follows [8] - 8:13, 23:3, 39:4, 53:13, 63:10, 71:13, 170:23, 172:19

Footnote [2] - 109:9,

footnote [6] - 84:17, 89:2, 109:8, 109:23, 110:1, 148:15

footnoted [1] - 86:23 FOR [3] - 1:6, 2:2, 2:15

forced [1] - 44:18 forces [1] - 122:6 forecast [1] - 168:11 foregoing [2] -

177:5, 177:6 foreseeable [1] - 119.15

Forest [1] - 55:1 forget [1] - 96:16 fork [3] - 66:21, 67:3,

67:5

Fork [3] - 66:12, 66:23, 67:9

form [2] - 77:13, 146:13

formally [2] - 13:23, 104:13

former [2] - 55:17,

formula [1] - 144:19 Fort [1] - 157:1 forth [1] - 111:6

forward [5] - 8:8, 14:13, 116:21, 167:9, 172:14

Foundation [1] -23:23

four [12] - 21:25, 35:8, 56:14, 58:16, 62:16, 62:23, 79:7, 121:19, 121:24, 132:16, 132:23, 156:22

fourth [2] - 22:5, 50.5

frame [4] - 134:15, 162:18. 163:21. 164:2 framework [1] - 51:4

Francis [2] - 2:17, 173:14

Franciscan [1] -172:22

Francisco [1] - 69:16 frankly [2] - 17:1, 17:13

free [5] - 11:15, 29:22, 73:10, 170:17, 172:15

Freeport [11] - 3:2, 10:25, 11:2, 51:19, 52:13, 52:24, 104:19, 113:15, 113:20, 154:14, 175:24

Freeport-McMoRan [7] - 3:2, 10:25, 11:2, 51:19, 52:13, 52:24, 175:24

front [3] - 112:4, 116:19, 124:8 full [1] - 105:2

fully [3] - 20:16, 99:6, 99:7

function [2] - 45:2, 146:16

fundamental [1] -167:24

30:6, 30:18, 30:24, 152:12, 152:17, future [3] - 43:11, hardness-based [10] hearings [3] - 9:3, 92:16, 168:11 31:19, 50:24, 87:13, 152:22, 153:2, 153:8, - 22:14, 144:5, 9:8, 21:1 91:20, 111:13 153:14, 153:21, 144:19, 145:16, held [8] - 6:18, 24:3, Gold [1] - 161:3 154:2, 154:8, 155:2, 145:18, 145:25, 63:17, 64:11, 64:16, G GOODRICH [138] -155:7 147:16, 147:21, 69:9, 103:6, 118:4 3:10, 10:17, 14:8, Goodrich [2] - 5:17, 148:1. 171:22 hello [1] - 63:14 GALLAGHER [1] hardness-15:15, 16:5, 99:19, 10.18 help [5] - 17:7, 99:24, 103:23, goose [1] - 103:25 dependent [1] -165:23, 166:19, Gallagher [1] - 10:25 114:21, 120:7, government [1] -150:11 167:13, 169:22 game [1] - 141:17 120:11, 120:21, 171:13 **Harold** [1] - 2:18 helpful [4] - 16:18, Game [8] - 8:19, 120:22, 121:2, 121:8, Grande [3] - 80:24, hazard [2] - 76:14, 98:21, 98:24, 174:9 8:25, 15:20, 21:23, 121:14, 121:19, 83:11, 84:3 76:19 helping [1] - 9:22 34:14, 71:19, 113:8, 122:2, 122:9, 122:18, grateful [1] - 171:5 heading [1] - 111:5 helps [2] - 169:21, 116.4 122:21, 123:2, 124:1, great [3] - 78:3, headquarters [1] -169:22 gander [1] - 103:25 124:6, 124:19, 125:4, 115:1, 171:9 124:14 hereby [1] - 177:5 gears [1] - 138:19 125:16, 125:20, greater [2] - 32:21, heads [1] - 68:19 hereto [1] - 177:14 general [21] - 29:15, 125:24, 126:3, 126:7, 150:5 headwater [2] high [5] - 23:24, 29:17, 37:4, 58:10, 126:18, 127:4, 127:9, grounds [1] - 12:24 68:14, 68:16 68:6, 68:11, 172:3, 74:3, 116:7, 116:12, 127:16, 127:21, Groundwater [1] headwaters [1] -173:24 121:23, 122:6, 128:6, 128:10, 52:24 175:5 high-quality [1] -122:10, 122:12, 128:20, 129:9, groundwaters [1] health [14] - 9:5, 68:11 122:24, 123:7, 129:15, 129:21, 19:23 10:3, 20:2, 27:2, 27:7, higher [1] - 33:8 124:11, 124:12, 130:4, 130:14, **Group** [1] - 70:1 28:10, 29:18, 29:23, highest [7] - 42:14, 124:24, 126:9, 130:21, 131:4, 131:9, group [2] - 27:24, 76:14, 76:19, 93:20, 46:8, 57:24, 58:1, 126:22, 134:20, 131:15, 131:23, 71:21 93:23, 126:25, 159:23 60:3, 100:20, 101:12 158:19, 170:13 132:6, 132:11, groups [2] - 37:15, hear [5] - 15:11, highlighted [1] -General [1] - 2:17 132:22, 133:2, 54:6, 160:15, 174:6, 37:16 150.4 generally [7] - 70:4, 133:13, 133:17, guess [9] - 15:1, 174:23 **hip** [1] - 116:2 94:15, 119:5, 119:6, 133:21, 134:3, 115:18, 117:4, heard [1] - 7:4 historical [2] - 67:25, 119:11, 125:17, 134:19, 134:24, **HEARING** [1] - 2:9 118:12, 134:8, 85:20 157:21 135:4, 135:9, 135:14, 140:17, 144:17, hearing [46] - 1:15, **history** [1] - 93:2 generate [2] - 57:5, 135:18, 136:5, 136:8, 157:13, 158:17 6:12. 6:24. 7:3. 7:4. Hogan [5] - 23:14, 60:24 136:12, 136:18, 7:13. 8:4. 9:11. 9:17. guidance [11] -24:24, 25:21, 106:17, Gensemer [1] - 17:5 137:5, 137:12, 15:21, 15:22, 16:12, 9:20, 12:25, 13:8, 109:18 geologic [2] - 146:17 137:22, 138:6, 16:17, 93:9, 93:22, 13:14, 14:3, 15:13, Hogan's [3] - 25:25, Geological [1] -138:11, 138:15, 104:3, 104:5, 121:16, 19:1, 19:9, 19:12, 26:5, 109:7 138:19, 138:25, 66:16 158:10, 163:5 19:20, 20:10, 36:22, hold [7] - 19:11, 139:5, 139:9, 139:14, Geology [1] - 55:3 36:25, 37:1, 37:2, Guidelines [1] - 7:1 19:20, 23:17, 54:17, 139:22, 140:1, 140:8, geology [1] - 146:18 37:7, 40:10, 46:15, guidelines [1] - 7:2 64:5, 117:21, 138:16 140:17, 140:24, geomorphic [2] -55:9, 55:13, 65:3, **Gundersen** [1] - 17:3 holding [1] - 85:21 141:6, 141:19, 61:3, 165:11 65:20, 72:3, 83:25, guys [2] - 115:1, holy [1] - 171:9 141:23, 142:2, 142:8, **Georgia** [1] - 64:8 105:23, 114:22, 116:23 Honor [1] - 113:20 142:10, 142:13, Germaine [1] - 11:1 115:13, 117:15, hope [2] - 104:6, 142:19, 142:22, **GERMAINE** [1] - 3:3 117:17, 117:22, Н 174:13 143:7, 143:14, germaine. 118:4, 119:1, 138:16, hopefully [2] - 73:1, 143:17, 143:21, chappelle@gknet. 158:15, 174:21, 159.9 143:25, 144:13, habitat [1] - 28:19 com [1] - 3:7 175:21, 177:5 **Hot** [3] - 66:13, 67:6, 144:16, 144:21, hand [3] - 8:7, 30:1, Gila [15] - 66:4, **Hearing** [35] - 1:16, 84:4 144:24, 145:5, 99:17 66:11, 66:13, 66:14, 6:12, 6:17, 10:13, hot [3] - 81:16, 145:11, 145:15, 66:21, 66:23, 66:24, harassment [1] -11:8, 13:17, 14:12, 85:18, 85:22 145:24, 146:4, 67:3, 67:5, 67:6, 67:9, 7:11 16:6, 17:11, 18:3, hour [1] - 1:18 146:21, 147:7, 69:5, 69:16, 69:20 hard [4] - 29:20, 18:16, 18:25, 72:24, HOWARD [1] - 2:4 147:14, 147:23, 30:2, 69:15, 158:15 given [9] - 13:4, 73:3, 77:11, 99:19, **HOYT** [1] - 2:7 148:10, 148:22, hardness [13] -15:2, 15:12, 114:11, 99:25, 103:3, 103:15, **HP** [49] - 51:13, 149:3, 149:10, 22:14. 144:5. 144:19. 147:1, 153:9, 171:6, 104:18, 104:20, 51:14, 51:16, 51:19, 149:15, 149:21, 145:14, 145:16. 173:22, 177:9 113:4, 113:24, 115:9, 51:21, 52:3, 52:6, 149:24, 150:1, goal [4] - 33:20, 145:18, 145:25, 118:10, 120:1, 120:4, 52:9, 52:14, 52:19, 150:15, 150:18, 42:21, 57:19, 123:20 147:16, 147:21, 120:12, 128:14, 56:7, 56:21, 56:25, 150:21, 151:2, 151:6, 148:1, 150:6, 150:11, goals [12] - 27:15, 129:4, 154:17, 155:2, 57:3, 57:12, 57:15, 151:8, 151:17,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

165:23, 166:7, 169:24

 $57{:}17,\,57{:}19,\,57{:}23,$

171:22

151:22, 152:4,

27:19, 28:15, 30:3,

58:6, 58:7, 58:14, 15:20, 30:7, 33:17, 58:23, 58:25, 59:5, 40:25, 41:4, 51:7, 59:8, 59:13, 59:20, 60:12, 66:10, 67:15, 60:3, 60:7, 60:23, 130:12, 131:17 61:7, 61:8, 61:16, identifies [1] - 46:7 61:19, 61:25, 62:3, identify [12] - 16:8, 62:6, 62:8, 62:14, 36:4, 41:9, 66:16, 62:16. 62:20. 63:2. 98:9, 101:12, 125:17, 109:4, 112:17, 128:11, 130:5, 112:19, 163:4, 163:6, 131:24, 132:4, 165:2 164:23 identifying [3] huge [3] - 146:8, 132:8, 132:10, 159:3 169:13, 169:16 idiot [1] - 159:20 **human** [13] - 9:5, illuminate [1] - 17:8 10:3, 29:18, 58:1, illustrates [1] - 66:23 60:4, 60:21, 61:4, immersed [1] - 88:9 76:11, 76:17, 88:16, immersion [2] -93:20, 93:23, 126:25 76:18, 81:8 **HUTCHINSON** [11] impact [3] - 127:12, 2:4, 118:12, 118:23, 161:13, 167:4 155:13, 155:19, impacts [1] - 147:1 156:8, 156:10, **impair** [1] - 29:23 156:13, 162:4, 162:6, impaired [6] - 49:2, 163:10 146:10, 167:8, Hutchinson [2] -173:10, 173:20 155:11, 162:5 impairment [3] hydro [1] - 162:13 42:16, 147:22, 166:23 hydrologic [5] implement [1] -55:1, 57:5, 61:2, 167:12 162:20 implementation [7] hydrological [1] -35:20. 39:15. 54:1. 56:18 141:21. 166:21. hydrologist [2] -167:10. 167:13 54:21, 54:25 implemented [9] -Hydrology [1] -43:5, 47:1, 47:8, 90:9, 23:22 128:4, 136:20, 137:3, hydrology [21] -137:8, 166:23 21:13, 21:18, 23:19, implementing [5] -32:14, 51:12, 52:3, 40:2, 44:15, 47:25, 56:6, 56:25, 57:7, 124:17, 166:14 57:14, 57:17, 59:22, implication [1] -59:23, 60:6, 61:1, 137:1 61:14, 112:16, importance [1] -158:12, 162:12, 28:17 165:10, 165:19 important [5] hypothetical [3] -33:13, 50:10, 97:13, 118:8, 132:12, 132:23 168:14, 173:12 hypotheticals [1] imposes [1] - 140:25 147:18 improperly [1] -67:15 improve [4] - 24:10, 48:17, 51:4, 131:20 improvement [1] -I(1 [1] - 144:25 44:15 idea [1] - 74:3 improving [1] ideal [1] - 15:16

128:24

85:21

IN [1] - 1:5

in-holding [1] -

Inc [1] - 3:19

identical [1] - 117:8

identification [2] -

identified [11] -

49:15, 66:4

incidents [1] -160:24 include [12] - 28:12, 29:13, 39:13, 49:5, 112:11, 131:19, 131:20, 136:8, 137:15, 138:3, 138:12, 157:12 included [13] -24:17, 35:9, 55:6, 62:25, 66:22, 70:11, 84:13, 105:25, 107:5, 117:14, 117:19, 128:18, 144:9 includes [4] - 40:3, 46:17, 85:21, 157:10 including [10] - 20:6, 38:5, 42:20, 42:24, 45:16, 64:1, 76:18, 97:8, 134:20, 140:15 inclusion [2] -134:21, 150:16 incorporate [2] -138:22, 143:4 incorporating [1] -134:15 incorporation [1] -125:21 increase [1] - 172:8 increased [11] -139:10, 140:2, 140:9, 140:19, 141:3, 141:8, 141:11, 141:13, 141:18, 142:6, 142:7 indeed [4] - 67:6, 86:2, 147:12, 147:19 independent [1] -146:23 index [6] - 162:16, 163:18, 164:25, 165:7, 165:15 Indian [1] - 70:2 indicate [5] - 68:14, 86:15, 110:2, 147:22, 161:25 indicates [8] - 33:8, 33:20, 71:2, 79:10, 87:9, 92:4, 95:12, 100:22 indicating [2] -75:12, 85:15 indication [1] - 85:24 indicative [1] -163:21 indicators [3] - 61:3, 165:11, 165:12 individually [1] -80:21 indulgence [1] -

industrial [3] - 20:8, 37:16, 40:6 industries [2] -173:1, 173:2 industry [2] - 174:1, 174:12 influence [1] - 163:3 influencing [2] -163:8, 165:6 information [25] -15:21, 16:17, 22:3, 33:8, 33:20, 34:10, 42:22, 74:5, 75:21, 75:24, 76:21, 77:3, 79:10, 83:16, 84:22, 84:24, 84:25, 85:1, 87:9, 92:3, 92:4, 92:10, 97:12, 122:5, 165:9 informative [1] -22:6 ingesting [3] - 76:13, 81:1, 88:17 ingestion [3] - 76:18, 81:8, 89:18 initiated [3] - 12:14, 12:15, 21:6 injure [1] - 29:18 input [5] - 36:4, 37:24, 38:6, 46:13, 118:2 inspections [1] -25:4 instance [2] - 90:25, 162:23 instances [1] - 20:23 instead [3] - 102:7, 125:11, 168:3 instigate [1] - 157:21 **Institute** [1] - 64:10 integrating [1] -24:19 intend [1] - 83:5 intended [1] - 17:7 intending [1] -148:20 intends [1] - 101:10 intensify [1] - 146:24 intent [4] - 12:18, 25:23, 36:2, 149:17 intentionally [1] -134:7 interest [1] - 72:9 interested [3] - 58:9, 69:3, 177:14 interesting [1] -104:8 interface [1] - 146:17 Interfaith [1] - 173:5

interim [2] - 46:9,

139:4 interject [3] - 83:15, 99:20, 113:5 intermittent [11] -56:23, 57:1, 59:17, 60:20, 60:24, 61:9, 61:22, 158:10, 164:17, 164:21, 165:13 interpretation [1] -110:14 interpreted [1] -27:10 INTERSTATE [1] -1:6 Interstate [1] - 19:3 interstate [1] - 21:5 intimate [2] - 76:11, 76:17 intimidation [1] -7:12 Intrastate [1] - 19:4 **intrastate** [1] - 21:5 INTRASTATE [1] -1:6 introduce [5] - 39:7, 53:16, 63:13, 71:16, 129:6 introduction [2] -17:16, 26:8 invalidated [1] -156:11 invasive [1] - 71:24 investigated [1] -66:25 **invite** [1] - 7:23 invited [2] - 36:4, involved [3] - 26:21, 119:14, 137:13 involving [1] - 76:12 irrigation [1] - 28:19 issue [17] - 13:2, 14:1, 14:18, 14:23, 15:12, 16:21, 17:10, 18:1, 20:20, 21:2, 32:9, 100:5, 104:23, 116:16, 146:23, 155:23, 166:20 issued [7] - 25:8, 35:15, 58:5, 90:22, 96:3, 111:23, 116:7 issues [23] - 9:12, 11:6, 11:18, 13:21, 18:12, 18:13, 20:19, 21:8, 22:2, 22:5, 26:14, 36:4, 63:25, 104:17, 112:10, 112:12, 120:17, 120:18, 123:14,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

119:22

147:2, 173:11, 39:2 Κ 173:17, 173:18 IT [1] - 1:14 L Kathryn [1] - 10:13 item [1] - 6:6 itself [7] - 96:23, **KATHRYN** [1] - 2:16 lab [1] - 13:21 kathryn.becker@ 102:20, 124:22, Laboratories [1] state.nm.us [1] - 2:19 129:19, 136:15, **Kathy** [2] - 7:14, 151:19, 161:14 **Laboratory** [4] - 4:8, 177:4 4:9, 10:23, 11:20 **KATZ** [6] - 4:3, J Lake [5] - 88:1, 88:2, 10:22, 13:17, 13:19, 89:2, 89:12, 119:7 104:18, 113:22 **JACKSON** [1] - 2:8 lake [4] - 88:11, 89:4, Katz [1] - 10:22 James [2] - 23:14, 89:5, 119:9 kayaking [3] - 83:18, 25.21 lakes [8] - 24:7, 83:21, 89:15 **JANE** [1] - 2:4 27:20, 119:4, 119:12, keep [3] - 38:21, January [2] - 52:8, 158:14, 158:19, 71:25, 175:1 94:19 159:1, 159:3 keeping [1] - 68:11 jmccaleb@ **Land** [1] - 84:19 **KENNEDY** [1] - 3:4 taylormccaleb.com Lands [1] - 55:3 Kennedy [1] - 10:25 [1] - 3:18 lands [1] - 9:16 key [4] - 21:8, 21:25, Joan [2] - 172:16, language [23] -26:14, 57:8 172:21 22:13, 49:12, 49:14, kill [1] - 89:3 49:18, 79:15, 79:24, **JOAN** [2] - 5:21, kind [8] - 115:16, 97:9, 97:10, 101:14, 172:17 117:20, 159:11, 106:10, 110:13, Jodey [3] - 21:16, 167:12, 169:20, 116:20, 117:19, 22:23, 53:18 173:13, 174:7, 174:9 128:17, 130:18, JODEY [2] - 5:9, **kinds** [1] - 173:1 131:10, 133:11, 53:11 **King** [1] - 161:3 136:13, 138:21, John [1] - 10:13 **KIRK** [2] - 5:13, 149:18. 150:3. JOHN [3] - 2:5, 2:6, 71:11 150:16, 151:1 Kirk [3] - 21:22, **LARA**[1] - 4:3 john.verheul@ 22:24, 71:18 **Lara** [1] - 10:22 state.nm.us [1] - 2:20 knowledge [2] join [1] - 18:4 large [1] - 55:5 84:1, 99:2 joined [1] - 24:5 largely [1] - 161:11 known [8] - 19:12, **LARRY** [1] - 2:3 joining [4] - 23:20, 24:10, 27:14, 30:10, Las [1] - 37:6 39:23, 54:19, 64:13 32:14, 59:16, 90:23, last [16] - 11:10, jointly [1] - 11:16 95.6 22:2, 22:12, 44:8, **JOLENE** [1] - 3:15 KONSTANTIN [1] -54:8, 68:2, 73:24, Jolene [3] - 10:15, 3:4 74:9, 74:23, 79:24, 73:5, 99:21 Konstantin [1] - 11:1 108:24, 125:3, 129:3, Journal [1] - 37:5 konstantin. 130:15, 166:15, Juan [13] - 3:14, parkhomenko@ 174:24 10:15. 13:10. 22:15. gknet.com [1] - 3:8 late [2] - 12:13, 95:13 48:16, 49:10, 49:20, KOUGIOULIS [13] latest [5] - 40:21, 50:2, 70:1, 70:3, 70:4, 5:9, 53:11, 86:6, 41:9, 77:16, 87:11, 70:8, 73:6 157:10, 157:25, 93:8 July [3] - 25:11, 158:4, 158:8, 158:16, Laudoto [1] - 173:15

158:23. 158:25.

162:11, 163:17,

Kougioulis [9] -

21:16, 22:23, 32:17,

51:14, 53:16, 53:18,

54:4, 63:24, 165:1

Kris [1] - 125:14

22:22, 39:9

Kristine [3] - 21:9,

KRISTINE [2] - 5:7,

164:14

36:21, 69:17

78:12

jump [1] - 73:10

June [2] - 63:17,

jurisdictions [2] -

justified [3] - 46:11,

justify [3] - 131:5,

118:15, 118:17

50:22, 148:6

132:16, 135:11

46:23 leave [2] - 23:14, 101:7 led [1] - 151:11 left [1] - 99:17 left-hand [1] - 99:17 legal [5] - 36:12, 37:1, 54:23, 96:20, 171:16 **LEMON** [94] - 5:5, 23:1, 73:22, 74:11, 74:16, 74:21, 75:5, 75:11, 75:24, 76:4, 76:7, 76:9, 76:25, 78:17, 78:19, 79:3, 80:14, 81:6, 81:16, 82:2, 82:9, 82:15, 84:17, 84:24, 85:3, 85:7, 85:12, 85:16, 86:24, 87:5, 87:19, 87:23, 88:8, 88:14, 88:25, 89:8, 89:13, 89:23, 90:4, 90:15, 90:19, 91:1, 91:9, 91:18, 92:12, 92:19, 92:23, 106:24, 107:2, 107:7, 107:10, 107:13, 107:19, 108:1, 108:3, 108:5, 108:17, 108:22, 109:4, 109:6, 109:10, 109:15, 109:19, 109:21, 109:25, 110:6, 110:11, 110:16, 110:21, 111:3, 111:8, 111:17, 111:20, 111:25, 112:3, 112:9, 112:13, 112:24, 123:9, 124:12, 125:2, 125:12, 125:19, 136:3, 156:22, 156:24, 159:22, 160:19, 164:25, 165:22, 167:1, 167:3, 167:7, 167:21 lemon [3] - 25:14, 38:16, 73:9 **Lemon** [13] - 21:8, 22:21, 22:22, 23:8, 43:19, 46:5, 73:17, 73:19, 106:16, 123:3, 123:4, 124:7, 135:23 length [2] - 67:9, 114:12 lengthy [1] - 7:5 less [15] - 32:4, 33:3, 42:10. 58:4. 59:10. 122:19, 144:7, 145:2, 145:17, 145:21,

146:2, 146:6, 146:9, 150:7, 173:8 lesser [1] - 91:21 letter [11] - 70:20, 70:22, 70:25, 71:1, 75:8, 75:11, 94:11, 94:19, 95:15, 148:5, 149:16 **letters** [1] - 151:10 **letting** [1] - 119:23 level [1] - 9:20 levels [5] - 46:9, 59:18, 61:23, 164:9, 172:8 **License** [1] - 177:19 life [42] - 21:18, 22:15, 28:13, 28:14, 29:18, 30:4, 30:20, 31:9, 31:14, 32:13, 58:2, 58:3, 60:5, 60:21, 61:4, 66:6, 67:12, 67:17, 67:18, 67:20, 68:3, 68:6, 68:9, 68:18, 70:6, 90:18, 91:8, 94:22, 107:6, 107:17, 107:25, 108:6, 109:2, 110:15, 110:19, 110:25, 111:9, 112:22, 141:15, 162:1 lifeblood [2] -171:10, 173:19 lifetime [4] - 132:2, 133:10, 139:14, 139:16 Light [1] - 173:5 likely [11] - 73:8, 79:1, 79:12, 80:1, 80:16, 81:7, 87:10, 88:8, 95:20, 167:7, 168:24 limbo [1] - 118:7 limit [7] - 7:10, 49:2, 90:17, 100:5, 101:8, 134:12, 169:11 limitation [1] - 124:1 limitations [2] -29:11, 123:11 limited [32] - 28:14, 30:20, 31:14, 32:12, 42:10, 44:14, 45:3, 49:1, 58:2, 60:5, 76:18, 88:20, 89:4, 89:5, 89:7, 91:7, 94:22, 100:18, 101:9, 107:17, 108:6, 109:2, 110:14, 110:19, 110:25, 111:9, 112:22, 114:9, 115:3, 133:6, 139:12, 155:6

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

law [2] - 20:12,

4:4, 4:8, 10:18,

24:16. 25:3

leader [3] - 24:8,

least [5] - 19:12,

27:5, 33:11, 45:14,

Law [10] - 2:11, 3:5,

3:10, 3:11, 3:16, 3:21,

121:11

171:12

Limited [1] - 8:17 limits [12] - 35:10, 43:13, 43:23, 48:4, 50:23, 132:20, 138:23, 139:1, 139:6, 139:10, 140:3, 166:22 Linda [1] - 15:6 **line** [1] - 79:8 lines [1] - 169:9 linked [1] - 48:23 list [6] - 15:19, 37:8, 58:9, 69:3, 146:10 listed [9] - 46:5, 57:23. 59:7. 59:15. 60:2, 62:6, 62:10, 62:19, 86:23 listing [1] - 51:24 liter [4] - 145:4, 149:11, 149:12, 150:9 **literature** [2] - 9:4, 164:8 litigation [3] -118:14, 118:19, 118:20 livestock [1] - 28:19 Ikatz@montand. com [1] - 4:6 LLC [1] - 4:2 load [1] - 24:6 local [2] - 67:21, 137:20 located [4] - 56:15, 58:17, 62:17, 81:16 longer-term [1] -169:10 LONGWORTH [5] -2:5, 163:11, 163:13, 164:10, 165:21 Longworth [1] -163:12 look [19] - 77:2, 80:20, 82:10, 83:9, 84:2, 87:5, 91:9, 93:3, 93:12, 111:4, 124:23, 125:7, 131:16, 159:19, 162:14, 162:15, 164:6, 168:12, 172:9 looked [1] - 78:21 looking [7] - 74:1, 85:7, 127:2, 161:7, 163:1, 164:3, 164:18 looks [2] - 74:6, 165:10 Los [11] - 4:2, 4:9, 4:10, 10:22, 11:17,

11:19, 104:17,

113:16, 113:22,

154:16, 154:18

loss [1] - 42:16

171:1

master [2] - 23:18

lost [2] - 99:13, 130:20 louder [1] - 158:24 Louis [1] - 10:20 LOUIS [1] - 3:20 Louisiana [4] -39:21, 39:24, 40:5, 40:6 low [7] - 49:17, 59:17, 61:22, 146:16, 146:19, 147:11, 148:7 low-flow [2] - 59:17, 61:22 lower [4] - 10:2, 67:2, 84:20, 112:21 Irose@montand. com [1] - 3:23

master's [1] - 39:20

MATTER [1] - 1:5

matter [7] - 1:15,

12:13, 12:15, 177:12

MATTHIAS [1] - 2:5

maximum [2] - 24:6,

McAllister [5] - 88:1,

McCaleb [162] - 3:15.

88:2, 89:1, 89:12,

3:16, 5:15, 10:15,

72:24, 73:1, 73:3,

73:5, 73:12, 73:13,

119:6

matters [1] - 7:9

6:18, 7:9, 11:24,

103:12

Matter [2] - 103:10,

М

74:2, 74:12, 74:18, 75:2, 75:7, 75:20, 76:1, 76:5, 76:8, ma'am [1] - 74:2 76:20, 77:1, 77:19, machine [1] - 177:8 77:25, 78:5, 78:7, mail [3] - 15:7, 36:13, 78:11, 78:15, 78:18, 78:20, 79:4, 79:15, mailed [1] - 69:2 79:20, 80:5, 80:10, mailing [2] - 37:8 80:15, 80:20, 81:10, main [4] - 66:14, 81:23, 82:5, 82:10, 66:21, 66:24, 173:17 82:17, 82:21, 82:24, Maine [1] - 64:15 83:5, 83:9, 83:19, maintain [2] - 8:24, 83:24, 84:2, 84:8, 67:1 84:14, 84:22, 84:25, maintained [2] -85:6, 85:8, 85:13, 139:18, 139:19 85:17, 86:3, 86:8, maintaining [1] -86:12, 86:19, 87:1, 173:24 87:16, 87:20, 87:25, manage [1] - 119:7 88:12, 88:21, 89:1, Management [1] -89:10, 89:20, 89:24, 84:19 90:11, 90:16, 90:21, management [5] -91:3, 91:13, 91:24, 40:1, 71:23, 138:2, 92:9, 92:13, 92:21, 93:5, 93:12, 93:15, 169.2 93:22, 94:2, 94:7, manager [3] - 24:23, 94:14, 95:5, 95:11, 25:11, 54:20 mandate [1] - 153:5 95:16, 95:22, 96:2, 96:5, 96:8, 96:14, mandated [1] - 19:9 96:22, 97:1, 97:6, mandatory [1] -97:11, 97:18, 97:25, 117:16 98:4, 98:7, 98:11, manifest [1] - 164:7 98:19, 98:21, 99:4, manmade [1] -99:9, 99:12, 99:23, 147:13 99:25, 100:3, 100:8, manner [1] - 13:7 100:11, 100:15, map [1] - 66:22 100:22, 101:1, 101:6, maps [1] - 66:16 101:17, 101:20, marginal [1] - 67:17 101:23, 102:4, MARLENE [2] - 5:19, 102:11, 102:15, 170.21 102:19, 103:1, Marlene [2] - 170:19,

106:15, 106:25, 107:3, 107:8, 107:11, 107:14, 107:20, 108:2, 108:4, 108:8, 108:18, 108:23, 109:5, 109:7, 109:11, 109:17, 109:20, 109:22. 110:1. 110:7. 110:12, 110:17, 110:23, 111:4, 111:15, 111:19, 111:22, 112:6, 112:11, 112:18, 112:25 McMoRan [7] - 3:2, 10:25, 11:2, 51:19, 52:13, 52:24, 175:24 mean [10] - 15:16, 33:25, 89:6, 90:8, 92:25, 128:21, 136:22, 155:22, 167:2, 168:19 means [5] - 76:9, 76:15, 88:15, 139:1, 172:3 measurements [2] -147:19, 165:3 measures [2] - 29:2, 53:24 measuring [1] -147:20 mechanisms 131 -122:23, 123:8, 124:10 medical [1] - 23:14 meet [13] - 33:7, 35:17, 43:23, 67:6, 81:21, 91:19, 131:1, 153:8, 167:17, 168:2, 168:19, 169:5 meeting [7] - 6:3, 36:21, 69:9, 69:16, 97:21, 134:1, 135:25 meetings [1] - 24:11 member [1] - 151:22 members [2] - 114:4, 175:18 Members [11] - 5:16, 5:18, 6:14, 18:24, 73:4, 113:25, 114:6. 115:2, 119:18, 170:5, 170:25 MEMBERS [2] -115:10, 155:12 memo [4] - 82:14, 84:4, 86:23, 88:2 memory [1] - 160:14 mention [1] - 129:25

mentioned [9] - 7:24,

60:6, 104:1, 119:6,

124:6, 133:4, 143:8,

147:24, 157:17 Mercy [1] - 171:3 merged [1] - 49:25 mesh [1] - 169:8 met [5] - 36:16, 40:18, 48:12, 48:13, 97.22 metals [3] - 146:11, 147:20, 147:21 method [1] - 8:22 methodology [4] -57:4, 59:21, 60:7, 61:8 Mexican [1] - 37:6 **MEXICO** [3] - 1:1, 2:15, 177:1 Mexico [69] - 1:18, 2:13, 2:18, 3:6, 3:12, 3:17, 3:22, 4:5, 4:10, 6:21, 6:25, 8:16, 10:14, 15:20, 16:8, 16:22, 19:8, 21:3, 21:22, 23:9, 24:18, 25:7, 26:24, 26:25, 27:4, 27:17, 28:18, 29:3, 30:19, 31:12, 34:13, 36:12, 37:3, 40:4, 41:16, 50:11, 53:20, 54:9, 56:3, 56:11, 56:24, 59:25, 60:9, 60:13, 61:6, 61:10, 62:5, 63:16, 64:6, 67:13, 68:4, 69:10, 71:19, 72:13, 89:21, 89:25, 97:20, 103:10, 121:11, 152:18, 155:21, 157:8, 170:25, 171:7, 171:20, 172:6, 173:3, 173:4 Mexico's [8] - 6:19, 19:3, 19:6, 20:24, 24:14, 27:18, 31:7, 141:20 microbiology [1] -64:7 microgram [1] -145:3 micrograms [3] -149:11, 149:12, 150:9 middle [1] - 25:1 might [8] - 13:14, 17:15, 83:15, 113:4, 116:3, 167:25 169:25, 173:15 Mimbres [17] - 22:16, 51:20, 56:16, 58:18, 62:18, 62:24, 66:7, 68:3, 68:5, 68:13, 68:18, 69:12, 69:15,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

103:15, 105:1, 105:6,

105:11, 106:8,

69:17, 69:21, 70:20, 71.6 mimic [1] - 101:25 mind [5] - 108:9, 114:19, 133:16, 134:25, 175:1 Mine [1] - 161:4 mine [1] - 161:18 Mines [2] - 3:2, 21:14 minimal [1] - 88:18 minimum [6] - 20:1, 27:1, 133:7, 133:18, 133:22, 134:21 mining [1] - 174:1 Mining [2] - 3:19, 10:21 minor [3] - 21:14, 64:6, 70:7 minute [1] - 174:24 minutes [1] - 154:22 misidentified [1] -67:1 misidentifying [1] -66:12 misspoke [1] - 108:8 mistake [1] - 96:14 mixing [2] - 150:6, 150:8 mo@ saucedochavez.com [1] - 2:14 moderate [1] - 164:5 modifications [2] -94:25, 95:3 modified [2] - 44:1, 101:3 modify [1] - 19:14 MOELLENBERG [3] - 3:3, 10:24, 18:3 Moellenberg [1] -10:24 moisture [1] - 163:23 moment [2] - 99:13, 108:10 monitoring [9] -24:15, 32:22, 34:11, 34:13, 55:5, 63:23, 85:3, 85:4, 131:20 Monitoring [3] -24:16, 24:23, 25:12 Montana [3] - 54:18, 55:3, 118:20 MONTGOMERY [2] -3:21, 4:4 Montgomery [1] -10:20 month [3] - 162:23, 162:25, 164:12 months [3] - 82:19, 163:24, 163:25

moral [1] - 174:12 morning [10] - 6:10, 10:12, 13:10, 14:7, 15:7, 114:15, 154:25, 170:8, 170:16, 175:22 MORRIS [1] - 2:10 Morris [2] - 1:15, most [18] - 37:25, 40:21, 48:9, 57:13, 67:7, 73:8, 73:17, 95:19, 98:13, 149:1, 158:3, 162:1, 165:18, 166:24, 167:7, 168:22, 168:23 Most [1] - 120:22 motion [1] - 18:7 move [9] - 6:6, 14:11, 95:17, 103:17, 113:3, 116:20, 167:9, 170:11, 173:6 moved [2] - 13:11, 159:11 MR [361] - 2:3, 2:3, 2:4, 2:5, 2:5, 2:6, 2:6, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10, 2:16, 3:3, 3:4, 3:10, 3:20, 4:7, 6:1, 6:13, 8:10, 8:15, 10:4, 10:12, 10:17, 10:20, 10:24, 11:4, 11:8, 13:1, 13:18, 14:2, 14:8, 14:10, 14:12, 14:22, 15:9, 15:15, 16:2, 16:3, 16:5, 17:9, 17:11, 17:25, 18:3, 18:9, 18:16, 18:20, 18:23, 22:19, 22:20, 23:5, 38:18, 38:20, 38:22, 38:23, 38:24, 53:15, 63:12, 71:15, 72:17, 72:19, 72:25, 73:2, 77:11, 77:12, 77:13, 77:22, 77:24, 78:2, 78:3, 78:14, 78:16, 82:14, 82:16, 82:18, 82:23, 83:15, 83:22, 84:1, 84:7, 84:10, 85:20, 86:2, 86:5, 86:6, 86:11, 86:18, 86:22, 99:19, 99:24, 100:2, 100:4, 100:10, 103:4, 103:7, 103:9, 103:22, 103:23, 104:8, 104:11, 104:15, 104:17, 104:19, 104:21, 105:5,

105:10, 105:12,

105:15, 105:16,

106:2, 106:5, 106:13, 113:2, 113:4, 113:6, 113:7, 113:13, 113:18, 113:19, 113:21, 113:23, 113:24, 113:25, 114:3, 114:4, 114:18, 114:19, 114:21, 114:23, 115:1, 115:7, 115:18, 115:23, 116:1, 116:22, 116:25, 117:25, 118:5, 118:12, 118:16, 118:23, 118:24, 118:25, 119:1, 119:5, 119:10, 119:11, 119:17, 119:18, 119:20, 119:25, 120:1, 120:3, 120:5, 120:7, 120:8, 120:9, 120:10, 120:11, 120:21, 120:22, 121:2, 121:8, 121:14, 121:19, 122:2, 122:9, 122:14, 122:18, 122:21, 123:2, 124:1, 124:6, 124:19, 125:4, 125:16, 125:20, 125:24, 126:3, 126:7, 126:18, 127:4, 127:9, 127:16, 127:21, 128:6, 128:10, 128:20, 129:3, 129:9, 129:13, 129:15, 129:21, 130:4, 130:14, 130:21, 131:4, 131:9, 131:15, 131:23, 132:6, 132:11, 132:22, 133:2, 133:13, 133:17, 133:21, 134:3, 134:19, 134:24, 135:4, 135:9, 135:14, 135:18, 136:5, 136:8, 136:12, 136:18, 137:5, 137:12, 137:22, 138:6, 138:11, 138:15, 138:19, 138:25, 139:5, 139:9, 139:14, 139:22, 140:1, 140:8, 140:17, 140:24, 141:6, 141:19, 141:23, 142:2, 142:8, 142:10, 142:13, 142:19, 142:22, 143:7, 143:14, 143:17, 143:21, 143:25,

144:21, 144:24, 145:5, 145:11, 145:15, 145:24, 146:4, 146:8, 146:21, 147:3, 147:7, 147:10, 147:14, 147:18, 147:23, 148:10, 148:22, 149:3. 149:10, 149:15, 149:21, 149:24, 150:1, 150:15, 150:18, 150:21, 151:2, 151:6, 151:8, 151:17, 151:22, 152:4, 152:12, 152:17, 152:22, 153:2, 153:8, 153:14, 153:21, 154:1, 154:2, 154:8, 154:10, 154:13, 154:14, 154:16, 154:17, 154:20, 155:2, 155:5, 155:7, 155:8, 155:13, 155:19, 156:8, 156:10, 156:13, 156:16, 156:17, 156:23, 157:3, 157:4, 157:5, 157:10, 157:23, 157:25, 158:1, 158:4, 158:6, 158:8, 158:13, 158:16, 158:21, 158:23, 158:25, 159:5, 159:8, 160:11, 160:13, 160:14, 160:17, 160:18, 160:20, 160:23, 161:2, 161:3, 161:6, 162:3, 162:4, 162:5, 162:6, 162:10, 162:11, 163:10, 163:11, 163:12, 163:13, 163:17, 164:10, 164:14, 165:21, 165:23, 166:3, 166:6, 169:24, 170:4, 170:9, 170:10, 172:12, 175:17, 176:1, 176:2 MS [477] - 2:4, 2:16, 3:3, 3:15, 4:3, 4:9, 10:15, 10:22, 13:17, 13:19, 15:6, 38:25, 39:6, 53:10, 72:24, 73:1, 73:3, 73:12, 73:13, 73:22, 74:2, 74:11, 74:12, 74:16, 74:18, 74:21, 75:2, 75:5, 75:7, 75:11, 75:20, 75:24, 76:1, 76:4, 76:5, 76:7, 76:8, 76:9, 76:20, 76:25, 77:1, 77:19, 77:25, 78:5, 78:6, 78:7, 78:10, 78:11, 78:15, 78:17, 78:18, 78:19, 78:20, 79:3, 79:4, 79:14, 79:15, 79:19, 79:20. 80:4. 80:5. 80:8, 80:10, 80:13, 80:14, 80:15, 80:19, 80:20, 81:5, 81:6, 81:10, 81:16, 81:23, 82:1, 82:2, 82:5, 82:9, 82:10, 82:15, 82:17, 82:21, 82:24, 83:3, 83:5, 83:7, 83:9, 83:19, 83:24, 84:2, 84:8, 84:14, 84:17, 84:22, 84:24, 84:25, 85:3, 85:6, 85:7, 85:8, 85:12, 85:13, 85:16, 85:17, 86:1, 86:3, 86:8, 86:12, 86:19, 86:24, 87:1, 87:5, 87:16, 87:19, 87:20, 87:23, 87:25, 88:8, 88:12, 88:14, 88:21, 88:25, 89:1, 89:8, 89:10, 89:13, 89:20, 89:23, 89:24, 90:4, 90:11, 90:15, 90:19, 90:21, 91:1, 91:3, 91:9, 91:12, 91:13, 91:18, 91:24, 92:8, 92:9, 92:12, 92:13, 92:19, 92:21, 92:23, 93:5, 93:11, 93:12, 93:14, 93:15, 93:17, 93:22, 94:1, 94:6, 94:7, 94:10, 94:14, 94:15, 95:5, 95:10, 95:11, 95:15, 95:16, 95:21, 95:22, 96:1, 96:2, 96:4, 96:5, 96:7, 96:8, 96:12, 96:14, 96:21, 96:22, 96:25, 97:1, 97:4, 97:6, 97:10, 97:11, 97:17, 97:18, 97:24, 97:25, 98:3, 98:4, 98:6, 98:7, 98:9, 98:11, 98:16, 98:17, 98:19, 98:20, 98:21, 98:25, 99:4, 99:7, 99:9, 99:11, 99:12, 99:23, 99:25, 100:3, 100:8, 100:11, 100:14, 100:15, 100:17, 100:22, 100:25, 101:1, 101:5, 101:6, 101:10, 101:17, 101:19,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

144:13, 144:16,

101:20, 101:22,	132:18, 133:1,	37:15, 166:13,	necessary [17] -	34:13, 36:12, 37:2,
101:23, 102:2, 102:4,	133:12, 133:15,	168:16, 168:22,	7:11, 28:10, 29:12,	37:5, 40:4, 41:16,
102:10, 102:21,	133:20, 133:23,	169:17, 169:22,	33:10, 42:25, 45:10,	50:10, 53:20, 54:9,
102:14, 102:15,	134:10, 134:23,	172:25	49:23, 59:6, 67:11,	56:2, 56:11, 56:24,
102:18, 102:19,	135:3, 135:6, 135:13,	municipality [1] -	83:8, 97:8, 112:19,	59:25, 60:9, 60:13,
102:25, 103:1,	135:17, 135:20,	167:5	133:7, 133:18,	61:6, 61:10, 62:5,
103:15, 104:18,	136:3, 136:4, 136:6,	municipally [1] -	133:22, 133:25,	63:16, 64:6, 67:13,
104:20, 105:1, 105:6,	136:10, 136:16,	156:19	134:22	68:4, 69:10, 71:19,
105:11, 105:18,	136:23, 137:11,	muscle [3] - 15:19,	necessitating [1] -	72:12, 89:20, 89:25,
105:20, 105:22,	137:15, 138:2,	16:7, 17:12	126:10	97:20, 103:10,
105:23, 106:3, 106:8,	138:10, 138:14,	mussels [1] - 72:1	need [24] - 11:6,	121:10, 121:11,
106:12, 106:15,	138:18, 138:24,	must [17] - 19:20,	15:8, 67:20, 76:1,	141:20, 152:18,
106:24, 106:25,	139:4, 139:8, 139:12,	20:21, 27:4, 30:17,	85:5, 86:24, 90:13,	155:21, 157:8,
107:2, 107:3, 107:7,	139:16, 139:25,	30:25, 32:3, 81:3,	92:16, 106:18,	170:25, 171:7,
107:8, 107:10,	140:6, 140:13,	90:2, 91:16, 102:16,	109:14, 114:13,	171:20, 172:5, 173:3,
107:11, 107:13,	140:23, 141:5,	122:2, 126:12, 130:9,	119:14, 121:22,	173:4
107:14, 107:19,	141:16, 141:22,	133:4, 151:6, 153:9,	125:10, 127:7,	newspaper [1] -
107:20, 108:1, 108:2,	142:1, 142:6, 142:9,	172:2	146:24, 153:16,	36:12
108:3, 108:4, 108:5,	142:12, 142:17,		153:17, 157:18,	newspapers [3] -
108:8, 108:17,	142:21, 143:1,	N	161:4, 165:9, 168:10,	37:4, 58:10, 69:2
108:18, 108:22,	143:13, 143:16,		175:1, 175:7	next [7] - 21:9, 39:1,
108:23, 109:4, 109:5,	143:20, 143:24,		needed [8] - 32:12,	79:24, 96:6, 107:22,
109:6, 109:7, 109:10,	144:12, 144:15,	name [16] - 6:16, 8:8,	43:9, 50:17, 66:19,	127:3, 127:8
109:11, 109:14,	144:18, 144:23,	23:6, 23:8, 39:9,	74:5, 97:19, 103:20,	next-to-the-last [1] -
109:15, 109:17,	145:3, 145:9, 145:13,	53:18, 60:12, 63:14,	131:20	79:24
109:19, 109:20,	145:22, 146:3, 148:9,	66:17, 71:18, 73:5,	needing [1] - 87:21	nine [25] - 26:17,
109:21, 109:22,	148:12, 149:2, 149:9,	85:5, 113:17, 156:23,	needs [4] - 75:21,	33:17, 33:23, 33:25,
109:25, 110:1, 110:6,	149:14, 149:20,	172:15, 172:21	118:4, 131:6, 171:25	34:7, 34:9, 73:14,
110:7, 110:11,	149:23, 149:25,	names [1] - 66:17	negotiation [1] -	73:19, 75:3, 75:18,
110:12, 110:16,	150:14, 150:17,	narrative [12] -	54:23	76:22, 77:2, 79:16,
110:17, 110:21,	150:20, 150:23,	29:14, 29:15, 29:19,	NEPA [2] - 9:14, 9:17	81:9, 82:7, 87:18,
110:23, 111:3, 111:4,	151:5, 151:7, 151:13,	29:20, 36:24, 121:23,	never [1] - 134:25	92:14, 93:7, 152:9,
111:8, 111:15,	151:21, 152:1,	123:10, 123:11, 166:14, 166:21,	NEW [3] - 1:1, 2:15,	153:9, 154:3, 156:17,
111:17, 111:19,	152:11, 152:16,	166:25, 167:5	177:1	156:20, 159:10,
111:20, 111:22,	152:21, 153:1, 153:7, 153:13, 153:20,	narrow [1] - 161:15	new [36] - 22:3, 22:4,	162:25
111:25, 112:2, 112:3, 112:4, 112:6, 112:9,	153:25, 154:7,	National [11] - 4:2,	22:8, 33:8, 33:20,	nine-month [1] -
112:4, 112:0, 112:9,	154:15, 155:18,	4:9, 10:23, 11:17,	41:15, 49:14, 75:21,	162:25
112:11, 112:13,	156:3, 156:9, 156:12,	11:19, 23:22, 25:8,	75:24, 92:3, 92:4,	NMAC [5] - 1:7, 6:20,
112:10, 112:24,	156:14, 156:22,	35:15, 43:13, 55:2,	92:9, 94:25, 95:8,	41:18, 79:11, 121:24
113:22, 114:16,	156:24, 157:9,	56:10	96:18, 98:1, 98:5,	NMED [3] - 9:11,
114:25, 115:5, 115:8,	158:24, 159:22,	native [6] - 8:23,	99:6, 99:10, 99:15,	11:5, 15:2
115:11, 115:22,	160:19, 164:25,	8:24, 9:23, 9:25, 68:8,	103:17, 111:15,	NMED's [3] - 105:13,
115:24, 116:24,	165:22, 166:2, 166:7,	71:23	111:18, 112:8,	138:21, 175:23
118:1, 121:1, 121:6,	167:1, 167:2, 167:3,	natural [12] - 29:22,	126:13, 129:6, 140:9,	NOI [3] - 46:5, 82:20,
121:13, 121:18,	167:4, 167:7, 167:14,	57:6, 59:17, 61:21,	140:11, 140:19, 141:3, 141:7, 141:11,	82:22
122:1, 122:8, 122:11,	167:21, 167:22,	67:22, 146:16,		non [18] - 7:23, 8:6,
122:15, 122:20,	168:4, 168:10,	146:19, 147:2, 147:6,	141:12, 141:17, 142:6, 151:14	8:23, 56:9, 56:19, 57:10, 59:24, 60:9,
122:25, 123:9, 124:5,	168:21, 168:25,	165:10, 165:18,	New [78] - 1:18, 2:13,	60:15, 60:19, 61:5,
124:12, 125:2,	170:18, 170:20,	171:13	2:18, 3:6, 3:12, 3:17,	61:12, 75:15, 93:20,
125:12, 125:15,	170:25, 172:21	naturally [9] - 51:23,	3:22, 4:5, 4:10, 6:19,	106:22, 107:9,
125:18, 125:19,	multiple [14] - 12:17,	57:14, 59:22, 60:3,	6:21, 6:25, 8:16,	107:12, 170:13
125:23, 126:1, 126:6,	12:18, 69:15, 127:21,	61:1, 61:14, 112:14,	10:14, 15:20, 16:8,	non-101(a)(2 [1] -
126:12, 126:23,	128:11, 128:19,	163:9, 165:13	16:22, 19:3, 19:6,	90:14
127:6, 127:14,	129:16, 129:22,	nature [4] - 56:1,	19:7, 20:24, 21:3,	non-coastal [1] -
127:20, 127:24,	130:5, 130:11,	65:22, 72:8, 81:24	21:22, 23:9, 24:13,	93:20
128:9, 128:13, 129:4,	130:23, 131:17,	near [4] - 43:10,	24:18, 25:7, 26:24,	non-fishable/non-
129:19, 130:3, 130:9,	165:11	43:23, 66:13, 116:8	26:25, 27:4, 27:17,	swimmable [2] -
130:16, 130:25,	municipal [2] - 25:3,	necessarily [4] -	27:18, 28:18, 29:3,	106:22, 107:12
131:8, 131:14,	40:6	83:7, 89:6, 165:16,	30:19, 31:6, 31:12,	non-fishable/
131:19, 132:4, 132:9,	municipalities [7] -	167:3		
		1		

swimmable [1] - 75:15 non-native [1] - 8:23 non-perennial [9] -56:9, 56:19, 57:10, 59:24, 60:9, 60:15, 60:19, 61:5, 61:12 non-Section [1] -107:9 non-technical [3] -7:23, 8:6, 170:13 none [3] - 10:7, 18:14, 175:20 nonetheless [3] -128:6, 145:24, 147:15 Northeast [1] - 2:12 Northwest [1] -67:12 **NOTARY** [1] - 177:18 **note** [7] - 6:8, 10:7, 13:8, 14:5, 104:11, 133:17, 173:13 **noted** [3] - 40:24, 75:17, 159:12 notes [5] - 84:4, 86:15, 88:2, 108:9, 122:13 nothing [2] - 87:20, 95.11 notice [19] - 11:11, 11:25, 12:1, 14:24, 15:16. 25:22. 37:1. 37:7. 41:23. 58:11. 69:12. 82:12. 104:12. 137:17, 137:19, 137:22, 138:5, 149:17 noticed [1] - 52:7 notices [7] - 12:18, 36:3, 36:13, 58:5, 58:8, 69:1, 69:2 November [6] -53:19, 54:10, 69:8, 70:13, 85:25, 160:21 nowhere [1] - 94:2 **NPDES** [20] - 9:10, 43:14, 47:8, 48:1, 50:24, 56:12, 58:20, 62:9, 115:15, 115:17, 115:20, 117:1, 117:5, 117:9, 125:1, 128:4, 138:22, 143:3, 143:19, 156:19 **NPDES-permitted** [3] - 56:12, 58:20, 62:9 Number [9] - 46:6, 64:23, 65:9, 77:7, 78:8, 93:10, 93:13, 105:7, 177:19 number [10] - 6:6, 32:23, 50:12, 63:25,

78:13, 79:7, 84:2,

106:4, 116:9, 122:3
numbers [3] - 29:19,
30:3, 41:19
numeric [2] - 29:14,
30:1
numerous [1] 70:10
nutrient [14] - 24:7,
64:8, 64:18, 121:23,
122:7, 122:10,
122:24, 123:7,
124:11, 124:25,
126:9, 126:22,
166:15, 166:20
Nutrient [1] - 24:13

0

oath [4] - 7:6, 8:9, 170:19, 172:16 Oath [1] - 22:22 object [5] - 12:8, 16:3, 17:20, 74:14, 104:5 objection [8] - 18:4, 77:14, 78:2, 91:5, 103:22, 103:24, 104:15, 128:13 objections [2] -17:16, 104:11 objectives [3] -21:25, 22:7, 26:23 **objects** [1] - 16:1 obligated [4] -150:25, 155:21, 156:1, 156:4 observations [1] -34:12 observed [8] - 84:6, 84:8, 84:18, 85:2, 85:9, 85:10, 85:15 obtain [1] - 7:14 obviate [1] - 152:23 obviously [1] - 143:5 occur [6] - 33:11, 80:25, 81:18, 84:11, 88:17, 137:9 occurred [5] - 17:6, 86:2, 116:18, 152:25, 153:3 occurring [8] - 34:9, 34:19, 35:3, 75:18, 81:4, 81:8, 88:11, 89.11 occurs [2] - 34:22, 61:19 October 151 - 1:14.

6:3, 52:15, 52:20,

144:10

1:13, 177:1, 177:3 offer [1] - 8:5 offered [4] - 17:14, 17:22, 17:23, 18:11 offering [1] - 13:25 offers [2] - 17:17, 84:19 Office [1] - 4:8 office [1] - 7:17 Officer [38] - 1:16, 6:12, 6:17, 10:13, 11:8, 13:17, 14:13, 16:6. 17:11. 18:3. 18:16, 18:25, 72:24, 73:4, 77:11, 99:19, 99:25, 103:3, 103:15, 104:18, 104:20, 105:23, 113:4, 113:24, 114:22, 115:9, 118:10, 119:1, 120:2, 120:4, 120:12. 128:14, 129:4, 154:17, 155:2, 165:23, 166:8, 169:24 **OFFICER** [1] - 2:9 officer [4] - 53:20, 63:25, 117:17, 177:4 often [1] - 84:10 oftentimes [1] -174:23 Ojo [1] - 84:4 Old [1] - 1:18 old [1] - 145:23 omission [2] -133:14, 148:23 omit [1] - 41:25 omitted [2] - 107:6, 134:6 once [12] - 13:9, 13:14, 14:3, 19:13, 33:2, 46:19, 104:22, 117:10, 138:25, 141:12, 165:8, 173:19 one [37] - 11:18, 15:19, 16:1, 17:3, 24:24, 29:1, 35:18, 46:3, 49:22, 58:18, 58:21, 58:22, 59:14, 70:6, 70:19, 87:23, 93:5, 94:10, 104:1, 114:16, 117:15, 119:12, 122:5, 147:12, 155:3, 166:2, 166:4, 166:19, 167:14, 167:16, 167:18, 167:19, 168:2, 168:5, 173:17, 175:20

OF [5] - 1:1, 1:5,

88:3. 170:12 opened [2] - 69:8, 160:21 opening [1] - 18:18 Opening [1] - 5:4 operate [1] - 163:5 operated [1] - 64:17 operator [1] - 35:14 operators [1] - 35:8 opinion [1] - 16:19 opportunities [1] -12:18 opportunity [5] - 7:3, 10:8, 20:19, 99:5, 166:13 opposed [2] - 12:24, 44:7 opposes [1] - 101:24 opposition [3] -11:23, 12:6, 18:12 option [1] - 102:8 options [2] - 35:18, 166:14 order [12] - 13:3, 14:15, 19:21, 29:11, 36:24, 112:20, 119:23, 131:5, 160:1, 167:9, 167:24 organized [1] - 27:23 original [23] - 38:11, 40:17, 42:12, 42:19, 44:3, 46:12, 50:18, 51:6, 77:9, 78:1, 78:12, 97:15, 106:22, 130:1, 130:7, 130:22, 132:2, 132:25, 133:4, 139:23, 141:10, 141:14, 148:16 originals [1] - 15:8 otherwise [1] - 30:13 outgrowth [1] -16:25 outline [2] - 53:17, 71:17 outlined [1] - 137:21 outlines [1] - 45:8 outreach [3] - 35:4,

35:21, 36:1

163.6

22:2

165:21

outside [5] - 11:21,

51:18, 64:1, 65:12,

outstanding [1] -

overall [1] - 175:15

overprotects [1] -

overnight [1] -

ongoing [2] - 118:13,

open [4] - 58:7, 69:5,

118:18

57:21

oversaw [1] - 24:12

oversight [3] - 53:5,
88:5, 116:14

overview [4] - 26:9,
26:11, 41:12, 173:14

own [10] - 9:10, 9:17,
26:6, 55:21, 80:24,
81:13, 83:14, 84:24,
84:25, 158:10

owned [2] - 85:18,
156:20

Р

PA[4] - 3:4, 3:16, 3:21, 4:4 pack [1] - 154:22 **PAGE** [1] - 5:1 page [29] - 77:7, 77:10, 78:9, 78:16, 78:18, 79:7, 79:22, 83:9, 86:13, 92:7, 93:8, 98:8, 99:14, 102:6, 105:8, 106:3, 109:8, 109:19, 109:20, 110:8, 121:19, 121:24, 122:3, 129:23, 133:3, 133:8. 149:4. 149:22 Pam [1] - 15:5 Panel [4] - 5:15, 5:16, 5:17, 5:18 panel [4] - 21:21, 38:22, 73:11, 123:1 paragraph [11] -41:19, 49:7, 49:14, 49:25, 50:3, 50:5, 136:2, 136:24, 137:16, 137:17, 137:21 paragraphs [3] -49:19, 49:25, 50:7 parameter [3] -100:19, 127:12 paraphrasing [1] -144:4 Park [1] - 55:2 park [1] - 85:22 PARKHOMENKO [1] - 3:4 Parkhomenko [1] -11:1 Parkway [1] - 2:12 part [15] - 7:16, 25:22, 55:15, 56:8, 66:12, 105:13, 116:3, 130:15, 136:16,

142:17, 152:13,

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

ones [1] - 164:7

157:11, 159:1, 162:13, 165:16 Part [1] - 19:7 participating [1] -13:5 participation [9] -35:23, 36:17, 68:23, 136:21, 137:3, 137:9, 137:16, 137:23, 138:4 particular [22] - 6:12, 12:10, 16:1, 21:14, 30:2, 57:13, 58:3, 59:16, 85:21, 86:23, 101:23, 126:15, 127:17, 129:17, 131:24, 156:21, 158:18, 162:18, 164:18, 169:6, 174:3 particularly [3] -59:24, 60:8, 61:11 parties [17] - 7:22, 8:1, 13:5, 13:6, 13:12, 16:14, 17:10, 18:1, 40:18, 58:9, 65:12, 72:20, 104:4, 104:9, 114:24, 177:11, 177:14 parties' [2] - 69:3, 119:22 Partnership [2] -70:1, 171:3 parts [1] - 11:3 party [3] - 11:13, 13:24, 113:11 Paseo [4] - 3:5, 3:11, 3:22, 4:5 passed [2] - 28:24, 171:12 past [4] - 20:23, 24:4, 117:8, 162:6 path [2] - 168:6, 168:9 pathogen [1] - 29:21 pathogens [1] -29:22 pathology [1] - 39:21 pathway [1] - 151:12 Patten [14] - 21:22, 22:24, 71:16, 71:18, 113:7, 113:18, 113:19, 114:2, 114:5, 115:11, 119:2, 119:19, 120:6, 120:8 **PATTEN** [10] - 5:13, 71:11, 115:18, 115:23, 116:22, 116:25, 118:16, 119:5, 119:11, 120:9 Pattison [2] - 118:25, 157:4

PATTISON [12] - 2:7, 118:24, 119:1, 119:10, 119:17, 157:5, 157:23, 158:1, 158:6, 158:13, 158:21. 159:5 PC [1] - 2:11 Peabody [3] - 11:10, 11:11, 13:9 **Pecos** [7] - 56:15, 58:17, 62:17, 62:23, 86:13, 86:14, 88:1 pending [3] - 53:2, 116:10, 147:14 Penn [1] - 64:11 people [2] - 160:3, 160:8 per [5] - 140:21, 145:4, 149:11, 149:12, 150:9 Peralta [3] - 3:5, 3:22, 4:5 perennial [16] - 56:9, 56:14, 56:19, 56:23, 57:2, 57:10, 59:24, 60:9, 60:15, 60:19, 61:5, 61:6, 61:9, 61:12, 165:14 perform [2] - 106:18, 163:6 performing [1] -84:11 perhaps [5] - 18:6, 89:6, 95:13, 113:10, 152:1 period [13] - 25:1, 36:9, 52:2, 52:7, 58:8, 58:11, 69:7, 69:14, 139:4, 139:12, 139:21, 163:15, 164.12 periods [1] - 37:14 permit [40] - 9:10, 35:20, 43:23, 44:20, 47:16, 48:1, 115:15, 115:17, 115:20, 116:7, 116:13, 117:1, 117:5, 117:9, 123:11, 123:22, 124:4, 125:1, 127:25, 128:1, 128:5, 139:2, 139:6, 139:7, 139:10, 140:4, 140:6, 140:14, 140:16, 140:20, 142:17, 143:3, 143:12, 143:15, 157:20, 160:20, 166:22, 167:6, 172:8 permits [20] - 9:12, 25:7, 25:9, 35:16,

40:5, 43:15, 47:8, 47:10, 48:5, 50:24, 138:23, 142:24, 143:5, 143:19, 143:23, 156:6, 156:19, 171:24, 174:7 permitted [6] - 25:5. 56:11. 56:12. 58:20. 62:9, 123:9 permittees [1] - 25:6 permitting [7] -35:19, 123:12, 125:22, 128:2, 145:8, 145:10, 148:24 Perrotte [2] - 170:19, 171:1 PERROTTE [5] -5:19, 170:18, 170:20, 170:21, 170:25 person [2] - 7:8, 136:24 personal [1] - 83:22 personally [1] -177:7 personnel [3] -83:17, 83:20, 84:10 persons [2] - 7:4, 7:7 perspective [1] -160:25 pertaining [1] -15:23 petition [39] - 22:8, 32:2, 36:22, 38:9, 38:13, 40:17, 40:20, 42:5, 44:11, 46:14, 48:20, 49:22, 77:4, 77:8, 77:9, 77:17, 77:20, 78:1, 78:12, 79:21, 80:23, 92:14, 97:2, 102:5, 103:19, 106:10, 106:11, 108:25, 117:11, 127:23, 128:18, 132:7, 135:7, 135:24, 136:10. 136:24. 144:11, 166:17, 168:12 petitioned [1] - 36:20 petitioner [16] -18:15, 46:2, 46:7, 46:14, 124:19, 124:21, 124:22, 127:15, 128:8, 130:9, 131:3, 132:4, 132:9, 132:25, 136:1, 137:15 petitions [1] - 38:11 **pH** [26] - 144:7, 144:20, 144:22, 145:2, 145:17, 145:21, 146:2, 146:6,

146:8, 146:9, 146:14, 146:19, 146:22, 147:2, 147:5, 147:6, 147:11, 147:12, 147:13, 147:20, 148:7, 150:5, 150:7, 151:19, 151:25 phase [1] - 36:2 PhD [1] - 64:7 phone [1] - 7:20 **pHs** [1] - 146:16 physical [1] - 28:3 physiology [1] -39:21 pick [1] - 170:1 picture [2] - 158:14, 158:18 piece [1] - 12:10 **pinpoint** [1] - 133:9 **PINTADO** [183] - 5:7, 39:2, 78:6, 78:10, 79:14, 79:19, 80:4, 80:8, 80:13, 80:19, 81:5, 82:1, 83:3, 83:7, 86:1, 91:12, 92:8, 93:11, 93:14, 93:17, 94:1, 94:6, 94:10, 94:15, 95:10, 95:15, 95:21, 96:1, 96:4, 96:7, 96:12, 96:21, 96:25, 97:4, 97:10, 97:17, 97:24, 98:3, 98:6, 98:16, 99:7, 99:11, 100:14, 100:17, 100:25, 101:5, 101:10, 101:19, 101:22, 102:2, 102:10, 102:14, 102:18, 102:25, 105:18, 105:22, 106:12, 112:2, 112:4, 116:24, 118:1, 121:1, 121:6, 121:13, 121:18, 122:1, 122:8, 122:11, 122:15, 122:20, 122:25, 124:5, 125:15, 125:18, 125:23, 126:1, 126:6, 126:12, 126:23, 127:6, 127:14, 127:20, 127:24, 128:9, 129:19, 130:3, 130:9, 130:16, 130:25, 131:8, 131:14, 131:19, 132:4, 132:9, 132:18, 133:1. 133:12. 133:15, 133:20, 133:23, 134:10,

134:23, 135:3, 135:6, 135:13, 135:17, 135:20, 136:4, 136:6, 136:10, 136:16, 136:23, 137:11, 137:15, 138:2, 138:10, 138:14, 138:18. 138:24. 139:4, 139:8, 139:12, 139:16, 139:25, 140:6, 140:13, 140:23, 141:5, 141:16, 141:22, 142:1, 142:6, 142:9, 142:12, 142:17, 142:21, 143:1, 143:13, 143:16, 143:20, 143:24, 144:12. 144:15. 144:18, 144:23, 145:3, 145:9, 145:13, 145:22, 146:3, 148:9, 148:12, 149:2, 149:9, 149:14, 149:20, 149:23, 149:25, 150:14, 150:17, 150:20, 150:23, 151:5, 151:7, 151:13, 151:21, 152:1, 152:11, 152:16, 152:21, 153:1, 153:7, 153:13, 153:20, 153:25, 154:7, 155:18, 156:3, 156:9, 156:12, 157:9, 168:4, 168:21 Pintado [20] - 21:9, 22:23, 33:16, 38:2, 39:9, 41:23, 51:25, 53:7, 77:5, 79:3, 79:6, 95:19, 99:5, 100:12, 120:24, 126:3, 144:9, 147:23, 152:5, 155:14 Pintado's [7] - 77:6, 78:8, 78:22, 92:7, 93:7, 105:24, 128:19 piscicide [14] - 8:21, 11:23, 12:6, 21:12, 21:24, 22:10, 38:1, 41:20, 41:24, 72:10, 72:12, 116:8, 119:14, 120:17 piscicides [5] - 8:19, 116:14, 116:15, 118:13, 118:14 pivot [1] - 144:3 place [8] - 42:12, 66:17, 99:13, 116:13, 122:10, 122:12,

131:25, 167:8

placement [1] -43:12 places [2] - 9:16, 175.5 plan [38] - 46:11, 49:21, 49:22, 49:24, 128:8, 128:10, 129:15, 129:19, 130:4, 130:6, 131:6, 131:10, 131:16, 131:22, 131:25, 132:7, 132:10, 132:15, 132:17, 132:24, 135:1, 135:5, 135:7, 135:11, 135:16, 136:1, 136:6, 136:10, 136:15, 136:16, 137:25, 138:3, 138:7, 140:20, 141:2, 141:21, 168:11, 169:2 planning [3] - 24:10, 24:17, 169:12 plans [2] - 174:21, 174:22 plant [6] - 39:20, 131:21, 156:25, 157:1, 157:2 plants [4] - 35:8, 35:10, 123:16, 156:20 **play** [2] - 27:16, 123:23 **playa** [7] - 119:3, 119:8, 119:12, 158:13, 158:19, 159:1, 159:3 plus [1] - 9:14 PM [4] - 1:19, 6:5, 103:6, 176:3 PO [2] - 3:17, 4:9 Point [3] - 25:3, 35:7, 35:13 point [14] - 25:6, 41:17, 46:16, 79:5, 97:22, 118:7, 119:12, 119:13, 125:16, 135:14, 154:21, 162:4, 163:11, 170:11 pointing [1] - 135:23 points [6] - 128:12, 129:17, 129:22, 130:6, 131:18, 132:12 policies [2] - 35:20, 128:2 policy [1] - 141:21 pollutant [8] - 42:11, 46:8, 47:3, 100:19, 126:16, 127:11, 131:2, 139:20 Pollutant [4] - 25:8,

35:16, 43:14, 56:10 pollutants [1] - 28:6 polluters [2] -171:25, 172:7 pollution [5] - 19:17, 40:1, 161:13, 161:18, 172.8 ponds [1] - 175:6 pools [1] - 83:13 Pope [1] - 173:14 populations [1] -8:24 portion [4] - 20:24, 55:20. 55:23. 80:24 portions [1] - 108:25 pose [2] - 76:14, 76:19 position [25] - 12:2, 12:22, 15:2, 23:7, 39:8, 39:12, 52:17, 63:17, 64:11, 76:20, 80:5, 80:11, 81:2, 90:23, 93:6, 95:6, 97:18, 101:17, 110:24, 111:7, 111:15, 111:16, 111:18, 121:15, 146:5 positions [6] - 11:11, 24:3, 54:22, 55:4, 64:16, 171:2 possibility [1] - 88:9 possible [7] - 51:25, 81:8, 93:4, 130:2, 130:8, 130:23, 133:5 possibly [3] - 81:21, 92:24, 170:1 post [1] - 85:24 post-1975 [1] - 86:8 postdoctoral [1] -64.9 posted [2] - 58:11, 68:25 potential [3] - 89:18, 101:7, 101:8 potentially [4] -14:10, 114:3, 114:7, 146:12 poverty [1] - 173:17 Power [1] - 173:5 practicable [1] - 29:2 preamble [1] - 97:12 precious [1] - 173:19 precipitation [2] -162:15, 163:18 preference [1] - 44:6 preferences [1] prefiled [7] - 25:14,

25:16, 25:25, 40:25,

49:4, 64:20, 65:16

prehearing [3] -11:6, 18:13, 35:25 preliminary [1] -14.23 premise [1] - 141:8 preparation [3] -15:13, 128:7, 154:4 prepare [14] - 25:17, 36:2, 38:4, 40:9, 46:2, 55:8, 62:8, 62:16, 65:2, 72:2, 103:7, 132:14, 136:1, 140:20 prepared [10] -14:11, 14:13, 24:9, 38:8, 41:6, 62:20, 65:7, 82:13, 82:18, 129:7 preponderance [1] -67:16 present [8] - 6:9, 6:10, 12:19, 21:10, 21:17, 69:11, 171:20, 171:23 presentation [1] -175:24 presented [5] - 9:3, 26:22, 36:18, 36:23, 83:25 presenting [7] -7:22, 21:4, 56:4, 65:6, 66:1, 68:2, 69:19 preserve [1] - 27:22 presumably [1] -150:19 presumption [9] -30:10, 31:11, 31:23, 80:9, 90:7, 108:15, 111:21, 112:8, 153:15 pretty [1] - 164:4 prevent [3] - 9:5, 59:18, 61:23 previous [4] - 9:3, 73:15, 98:13, 163:19 previously [8] -25:22, 30:7, 41:4, 65:5, 91:16, 95:8, 110:18, 149:5 primary [50] - 28:12, 30:21, 34:2, 34:5, 34:16, 34:22, 35:12, 73:16, 73:20, 74:19, 75:9, 75:17, 75:22, 76:2, 76:6, 76:14, 76:24, 78:25, 79:10, 80:1, 80:11, 80:16, 81:22, 82:7, 86:16, 86:21, 87:2, 87:3, 87:9, 87:14, 89:11, 90:9, 92:16, 93:21, 93:25, 107:24, 152:9,

153:3, 153:4, 153:10, 153:17, 153:22, 154:3, 156:18, 159:11, 159:18, 159:24, 160:9 principle [1] - 171:12 private [2] - 37:16, 85:21 privately [1] - 85:18 probability [2] -88:17, 89:16 problem [16] - 66:23, 73:2, 100:10, 114:8, 123:12, 123:16, 123:17, 123:21, 146:13, 146:22, 147:4, 147:5, 147:8, 148:17, 148:18, 169:16 procedure [13] -41:15, 42:5, 42:25, 43:4, 43:8, 44:13, 44:24, 45:12, 46:1, 50:17, 102:2, 137:4, 167:11 procedures [7] -8:25. 9:6. 22:8. 22:12. 42:23, 45:15, 51:17 proceed [6] - 22:17, 22:19, 38:23, 77:25, 106:14, 120:10 **Proceed** [1] - 106:7 proceeding [3] -14:1, 17:8, 64:21 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:13 Proceedings [1] -176:3 proceedings [1] -11:3 process [26] - 9:17, 9:22. 11:22. 26:11. 32:14, 32:16, 36:15, 45:8, 46:4, 46:16, 51:15, 51:16, 52:25, 112:13, 112:17, 112:19, 117:8, 117:24, 125:22, 138:3, 142:18. 151:14, 151:15, 165:17, 167:9, 168:24 processes [2] - 9:14, 50:14 professional [5] -53:17, 54:14, 64:4, 64:22, 71:17 professor [2] - 64:14 program [3] - 24:23, 25:11, 64:17

Program [1] - 24:13

programs [1] - 40:1 progress [8] - 44:16, 46:22, 50:1, 97:14, 97:21, 122:24, 123:6, 137:18 projects [7] - 43:15, 44:16, 50:25, 131:20, 131:23, 134:16, 134:17 prolonged [1] -76:11 promoted [1] - 24:15 promulgate [1] -20:21 propagation [2] -20:7, 27:12 proper [4] - 66:16, 66:17, 71:5, 172:4 properly [2] - 12:23, 68:9 proponent [2] -131:6, 142:13 Proposal [6] - 55:16, 55:21, 56:13, 62:25, 65:7, 65:15 proposal [55] -11:23, 12:7, 21:24, 26:17, 35:5, 35:9, 41:14, 42:13, 43:12, 44:9, 45:8, 46:2, 48:7, 48:18, 48:20, 50:10, 50:23, 58:19, 58:22, 68:2, 87:1, 95:18, 96:9, 97:2, 101:2, 101:15, 102:12, 120:25, 121:3, 121:16, 123:6, 124:2, 124:20, 125:6, 127:10, 128:22, 129:11, 133:15, 137:14, 138:17, 140:22, 141:7, 144:2, 144:3, 144:25, 152:8, 152:12, 158:18, 159:3, 159:4, 162:8, 164:19, 167:11, 171:19, 172:7 proposals [17] -21:12, 26:22, 36:1, 36:12, 36:18, 37:23, 38:1, 39:13, 51:1, 64:1, 66:5, 66:9, 67:10, 68:24, 69:19, 119:2, 128:23 **propose** [3] - 36:5, 68:11, 68:13 proposed [50] - 8:20, 9:25, 16:10, 16:24, 20:17, 20:25, 21:4, 35:12, 35:14, 36:8,

36:19, 36:23, 36:24, 37:11, 37:21, 41:7, 42:4, 43:8, 45:18, 48:2, 51:9, 56:2, 59:9, 60:2, 62:10, 62:18, 65:23, 66:2, 71:5, 72:10, 72:12, 73:15, 75:19. 95:23. 97:19. 98:18, 111:1, 111:10, 116:25, 118:3, 127:22, 131:11, 131:16, 133:11, 135:1, 136:13, 136:18, 138:21, 155:23, 156:1 **PROPOSED** [1] - 1:5 proposes [4] - 22:8, 35:1, 51:23, 73:20 proposing [4] - 34:1, 101:7, 158:18, 174:22 protect [15] - 20:1, 27:1, 27:7, 27:21, 28:10, 29:12, 86:24, 87:21, 152:24, 159:23, 159:25, 171:9, 171:13, 172:2, 175:8 protected [2] -28:20, 28:22 protecting [1] -93:20 Protection [1] -20:14 protection [6] -27:12, 28:25, 42:14, 54:24, 153:5, 153:15 protections [1] -153:10 protective [11] -10:2, 16:23, 25:6, 32:25, 57:13, 144:20, 144:21, 145:19, 146:1, 147:17, 149:1 protectors [1] -171:16 protocol [11] - 21:13, 21:18, 32:14, 51:13, 52:3, 56:6, 56:25, 60:6, 112:17, 158:12, 162:12 protocols [3] -24:20, 152:2, 162:13 **prove** [1] - 160:1 provide [21] - 7:3, 10:5, 10:8, 22:3, 26:11, 27:6, 45:10, 51:4, 52:2, 57:4, 58:24, 59:13, 70:14, 85:1, 85:19, 97:20, 116:16, 126:8, 128:7,

46:22, 61:24, 70:10, 73:17, 76:21, 77:3, 81:14. 81:24. 82:2. 82:5, 83:1, 83:2, 83:6, 83:8. 83:19. 84:15. 85:10, 85:14, 86:19, 89:10, 91:25, 92:10, 97:12, 149:15, 170:23, 172:19 **provides** [9] - 27:12, 27:13, 43:12, 61:8, 74:5, 76:21, 89:25, 135:15, 136:14 providing [5] - 72:5, 122:5, 124:2, 153:21, 167:12 proving [1] - 74:19 provision [11] -21:12, 47:14, 125:6, 126:4, 128:7, 133:19, 137:23, 142:15, 142:23, 144:4, 166:11 provisional [1] -103:24 provisions [7] -27:22, 41:21, 94:16, 127:5, 143:8, 143:10, 155:3 **pubically** [1] - 88:2 **Public** [4] - 5:3, 5:20, 5:22, 171:11 **public** [80] - 7:16, 7:23, 8:2, 8:3, 8:6, 8:13, 9:10, 9:17, 10:6, 10:8, 19:12, 19:20, 20:2, 24:11, 26:21, 27:2, 27:7, 28:10, 28:18, 29:23, 35:22, 36:3, 36:4, 36:8, 36:9, 36:11, 36:13, 36:17, 36:21, 37:14, 37:22, 37:24, 43:6, 45:8, 46:18, 52:2, 52:10, 54:3, 55:9, 58:5, 58:8, 58:11, 68:23, 69:1, 69:5, 69:6, 69:7, 69:9, 69:12, 69:14, 69:16, 83:13, 104:14, 114:4, 118:2, 136:20, 137:3, 137:8, 137:12, 137:16, 137:17, 137:19, 137:24, 138:4, 138:9, 138:16, 151:23, 155:3, 159:23, 160:20, 170:1, 170:13, 170:23, 171:14,

167:11, 175:19

provided [30] - 8:13,

15:17, 31:9, 32:16,

172:13, 172:19, 174:21, 174:23, 175:18 **PUBLIC** [4] - 8:14, 170:24, 172:20, 177:18 publicly [3] - 37:19, 52:7, 156:19 publish [1] - 155:22 **published** [6] - 36:7, 36:11, 37:2, 37:9, 58:9, 69:1 Pueblo [3] - 3:11, 54:20, 54:21 pull [1] - 11:20 purely [1] - 118:8 purpose [6] - 19:1, 27:10, 31:5, 88:10, 89:17, 108:23 purposes [19] -19:17, 20:3, 20:8, 20:9, 27:3, 27:9, 30:16, 62:7, 76:16, 104:24, 144:8, 145:6, 145:16, 145:20, 146:2, 148:2, 149:7, 169:13, 175:9 pursuant [2] - 7:2, 131:6 purview [1] - 132:5 put [2] - 15:5, 138:5 putting [1] - 148:17

Q

quagga [1] - 72:1 qualify [2] - 167:16, 167:19 quality [139] - 19:5, 19:6, 19:14, 19:19, 19:22, 20:2, 20:18, 20:21, 20:25, 21:10, 22:9, 24:11, 24:18, 26:12, 26:18, 26:24, 27:1, 27:2, 27:4, 27:5, 27:7, 27:8, 27:11, 27:17, 27:18, 27:19, 27:22, 27:23, 27:24, 28:9, 29:3, 29:5, 29:7, 29:8, 29:10, 29:11, 29:13, 29:17, 31:7, 31:22, 33:5, 33:6, 33:9, 33:17, 34:7, 34:10, 35:19, 39:10, 39:14, 40:1, 40:4, 42:6, 42:11, 43:13, 43:23, 44:4, 44:15, 44:17, 44:25, 45:3, 45:5, 45:11, 45:15, 45:19, 46:17, 47:13,

47:19, 47:25, 49:6, 50:23, 51:5, 51:11, 53:19, 53:23, 54:1, 55:4, 56:3, 57:9, 57:20, 60:13, 63:21, 63:25, 64:1, 65:24, 66:20, 66:25, 68:7, 68:11. 75:14. 88:21. 90:1, 93:19, 93:23, 95:24, 96:13, 96:16, 96:19, 96:22, 96:23, 97:13, 97:16, 97:21, 98:1, 99:8, 99:16, 100:17, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 102:1, 102:20, 102:21, 102:23, 121:7, 121:8, 123:20, 126:13, 126:14, 128:4, 132:19, 134:12, 137:2, 138:2, 139:18, 140:16, 147:19, 149:1, 149:6, 151:23, 155:15, 156:3, 169:2, 171:7, 171:21, 172:1, 172:3, 173:7, 173:9 **QUALITY** [2] - 1:2, Quality [39] - 1:16,

19:25, 21:7, 22:21, 23:10, 24:1, 26:25, 27:3, 39:11, 39:25, 43:2, 45:4, 51:3, 52:23, 52:24, 53:21, 55:17, 63:17, 65:9, 65:10, 85:24, 86:8, 89:21, 89:25, 90:24, 91:5, 92:13, 103:10, 107:4, 145:6, 145:20, 171:1 quantifies [1] -162:16 quantities [2] -76:13, 88:18 quantity [3] - 29:23, 171:8, 173:8

6:4, 6:19, 6:25, 7:1,

19:3, 19:15, 19:16,

76:13, 88:18 quantity [3] - 29:23, 171:8, 173:8 questioning [2] -18:22, 155:19 questions [33] -13:16, 17:18, 38:18, 73:7, 95:19, 103:2, 112:25, 113:11, 113:16, 114:1, 114:5, 114:9, 114:10, 114:14, 114:20, 115:3, 119:19, 120:6,

128:15, 144:2, 154:9,

154:11, 154:13, 154:19, 155:8, 155:10, 159:5, 159:9, 165:25, 166:9, 169:19, 170:2, 170:7 queue [1] - 14:11 quick [2] - 155:13, 159:8 quicker [1] - 14:3 quite [3] - 17:13, 147:24, 173:12 quote [6] - 109:17, 121:20, 133:4, 133:6, 149:5, 174:25 quoted [5] - 16:14, 109:18, 109:22, 110:8, 110:13 quotes [1] - 133:18

R

rafting [5] - 84:5, 84:15, 84:18, 84:19, 85:2 rain [2] - 163:24, 163:25 raise [2] - 8:7, 145:18 raised [1] - 32:9 range [1] - 163:6 rather [2] - 108:9, 172:1 rationale [1] - 147:25 reached [3] - 13:6, 35:8, 62:22 reactions [1] - 28:4 read [5] - 16:17, 76:7. 93:15. 100:16. 130:17 reading [1] - 131:10 reads [2] - 29:21, 101:12 ready [1] - 13:13 real [1] - 57:19 really [13] - 9:22, 12:22, 13:13, 114:13, 122:11, 124:17, 153:16, 163:24, 168:14, 171:5, 173:5, 175:3, 175:7 reason [6] - 97:22, 101:23, 119:6, 133:13, 143:17, 166:19 reasonable [2] - 7:3, 168:24 reasons [1] - 166:19 rebut [1] - 12:22

rebuttable [9] -

46:22, 50:1, 51:22,

52:4, 52:10, 52:12,

52:15, 52:20, 53:1

reporter [1] - 7:15

Reporters [1] - 7:14

represent [2] - 11:2,

30:10, 31:11, 31:23, 103:12, 104:23, 172:5 refinement [2] -80:8, 90:7, 108:15, 105:3, 105:13, 106:6, 67:11, 68:3 rejected [2] - 111:14, 111:20, 112:8, 153:15 106:20, 107:14, refining [1] - 50:15 150:19 Rebuttal [6] - 81:24, 108:13, 109:22, rejection [2] reflect [2] - 22:3, 82:6, 82:11, 83:10, 109:23, 110:2, 110:7, 145:15, 150:22 100:20 92:11, 109:13 110:9, 110:10, refresh [1] - 160:14 relate [5] - 26:12, rebuttal [11] - 12:20, 110:18, 110:21, refused [2] - 107:16, 29:8, 30:7, 31:11, 111:22, 112:6, 177:9 14:14, 17:5, 83:1, 128:23 107:20 recorded [2] - 7:13, 83:2, 83:3, 83:4, 83:8, regard [8] - 75:3, related [14] - 21:8, 109:12, 129:7, 129:8 177:8 83:11, 85:17, 86:12, 26:14, 28:11, 28:14, records [3] - 34:11, receive [3] - 37:10, 87:25, 89:1, 93:6, 35:4, 39:14, 39:17, 68:7, 105:13 58:13, 69:18 109:7 42:20, 53:24, 55:21, received [19] - 11:25, recoverable [2] -59:7, 147:11, 164:22, regarding [19] -144:6, 150:9 12:21, 37:13, 37:18, 16:10, 16:20, 17:5, 177:11 48:7, 52:14, 58:18, recreation [20] relates [2] - 14:17, 56:2, 58:23, 65:7, 58:21, 58:25, 59:3, 22:10, 27:13, 28:13, 161:9 65:14, 65:23, 66:6, 62:3, 69:20, 69:23, 30:21, 34:2, 34:3, relative [3] - 141:25, 69:24, 71:4, 120:17, 69:25, 70:12, 70:15, 34:6, 34:8, 34:17, 162:17, 177:13 126:4, 128:19, 70:20, 75:8, 75:11 34:19, 34:22, 57:25, 129:10, 135:1, 146:6, release [2] - 69:6, receiving [7] -75:17, 81:7, 81:20, 149:17, 161:4 161:3 85:19, 89:14, 93:21, 110:17, 112:6, released [2] - 93:17, regimes [3] - 28:4, 139:11, 144:7, 150:6, 94:23, 159:24 67:22, 161:11 93.18 150:8, 151:18 recreational [11] -Region [10] - 61:18, releases [1] - 36:13 20:8, 26:17, 35:2, recent [8] - 38:6, 61:20, 61:24, 62:2, relevant [2] - 23:16, 49:5, 67:25, 74:4, 76:10, 87:12, 88:15, 62:4, 70:10, 70:13, 128:21 74:8, 95:23, 98:13, 88:19, 88:23, 93:9, 70:23, 111:23, 123:18 relies [2] - 66:15, 160:23 93:18 region [2] - 124:15, 83:16 rectified [1] - 116:12 recently [1] - 74:8 162:18 **religious** [1] - 76:16 rectify [1] - 116:10 Recess [1] - 103:6 regional [2] - 68:16, rely [2] - 83:6, 127:18 recessed [1] - 176:3 reducing [1] - 139:20 68:19 relying [1] - 83:12 reduction [1] reclamation [1] -Register [4] - 36:12, remainder [1] -47:11 147:13 37:3, 98:8, 105:7 68:15 reclassification [1] reevaluated [1] regs [2] - 130:20, remains [4] - 33:21, 22:11 161:4 155:15 42:12, 42:20, 44:17 reevaluation [3] recognize [1] regular [1] - 36:21 remediation [1] -50:4, 70:5, 70:7 124:15 regularly [1] - 42:7 134:16 refer [10] - 13:3, recognized [1] regulated [2] remedy [3] - 146:14, 19:4, 30:13, 41:17, 32:23 122:21, 123:4 147:4, 148:17 56:12, 57:17, 93:8, recommend [4] -Regulation [2] remember [1] - 122:4 129:5, 150:24, 103:20, 116:15, 25:3, 35:7 REMEMBERED [1] -133:16 173:25, 175:11 regulation [4] -1:14 recommendation [1] reference [5] - 17:4, 31:22, 96:2, 98:2, remind [2] - 8:3, 13:6 105:15, 105:25, - 174·2 155:25 remiss [1] - 103:16 143:18, 148:25 recommendations regulations [27] removal [3] - 8:22, referenced [5] -[6] - 87:11, 93:19, 19:10, 26:20, 27:6, 32.1 49.13 94:12, 126:24, 127:7, 16:13, 104:3, 105:19, 28:21, 28:25, 30:9, removed [2] - 29:4, 105:20, 106:9 151:15 30:14, 30:22, 33:6, 48:24 recommended [6] references [3] -41:24, 44:5, 45:1, render [1] - 22:5 77:15, 143:19, 159:12 49:15, 52:13, 52:21, 45:9, 47:6, 47:17, renewed [3] - 139:6, referred [5] - 42:7, 102:6, 134:10, 149:18 47:23, 48:1, 49:11, 139:10, 169:15 82:25, 97:25, 103:18, recommends [1] -51:2, 59:16, 95:24, renumbered [1] -151:23 101:11, 108:14, 50:8 reconvene [1] - 6:3 referring [9] - 91:1, 116:17, 128:1, renumbering [1] record [37] - 6:8, 98:17, 105:3, 105:17, 140:15, 143:2 129:23, 148:23, 7:16, 10:11, 15:3, Regulations [1] - 7:1 **repetition** [1] - 7:12 149:4, 162:11, 168:17 15:5, 15:10, 31:16, regulatory [7] - 43:1, rephrase [2] - 91:2, refers [1] - 125:21 32:6, 32:10, 37:19, 43:18, 45:20, 49:6, 142:1 41:5, 55:13, 65:7, refine [1] - 59:10 50:13, 51:4, 62:7 replaced [1] - 29:6 65:19, 91:10, 98:23, refined [2] - 22:14, reiterate [1] - 60:1 replaces [1] - 48:21 103:5, 103:10, 43:21 reject [2] - 171:21, report [10] - 16:19,

166:13 representative [1] -165:18 representing [2] -10:19, 10:21 represents [1] -42:14 request [5] - 36:16, 36:25, 46:15, 69:4, 171:18 requests [1] - 50:6 require [14] - 9:7, 28:21, 28:25, 29:3, 30:15, 30:23, 33:6, 49:15, 59:4, 74:19, 74:24, 75:2, 134:17, 154:4 required [18] - 20:13, 28:20, 33:19, 50:3, 59:12, 59:13, 75:13, 75:15, 90:16, 91:22, 92:17, 117:6, 126:10, 129:20, 129:21, 137:4, 137:16, 156:6 requirement [6] -33:13, 48:24, 125:11, 138:13, 152:24, 167:24 requirements [14] -33:2, 35:22, 40:2, 43:3, 45:9, 45:18, 48:4, 48:10, 49:8, 49:24, 54:3, 128:1, 135:25, 153:9 requires [7] - 19:11, 130:1, 130:12, 130:17, 131:10, 138:3, 152:18 requiring [3] - 59:10, 110:20, 125:6 rescheduled [1] -12:16 research [5] - 23:21, 55:2, 64:14, 64:17, 171:22 researching [1] -54:2 Reservoir [3] -86:14, 86:15, 86:20 resolution [2] -11:14, 147:15 resolve [7] - 17:23, 22:1, 112:9, 112:12, 123:14, 150:2, 151:3

43:22, 47:14, 50:16, 149:15, 149:21, resolved [2] - 70:11, 25:18, 26:11, 31:13, 104:11, 113:24, 118:21 32:9, 33:6, 33:11, 154:13 122:23, 123:8, 149:24, 150:1, 33:12, 33:14, 33:16, Rose [1] - 10:20 123:19, 124:10, 150:15, 150:18, resolvina 131 -33:19, 34:15, 35:23, 124:17, 124:23, 150:21, 151:2, 151:6, 147:12, 147:13, 151:4 Rose's [2] - 18:5, 36:2, 36:15, 36:22, 104.16 125:13, 125:25, 151:8, 151:17, resource [2] - 54:20, 171:9 37:14, 44:8, 46:13, rule [36] - 9:25, 17:4, 126:1, 126:4 151:22, 152:4, 46:18, 46:24, 50:3, 152:12, 152:17, resources [1] -17:8, 26:20, 45:20, scheduling 131 -52:7. 69:13. 73:24. 13:3. 36:24. 165:24 152:22. 153:2. 153:8. 171:14 49:7, 72:11, 72:12, 74:9, 75:14, 75:15, 153:14, 153:21, respect [3] - 79:16, 96:18, 97:3, 97:7, Schlenker [2] - 5:17, 75:16, 75:24, 90:12, 154:2, 154:8, 155:2, 10:18 97:12, 98:2, 98:5, 79:22, 90:23 91:4, 91:18, 94:20, 155:7 SCHLENKER [138] -98:18, 98:19, 99:1, respectively [1] -98:24, 99:6, 107:4, Schlenker-99:6, 99:10, 99:15, 3:10, 10:17, 14:8, 107:15, 107:22, Goodrich [2] - 5:17, 99:22, 101:20, 15:15, 16:5, 99:19, responding [1] -108:13, 108:24, 103:17, 103:21, 99:24, 103:23, 10:18 54:3 109:1, 110:24, SCHLENKER-104:6, 104:12, 114:21, 120:7, response [5] - 38:6, 111:11, 111:12, 105:18, 129:1, 120:11, 120:21, **GOODRICH** [138] -59:2, 95:7, 107:23, 115:21, 120:20, 134:11, 137:4, 138:3, 120:22, 121:2, 121:8, 3:10, 10:17, 14:8, 124:9 127:6, 128:2, 136:7, 143:2, 155:23, 156:2 121:14, 121:19, 15:15, 16:5, 99:19, responses [5] -137:17, 137:18, Rule [2] - 7:1, 8:18 122:2, 122:9, 122:18, 99:24, 103:23, 37:18, 58:24, 59:4, 137:24, 138:8, 138:9, rule-making [3] -122:21, 123:2, 124:1, 114:21, 120:7, 65:13. 70:14 142:18, 173:12 17:8, 137:4, 138:3 124:6, 124:19, 125:4, 120:11, 120:21, responsibilities [3] reviewed [7] - 25:7, Rule-Making [1] -125:16, 125:20, 120:22, 121:2, 121:8, 53:17, 55:5, 71:17 34:12, 61:24, 63:2, 121:14, 121:19, 125:24, 126:3, 126:7, responsibility [1] -65:12, 99:7, 121:4 126:18, 127:4, 127:9, 122:2, 122:9, 122:18, rules [5] - 9:7, 24:7 reviewing [1] - 24:18 116:13. 117:2. 127:16, 127:21, 122:21, 123:2, 124:1, responsible [4] reviews [4] - 34:12, 128:6, 128:10, 124:6, 124:19, 125:4, 121:16, 140:14 19:18, 53:22, 61:16, 46:23, 50:6, 140:14 128:20, 129:9, 125:16, 125:20, 63:19 run [1] - 119:23 revise [2] - 50:14, 129:15, 129:21, 125:24, 126:3, 126:7, rest [2] - 67:2, Runnels [1] - 2:18 130:4, 130:14, 126:18, 127:4, 127:9, 114:23 **RWQC** [1] - 93:19 revised [6] - 38:9, 130:21, 131:4, 131:9, 127:16, 127:21, restate [1] - 106:24 131:15, 131:23, 52:12, 77:17, 77:20, 128:6, 128:10, S restoration [10] -132:6, 132:11, 94:25, 106:9 128:20, 129:9, 10:1. 43:15. 44:16. 132:22, 133:2, revising [1] - 19:19 129:15, 129:21, 44:21, 45:16, 47:10, safe [1] - 159:16 133:13, 133:17, 130:4, 130:14, revision [1] - 33:9 49:13, 50:24, 97:9, safely [1] - 9:2 133:21, 134:3, 130:21, 131:4, 131:9, revisions [9] - 20:12, 134:16 **Salt** [1] - 86:14 134:19, 134:24, 131:15, 131:23, 21:1, 32:8, 32:11, restore [2] - 8:24, San [14] - 3:14, 36:5, 40:4, 53:5, 135:4, 135:9, 135:14, 132:6, 132:11, 9.22 10:15, 13:10, 22:15, 135:18, 136:5, 136:8, 132:22, 133:2, 94:18, 94:20 result [9] - 11:13, 136:12, 136:18, 48:16, 49:10, 49:20, 133:13, 133:17, rights [1] - 54:24 41:23, 44:12, 48:15, 50:2, 69:16, 70:1, 137:5, 137:12, 133:21, 134:3, Rio [4] - 80:24, 67:21, 81:8, 112:18, 70:3, 70:4, 70:8, 73:6 137:22, 138:6, 134:19, 134:24, 83:11, 84:3, 84:20 139:5, 139:10 Sandia [1] - 54:20 138:11, 138:15, 135:4, 135:9, 135:14, Riparian [1] - 23:22 resulting [4] - 40:19, Santa [8] - 1:18, 138:19, 138:25, 135:18, 136:5, 136:8, risk [7] - 9:5, 76:13, 61:17, 150:10, 162:8 2:18, 3:6, 3:22, 4:5, 139:5, 139:9, 139:14, 136:12, 136:18, 80:24, 81:1, 81:13, results [7] - 51:21, 139:22, 140:1, 140:8, 137:5, 137:12, 37:5, 54:21 83:14, 89:18 52:6, 58:3, 151:9, Sarabia [5] - 55:18, 140:17, 140:24, 137:22, 138:6, river [2] - 37:14, 163:3, 163:8, 165:6 55:19, 62:21, 62:23, 141:6, 141:19, 138:11, 138:15, 81:17 resume [6] - 25:14, 65:11 141:23, 142:2, 142:8, 138:19, 138:25, River [15] - 22:15, 25:16, 40:7, 55:6, 142:10, 142:13, 139:5, 139:9, 139:14, Sarabia's [3] - 55:23, 56:15, 58:17, 62:17, 64:20, 64:22 139:22, 140:1, 140:8, 142:19, 142:22, 56:13, 65:15 62:24, 65:8, 66:13, returned [1] - 25:2 143:7, 143:14, 140:17, 140:24, satisfaction [1] -66:14, 67:12, 68:4, reverse [1] - 171:20 143:17. 143:21. 141:6, 141:19, 61:20 69:8, 86:13, 86:14, reverting [2] -143:25, 144:13, 141:23, 142:2, 142:8, **SAUCEDO** [1] - 2:11 88:1, 160:24 145:23, 174:2 144:16, 144:21, 142:10, 142:13, saw [1] - 84:15 rivers [4] - 27:20, **Review** [3] - 6:19, 144:24, 145:5, 142:19, 142:22, **SAYER** [1] - 2:5 56:24, 61:10, 172:9 19:2, 110:4 145:11, 145:15, 143:7, 143:14, schedule [4] - 47:15, role [2] - 27:16, review [70] - 6:21, 145:24, 146:4, 143:17, 143:21, 124:4. 124:14. 125:7 148:24 9:11, 9:14, 11:14, 146:21, 147:7, 143:25, 144:13, scheduled [2] -Room [1] - 1:17 11:21, 12:14, 19:12, 147:14, 147:23, 144:16, 144:21, 13:10, 14:6 **ROSE** [7] - 3:20, 19:13, 20:15, 21:6, 148:10. 148:22. 144:24, 145:5, schedules [13] -10:20, 14:12, 17:11, 22:1, 22:2, 22:13, 149:3, 149:10, 145:11, 145:15,

		-		
145:24, 146:4,	110:25, 111:9,	segment's [1] - 70:6	165:4	six [8] - 6:6, 69:22,
146:21, 147:7,	112:22, 152:14,	segment-specific [1]	shall [9] - 19:22,	79:8, 95:1, 162:24,
147:14, 147:23,	152:23, 153:19,	- 22:5	20:1, 20:4, 27:1, 29:4,	164:12, 168:2, 168:5
148:10, 148:22,	156:18, 159:11,	-	29:22, 92:6, 101:3,	six-month [1] -
149:3, 149:10,	160:2, 160:8	segments [62] - 21:15, 21:19, 26:18,	136:1	164:12
149:15, 149:21,				
	section [7] - 41:18,	27:24, 33:17, 33:24,	shellfish [1] - 27:13	skiing [1] - 76:12
149:24, 150:1,	66:12, 67:1, 99:8,	33:25, 34:7, 34:9,	Shelly [4] - 21:8,	slope [2] - 139:23,
150:15, 150:18,	125:12, 125:17,	35:3, 56:10, 57:23,	22:21, 22:22, 23:8	140:2
150:21, 151:2, 151:6,	129:16	59:7, 60:2, 62:6, 62:9,	SHELLY [2] - 5:5,	slow [1] - 9:22
151:8, 151:17,	Section [33] - 19:10,	62:13, 62:17, 62:23,	23:1	small [3] - 175:5,
151:22, 152:4,	20:13, 24:17, 24:24,	63:3, 67:19, 67:23,	shoot [1] - 116:1	175:6, 175:8
152:12, 152:17,	25:4, 25:9, 25:12,	67:24, 68:5, 71:5,	short [4] - 32:1,	snowmelt [1] -
152:22, 153:2, 153:8,	27:14, 31:6, 31:18,	73:14, 73:19, 73:23,	42:15, 73:1, 73:7	164:22
153:14, 153:21,	41:15, 41:21, 42:4,	74:6, 74:13, 75:3,	shorter [1] - 68:14	snowpack [2] -
154:2, 154:8, 155:2,	44:19, 45:4, 46:21,	75:9, 75:14, 75:18,	shorthand [1] -	164:11, 164:15
155:7	47:9, 51:8, 51:11,	76:22, 77:2, 79:17,	177:8	soaking [1] - 34:16
scholar [1] - 64:9	53:6, 79:8, 87:12,	80:20, 81:9, 81:19,	shortly [1] - 32:17	soil [3] - 64:18,
school [2] - 23:24,	92:5, 99:14, 107:9,	82:8, 84:13, 84:20,	shot [1] - 116:22	162:24, 163:23
25:1	108:6, 111:2, 111:10,	87:18, 90:10, 91:10,	show [2] - 76:2,	solely [1] - 83:12
science [7] - 23:17,	135:1, 136:23,	91:19, 92:14, 93:7,	112:20	solubility [1] -
23:18, 23:24, 25:2,	142:22, 144:3	95:1, 95:4, 106:19,	showing [4] - 75:21,	147:10
39:19, 39:20	Sections [5] - 40:22,	108:1, 109:1, 152:9,	80:6, 82:7, 153:11	solutions [1] -
Science [2] - 23:23	41:10, 45:1, 47:19,	152:15, 153:9,	shown [1] - 44:6	171:22
science's [1] - 54:17	94:23	153:22, 154:3, 154:6,	shows [2] - 38:5,	someone [2] - 119:6,
sciences [1] - 64:18	sections [3] - 21:14,	162:2	76:22	123:1
scientific [11] - 9:4,	41:18, 68:12	select [1] - 163:21	Si' [1] - 173:15	sometimes [3] -
19:24, 31:4, 45:6,	Security [1] - 4:2	selenium [1] -	sic [1] - 114:8	173:20, 174:9, 175:6
59:6, 59:11, 59:14,	see [19] - 67:1,	126:25	signed [2] - 7:18,	somewhat [1] - 9:9
90:2, 90:5, 91:20,	79:13, 91:22, 92:2,	Semi [1] - 23:22	7:24	somewhere [1] -
160:7	93:3, 95:3, 98:14,	sense [3] - 17:23,	significance [1] -	159:20
scientifically [2] -	99:2, 99:17, 110:4,	122:18, 159:22	70:24	soon [5] - 100:5,
32:5, 160:5	113:10, 124:23,	sent [3] - 20:14,	significant [8] -	130:1, 130:8, 130:22,
scientist [3] - 24:6,	125:7, 138:4, 139:17,	37:7, 58:8	34:18, 37:25, 40:21,	133:5
39:25, 63:15	155:11, 163:25,	sentence [4] - 79:24,	41:9, 49:13, 76:14,	sorry [17] - 54:13,
scientists [1] - 21:17	168:16, 174:8	93:16, 111:5, 111:6	76:19, 163:3	78:13, 80:22, 96:15,
scoping [1] - 36:1	seeing [4] - 10:7,	September [8] -	significantly [1] -	99:13, 103:11, 108:8,
scuba [1] - 34:16	18:14, 163:8, 175:20	13:3, 38:9, 38:12,	10:2	109:12, 122:1,
seasonal [2] - 88:20,	seek [3] - 32:1,	41:1, 41:8, 77:17,	silence [1] - 7:20	122:15, 125:23,
164:21	112:7, 132:14	84:12, 96:3	similar [4] - 28:3,	126:5, 130:14,
seat [1] - 170:17	seeking [1] - 140:20	serve [7] - 20:3,	28:4, 68:2, 117:23	130:19, 135:22,
second [14] - 14:23,	seeks [1] - 44:11	27:3, 27:8, 29:16,	similarly [1] - 94:24	158:16, 165:22
15:21, 16:12, 22:3,	segment [50] - 11:19,	29:20, 30:16, 175:9	simply [6] - 16:7,	sort [2] - 75:8,
38:9, 49:24, 56:13,	13:20, 22:5, 28:2,	served [2] - 24:22,	56:12, 57:17, 86:15,	139:22
66:4, 67:11, 77:17,	28:8, 59:22, 60:13,	25:11	133:21, 140:21	sound [1] - 71:3
77:20, 103:19,	66:3, 66:10, 68:12,	serves [2] - 28:8,	single [1] - 132:13	Source [3] - 25:3,
106:10, 148:15	68:13, 68:14, 68:15,	47:24	Sister [1] - 172:22	35:7, 35:13
Secondary [1] -	68:16, 68:22, 69:5,	Service [2] - 55:1,	Sisters [1] - 171:3	source [1] - 25:7
111:5	69:21, 73:25, 78:23,	55:2	sit [2] - 21:23, 172:15	Southern [1] - 70:1
secondary [43] -	79:22, 80:21, 81:12,	serving [1] - 25:2	site [9] - 43:19,	Southwest [1] -
31:14, 32:12, 33:18,	81:19, 83:11, 83:16,	set [6] - 13:7, 29:11,	50:15, 50:20, 56:17,	158:11
33:23, 34:2, 58:1,	83:18, 83:21, 84:2,	32:8, 93:7, 115:19,	57:14, 61:2, 157:15,	Southwestern [2] -
60:4, 73:16, 73:21,	84:5, 84:19, 85:17,	116:25	157:19, 158:9	68:4, 70:2
74:7, 74:14, 74:25,	85:21, 86:12, 86:13,	sets [2] - 111:6,	site-specific [7] -	Spanish [1] - 37:3
86:9, 87:13, 88:13,	86:16, 86:20, 87:7,	117:20	43:19, 50:15, 50:20,	speaking [4] - 12:15,
88:23, 89:22, 90:5,	87:25, 91:23, 120:18,	setting [3] - 27:21,	56:17, 61:2, 157:19,	119:5, 119:11, 171:2
90:17, 91:7, 91:17,	127:13, 127:17,	28:9, 68:8	158:9	speaks [2] - 125:13,
92:15, 92:20, 92:22,	127:22, 129:18,	several [6] - 22:11,	situation [3] -	161:15
93:1, 94:4, 94:12,	130:24, 131:1,	42:24, 69:2, 82:19,	116:18, 125:9, 174:10	specialist [1] - 55:3
94:22, 107:5, 107:17,	132:13, 140:10,	166:9, 166:15	situations [1] -	species [7] - 15:20,
108:6, 109:2, 110:19,	141:13	severe [2] - 164:5,	124:16	16:7, 16:8, 16:23,
				,,,

71:24, 145:19, 147:1 49:1, 50:22, 51:6, 54:2, 60:11, 72:1, 22:12, 22:15, 27:20, 21:4, 21:10, 21:11, specific [35] - 22:5, 95:12, 96:9, 96:24, 22:5, 22:9, 26:12, 76:16, 78:23, 80:22, 32:13, 33:2, 41:22, 28:9, 29:10, 30:2, 97:19, 101:13, 26:24, 27:1, 27:4, 81:12, 92:5, 94:16, 51:19, 51:22, 51:23, 32:14, 35:5, 36:17, 101:25, 102:7, 27:6, 27:7, 27:17, 94:17, 102:8, 121:21, 52:20, 56:24, 61:10, 42:11, 43:19, 44:2, 102:13, 102:16, 27:18, 27:23, 28:1, 126:12, 137:20, 91:6, 108:2, 156:17, 47:3. 50:15. 50:20. 102:21, 102:23, 29:3, 29:5, 29:9, 145:6, 145:11, 164:18, 164:21, 172:9 56:17, 59:20, 60:12, 102:24, 121:3, 29:13, 30:15, 30:17, 145:13, 145:20, strengthen [1] -60:16, 61:2, 66:24, 121:22. 122:6. 31:7. 31:17. 33:1. 146:6. 148:4. 149:3. 171:19 123:21, 124:3, 33:5, 33:10, 35:19, 150:25, 157:7, 67:2, 67:7, 73:25, **stresses** [1] - 28:5 124:20, 125:3, 36:6, 38:1, 39:10, 157:10, 157:12, 85:5, 93:1, 100:19, stretches [1] -127:11, 127:12, 125:10, 125:22, 39:14, 40:4, 41:15, 158:7, 159:2, 172:2, 156:21 172:15, 174:18 126:14, 126:20, 42:23, 43:9, 43:25, 135:11, 150:3, stringent [10] - 29:6, 157:16, 157:19. 127:14, 127:18, 44:4, 44:5, 44:6, State [9] - 1:17, 19:2, 32:5, 35:11, 42:10, 127:19, 127:23, 44:10, 44:14, 44:24, 158:9, 158:17, 159:2, 39:21, 50:10, 64:11, 58:4, 59:11, 67:7, 127:25, 128:3, 128:4, 44:25, 45:2, 45:3, 161:25 97:20, 150:3, 155:21, 126:13, 126:14, 128:25, 129:25, 45:6. 45:15. 45:16. 173:3 specifically [14] -150:12 45:17, 45:19, 47:4, 130:1, 130:7, 130:10, 29:16, 30:14, 57:10, state's [4] - 28:7, strive [1] - 144:16 130:13, 130:22, 47:13, 48:11, 48:18, 60:12, 67:19, 94:21, 43:4, 48:9, 121:22 strong [1] - 174:21 131:3, 131:5, 131:12, 49:6, 49:16, 50:10, 133:19, 134:6, 135:5, statement [11] strongly [1] - 68:8 132:1, 132:2, 132:3, 50:18, 51:11, 54:1, 135:15, 144:2, 148:3, 18:18, 77:8, 77:20, structure [4] -132:14, 132:16, 157:25, 162:14 56:3, 57:9, 60:13, 78:22, 79:21, 81:15, 115:19, 128:22, 132:19, 132:21, 63:20, 63:21, 65:24, **specified** [3] - 71:6, 85:9, 87:16, 87:21, 129:1, 129:11 133:4, 133:6, 133:10, 76:6, 88:22, 90:1, 92:5, 135:21 88:4, 92:3 structured [1] -133:24, 133:25, 92:6, 95:18, 95:24, specifying [2] -**Statement** [1] - 5:4 168:15 134:2, 134:18, 135:7, 96:13, 96:17, 96:19, 150:4, 151:17 states [13] - 26:25, stuck [2] - 44:17, 135:10, 135:12, 96:23, 97:13, 97:16, **SPI**[1] - 163:18 27:6, 28:16, 30:15, 118:7 135:19, 135:24, 97:21, 98:1, 99:8, Spirituality [1] -45:2, 45:12, 45:14, study [1] - 31:4 136:15, 136:17, 99:16, 100:17, 59:17, 80:23, 101:2, 171:4 subcategories [1] -100:21, 100:23, 136:25, 137:1, 137:2, 133:21, 136:19, 169:9 **sport** [2] - 71:23, 28:13 137:6, 137:7, 138:22, 102:1, 102:20, States [4] - 4:2, 55:1, 119:8 subcategory [1] -120:25, 121:7, 139:1, 139:3, 139:15, 66:15, 171:11 **Springs** [3] - 66:13, 96:12 121:15, 122:13, 139:17, 139:21, stating [1] - 83:20 67:6. 84:4 subject [8] - 7:7, 7:9, 139:24, 140:5, 140:9, 123:6, 125:6, 125:13, **springs** [3] - 81:16, **stay** [1] - 163:6 128:18, 140:14, 140:10, 140:13, 126:11, 127:10, stem [2] - 66:21, 85:18, 85:23 141:18, 145:7, 145:9, 140:16, 140:22, 134:13, 136:19, **ss** [1] - 177:2 66:24 159:4 141:1, 141:7, 141:10, 137:13, 138:16, St [1] - 2:17 stems [1] - 123:17 submission [3] -141:17, 149:7, 141:14, 141:15, steps [2] - 26:19, staff [12] - 34:13, 82:19, 82:22, 130:13 141:20, 141:24, 151:24, 152:1, 44:2 35:7, 35:13, 35:17, **submit** [9] - 94:17, 142:3, 142:14, 155:15, 156:4, 158:5, 36:16, 54:23, 81:18, still [12] - 10:2, 14:6, 117:11, 117:12, 142:16, 142:20, 164:20, 166:11, 84:18, 85:1, 85:3, 15:8, 116:9, 116:20, 131:6, 132:7, 132:9, 143:9, 143:11, 145:6, 169:15, 173:23, 85:4, 85:9 124:16, 128:25, 132:17, 132:24, 136:6 167:17, 167:25, 173:24, 174:3, 174:7, stakeholder [1] -140:13, 141:14, **submits** [2] - 124:20, 168:3, 168:8, 171:19, 174:14, 175:2, 175:12 24:12 141:17, 142:12, 132:7 171:21, 171:22, standing [1] - 14:5 161:19 stamp [1] - 15:8 submitted [14] -172:6, 173:25 start [6] - 42:3, stipulation [2] **stamped** [1] - 15:6 16:14, 16:20, 17:2, standardize [1] -72:21, 73:13, 108:11, 11:18, 13:20 stand [1] - 21:20 46:13, 46:20, 53:4, 24:20 115:3, 163:3 stream [27] - 49:13, standard [146] -86:22, 104:2, 106:10, standardized [2] started [1] - 6:2 57:5, 59:7, 59:21, 16:22, 27:24, 33:17, 135:11, 136:11, 162:15, 163:17 starting [4] - 77:7, 60:2, 62:6, 62:8, 42:7, 42:8, 42:9, 137:14, 137:25, 138:7 STANDARDS [1] -124:14, 124:15, 164:5 62:13, 62:23, 63:3, 42:11, 42:12, 42:14, submitting [1] -1:6 **STATE** [2] - 1:1, 67:23, 73:14, 75:3, 42:17, 42:19, 42:25, 123:5 Standards [7] - 6:19, 177:1 75:18, 78:23, 81:9, 43:1, 43:4, 43:8, Subparagraph [1] -19:3, 24:16, 24:24, state [54] - 8:8, 8:16, 43:10, 43:16, 44:1, 82:8, 106:19, 112:20, 49:12 25:12, 89:21, 103:11 19:9, 19:11, 19:15, 123:21, 144:7, 152:9, 44:3, 44:13, 45:11, subsection [5] standards [132] -19:17, 19:23, 20:12, 152:15, 158:2, 45:25, 46:3, 46:9, 41:18, 42:5, 47:12, 15:23, 16:11, 16:21, 20:19, 20:20, 20:22, 162:22, 165:13 46:12, 46:17, 46:20, 48:2, 135:25 19:5, 19:6, 19:14, 21:4, 23:6, 27:25, 46:25, 47:2, 47:7, stream's [1] - 57:6 Subsection [27] -19:19, 19:21, 19:23, 28:17, 29:22, 29:25, 47:16, 47:18, 47:21, streamlined [1] -41:22, 42:4, 49:7, 20:1, 20:4, 20:12, 33:5, 33:19, 37:5, 47:24, 47:25, 48:3, 49:18 51:12, 51:17, 51:18, 20:18, 20:21, 20:25, 44:23, 47:7, 50:12, 48:7, 48:21, 48:23, streams [19] - 22:11, 51:24, 52:22, 99:18,

121:24, 126:5, 131:7, 133:11, 134:7, 135:2, 135:15, 136:13, 136:19, 138:7, 138:12, 138:21, 140:21, 140:25, 143:9, 143:18, 144:25 Subsections [1] subsequent [3] -21:1, 37:1, 46:23 **success** [1] - 9:2 sufficient [2] - 29:23, 76:14 sufficiently [2] -16:23, 145:19 suggest [1] - 115:12 suggested [4] -49:10, 50:1, 68:9, 70:5 suggesting [1] -137:6 suggestions [1] -49:19 Suite [2] - 2:12, 3:12 sum [1] - 50:9 summarize [6] -23:15, 26:21, 48:19, 51:9, 56:1, 65:22 summarizing [1] **summary** [1] - 42:3 Sumner [1] - 157:2 Sun [1] - 37:6 sunset [1] - 124:25 supervise [1] - 71:21 supplemental [5] -12:3, 12:9, 12:10, 12:20 supplies [1] - 20:7 supply [1] - 28:18 support [30] - 8:18, 9:4, 21:11, 21:24, 30:17, 30:24, 31:19, 33:22, 51:13, 58:19, 58:21, 60:17, 62:12, 62:22, 63:2, 63:19, 67:24, 71:4, 72:10, 90:5, 91:21, 93:6, 95:3, 152:7, 152:14, 153:17, 160:5, 161:20, 171:2, 174:2 supportable [1] -162:2 supported [7] -31:21, 32:5, 57:6, 62:14, 67:16, 70:7, 161:16 supporting [7] -69:25, 70:6, 70:21,

91:16, 95:1, 130:18, 161.8 supportive [3] -70:5, 160:7, 161:11 supports [3] - 34:5, 57:15, 164:8 supposed [1] - 91:18 **Sur** [1] - 3:11 Surface [14] - 19:4, 21:7, 22:21, 23:10, 24:1, 29:21, 39:10, 52:23, 53:21, 55:17, 63:16, 65:9, 65:10, 89:21 **SURFACE** [1] - 1:6 surface [15] - 19:23, 21:5, 24:14, 27:20, 27:25, 29:17, 29:24, 34:10, 39:14, 39:25, 40:5, 54:1, 76:6, 76:15, 90:1 surplus [1] - 162:17 surrounding [1] -146:18 survey [1] - 84:13 Survey [1] - 66:16 surveys [2] - 24:18, 84:11 susceptible [1] -16.8 Sustainability [1] -23:21 swear [1] - 18:21 swimmable [3] -28:15, 106:22, 107:12 swimming [23] -34:16, 76:12, 80:23, 80:25, 81:3, 81:6, 81:12, 81:17, 81:19, 83:13, 83:18, 88:4, 88:7, 89:6, 89:11, 89:15, 89:17, 153:2, 159:13, 159:17, 159:20, 159:25, 160:3 switch [1] - 144:1 switching [2] -138:19, 160:11 sworn [13] - 8:8, 8:12, 23:2, 39:3, 53:12, 55:13, 55:14, 63:9, 65:19, 71:12, 170:22, 172:18, 177:7 SWQB [2] - 79:9,

92:10

175:10

System [4] - 25:9,

35:16, 43:14, 56:11

system [2] - 165:10,

Т table [3] - 148:18, 164:1, 164:9 tables [1] - 162:21 tackle [2] - 147:6, 147:8 tackling [1] - 147:5 tailored [1] - 133:24 Taos [1] - 3:12 targets [1] - 29:20 tasks [2] - 24:21, 46:11 **TAYLOR** [1] - 3:16 tdolan@lanl.gov [1] - 4:11 teacher [2] - 23:25, team [4] - 24:8, 24:16, 25:3, 71:24 teams [1] - 63:24 Tech [1] - 54:18 technical [23] - 7:22, 7:23, 8:6, 12:19, 22:4, 25:17, 25:21, 26:3, 40:9, 53:1, 55:8, 55:10, 56:22, 62:2, 62:3, 65:2, 65:4, 70:12, 70:20, 70:24, 71:2, 72:2, 170:13 technically [1] -119:8 technician [2] - 55:1, 174:5 technicians [1] -71:22 technologically [2] -123:15, 126:16 Technology [1] -23.23 technology [2] -123:23, 126:15 temperature [5] -30:4, 67:22, 68:7, 161:9, 161:11 temperatures [2] -67:22, 68:21 temporal [1] - 134:8 temporary [143] -21:11, 22:9, 38:1, 41:15, 42:6, 42:7, 42:9, 42:13, 42:17, 42:23, 42:25, 43:1, 43:4, 43:7, 43:9, 43:25, 44:5, 44:6, 44:10, 44:13, 44:14, 44:24, 45:2, 45:11,

47:2, 47:6, 47:16, 47:18, 47:21, 47:24, 48:3, 48:7, 48:10, 48:17, 48:21, 48:22, 48:23, 49:1, 49:16, 50:9, 50:22, 95:18, 96:9, 97:19, 101:3, 101:7. 101:13. 101:25, 102:6, 102:7, 102:9, 102:13, 102:15, 102:22, 120:24, 121:3, 121:15, 121:22, 122:6, 123:6, 124:2, 124:20, 125:3, 125:6, 125:10, 125:21, 126:11, 126:20, 127:10, 127:14, 127:18, 127:19, 127:23, 127:25, 128:3, 129:25, 130:10, 130:13, 130:22, 131:3, 131:5, 131:12, 132:1, 132:3, 132:14, 132:16, 132:19, 132:20, 133:6, 133:10, 133:24, 135:7, 135:10, 135:12, 135:19, 135:24, 136:15, 136:17, 136:25, 137:1, 137:6, 137:13, 138:16, 138:22, 138:25, 139:3, 139:15, 139:17, 139:21, 140:5, 140:8, 140:13, 140:22, 141:1, 141:7, 141:15, 141:19, 141:24, 142:3, 142:14, 142:15, 142:20, 143:9, 143:11, 166:10, 167:17, 167:25, 168:3, 168:8, 169:10, 172:6, 174:7, 175:2 Temporary [1] -136:19 tension [1] - 121:9 tentatively [1] - 13:9 term [11] - 42:15, 43:10, 43:24, 48:21, 96:9, 100:20, 102:6, 102:7, 102:12, 131:12, 169:10 terms [7] - 13:4, 96:13, 124:9, 128:24, 167:5, 168:13, 168:15 testified [7] - 23:3, 39:4, 53:13, 63:10,

71:13, 75:20, 90:11 testify [2] - 14:18, 21:23 testimonies [2] -48:13, 65:16 testimony [115] - 7:6, 7:7, 7:9, 7:23, 12:3, 12:9, 12:19, 12:20, 12:21, 13:25, 14:17, 15:22, 16:13, 16:14, 17:2, 17:5, 18:8, 21:11, 25:17, 25:21, 25:25, 26:3, 26:5, 26:6, 26:9, 31:10, 32:17, 33:15, 36:18, 38:3, 38:16, 38:17, 40:9, 40:12, 40:15, 40:23, 40:25, 41:3, 41:4, 41:11, 41:13, 42:1, 49:4, 51:15, 53:9, 55:8, 55:10, 55:13, 55:15, 55:17, 55:20, 55:22, 55:23, 56:2, 56:9, 56:14, 63:6, 65:2, 65:4, 65:7, 65:10, 65:14, 65:15, 65:19, 65:23, 66:22, 70:18, 71:8, 71:10, 72:3, 72:5, 72:8, 72:18, 73:18, 76:21, 77:5, 77:6, 78:9, 78:22, 79:6, 79:16, 81:11, 82:19, 82:25, 83:1, 83:2, 83:6, 91:25, 92:2, 92:7, 93:8, 95:22, 104:4, 105:24, 106:16, 106:17, 109:8, 109:10, 109:11, 109:12, 121:20, 121:25, 122:4, 128:19, 129:12, 129:24, 133:3, 133:18, 148:4, 166:18, 177:6, 177:8, 177:9 text [1] - 136:18 THE [5] - 1:2, 1:5, 2:2, 2:9, 2:15 theory [1] - 13:7 therefore [6] - 47:15, 67:8, 107:14, 108:18, 146:10, 153:8 therein [1] - 68:21 thermal [1] - 68:1 thinking [1] - 169:9 third [6] - 12:10, 15:22. 16:19. 22:4. 50:1, 66:5 thoroughly [1] -

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS

45:15, 45:16, 45:25,

46:3, 46:20, 46:25,

169:4 three [15] - 15:19, 19:13, 37:4, 39:12, 46:24, 66:1, 66:8, 83:9, 124:13, 124:18, 127:3. 162:23. 163:24, 163:25 three-month [1] -162:23 three-year [2] -124:13 **thriving** [1] - 161:13 throughout [6] - 8:4, 10:9, 24:18, 36:15, 52:25, 166:9 Thursday [3] - 14:7, 14:9, 14:19 tie [1] - 79:20 tier [1] - 141:25 Tier [3] - 142:3, 142:4 Tim [1] - 154:17 time-consuming [1] - 9:21 time-limited [2] -44:14, 100:18 timeline [2] - 127:4, 131:10 timelines [1] - 46:12 timeliness [3] - 15:2, 15:10. 16:4 timely [1] - 13:8 timing [1] - 133:9 **TIMOTHY** [1] - 4:7 Title [1] - 19:7 **TMDL** [3] - 69:17, 166:24, 167:8 **TMDLs** [1] - 24:10 **TO** [1] - 1:5 today [22] - 11:25, 12:21, 21:3, 26:3, 26:5, 26:22, 40:15, 40:23, 41:1, 41:3, 41:11, 42:1, 66:1, 69:19, 72:6, 106:16, 106:18, 113:8, 158:22, 166:2, 166:11, 168:8 today's [1] - 19:1 together [3] - 6:2, 48:23, 136:11 tomorrow [4] -14:20, 113:9, 170:2, 175:22 **TONGATE** [1] - 2:3 took [4] - 26:19, 107:19, 107:21, 114:9 tool [4] - 50:17, 96:20, 97:20, 167:12 toolbox [1] - 167:13

tools [5] - 35:19, 43:18, 44:1, 50:13, 168:14 top [2] - 89:16, 99:18 topic [4] - 73:14, 73:18, 94:8, 103:2 topics [1] - 129:6 total [4] - 24:5, 144:6, 150:9, 156:21 toward [3] - 97:14, 97:21, 99:18 towards [5] - 116:4, 122:24, 123:6, 139:23, 170:11 town [2] - 66:13, 67:6 Townsend [2] - 7:14, 177:4 toxicity [3] - 16:9, 146:23, 147:11 track [1] - 141:14 **trade** [1] - 37:16 traditionally [1] -117:14 **Trail** [1] - 1:18 trained [1] - 9:1 transcribed [1] -7.13 TRANSCRIPT [1] transcript [3] - 7:15, 177:7, 177:9 translate [1] - 166:22 translators [1] -123:14 treat [1] - 126:15 treatment [9] - 35:8, 123:15, 131:21, 156:20, 156:25, 157:1, 157:2, 169:13 tribal [1] - 137:20 Tribal [1] - 8:17 Tribe [1] - 70:2 tried [1] - 148:16 Triennial [2] - 6:19, triennial [42] - 11:14, 11:20, 12:14, 13:22, 19:12, 21:6, 22:1, 22:2, 22:12, 25:18, 26:10, 31:13, 32:9, 33:12, 33:14, 33:16, 35:23, 36:2, 36:15, 36:22, 44:8, 46:24, 53:5, 69:6, 73:24, 74:9, 74:23, 74:24, 75:16, 90:12, 91:4, 107:3, 107:15,

107:22, 108:13,

108:24, 109:1,

110:24, 111:12, 120:20, 127:8, 173:12 triggers [1] - 161:22 trip [1] - 84:18 trips [3] - 84:5, 84:9, 84:15 trout [1] - 9:25 true [5] - 96:18, 102:15, 102:18, 135:22, 177:9 trust [1] - 175:14 Trust [1] - 171:11 Truth [1] - 156:24 try [3] - 123:13, 147:6. 163:6 trying [7] - 8:23, 17:23, 116:10, 118:16, 163:22, 164:23, 168:12 **Tuesday** [1] - 69:10 **Tularosa** [4] - 56:15, 58:17, 62:17, 62:24 turn [13] - 6:11, 16:6, 35:21, 38:25, 51:7, 121:21, 129:23, 133:7, 148:4, 152:6, 154:23, 155:8, 169:25 turning [1] - 142:22 turns [1] - 14:19 two [10] - 56:4, 57:23, 59:8, 60:1, 60:14, 60:20, 64:11, 68:5, 149:4, 162:2 **type** [3] - 116:3, 162:19, 163:7 types [4] - 57:5, 57:17, 60:20, 131:22 typically [1] - 148:25

1

UAA [73] - 30:13, 30:25, 31:3, 31:4, 31:9, 32:3, 32:25, 33:3, 36:18, 46:4, 48:24, 51:13, 51:14, 51:17, 51:22, 52:3, 52:6, 52:9, 52:12, 52:14, 52:20, 53:1, 56:5, 56:8, 56:13, 56:17, 57:15, 57:19, 58:16, 58:20, 59:12, 59:14, 60:3, 61:16, 61:19, 62:8, 62:14, 62:16, 62:20, 63:2, 65:12, 67:14, 68:5, 69:8, 69:11, 69:12, 69:23, 70:12, 70:20, 71:2, 74:19, 75:2,

90:13, 90:16, 91:16, 91:20, 92:1, 92:17, 92:19, 108:20, 110:20, 112:17, 112:19, 160:11, 160:21, 160:25, 161:5, 161:7, 161:14, 161:24, 162:2, 168:6 **UAA's** [1] - 162:7 **UAAs** [24] - 32:11, 32:15, 33:22, 43:19, 44:7, 56:5, 57:17, 57:18, 57:24, 58:6, 58:7, 58:14, 58:25, 59:5, 59:8, 60:1, 61:25, 62:3, 62:6, 68:25, 71:6, 106:19, 108:24, 109:4 ultimate [1] - 42:21 ultimately [3] - 51:5, 61:18, 102:16 unable [2] - 94:21, 94:24 unattainable [1] -33:21 unclassified [1] -157:18 unclear [1] - 12:12 under [34] - 7:6, 19:9, 19:15, 19:25, 20:13, 30:14, 43:2, 46:21, 47:9, 47:19, 51:3, 51:11, 52:22, 53:6, 77:4, 79:11, 87:7, 89:20, 90:3, 97:24, 111:5, 111:10, 115:14, 117:2, 119:2, 121:11, 143:6, 144:22, 146:11, 146:19, 148:13, 164:16, 164:17, 165:4 underlying [10] -42:19, 48:25, 97:15, 101:16, 101:18, 131:1, 133:25, 134:18, 141:16, 146:13 underprotects [1] -57:21 understood [4] -126:19, 132:22, 134:3, 148:24

unfortunate [1] -

unimpaired [1] -

unimproved [1] -

unintentionally [1] -

160:24

173:21

44:17

134:7

United [4] - 4:2, 55:1, 66:15, 171:11 University [7] -23:19, 23:24, 39:22, 64:6, 64:7, 64:11, 64:15 unless [8] - 29:6, 29:16, 30:12, 31:24, 86:23, 102:2, 108:19, 153:10 unnatural [1] -147:12 unquote [3] - 133:5, 133:6, 149:5 unreasonably [1] unsure [3] - 110:11, 111:3, 111:18 up [33] - 11:15, 13:2, 13:11, 14:5, 14:11, 14:25, 18:17, 18:19, 18:20, 38:21, 50:9, 51:25, 54:5, 72:25, 79:20, 93:3, 112:10, 115:19, 116:25, 117:3, 117:20, 122:14, 122:16, 154:22, 154:24, 155:6, 164:10, 170:1, 170:2, 170:12, 170:17, 174:22 **update** [3] - 22:9, 39:14, 127:5 updated [1] - 127:1 updates [2] - 22:3, 41:20 upgrade [6] - 34:1, 75:21, 87:2, 126:24, 152:8, 154:3 upgraded [2] - 75:9, 87:14 upgrades [3] - 22:10, 35:12, 75:19 upper[1] - 84:20 **Upper** [1] - 69:16 uppermost [1] -68:12 upstream [1] - 86:20 urge [1] - 172:5 URL [1] - 110:1 **US** [8] - 145:12. 155:17, 156:8, 157:7, 157:11, 157:13, 157:14, 158:6 useful [4] - 59:24, 60:8, 61:11, 103:20 uses [124] - 9:15, 21:9, 22:10, 22:15, 26:13, 26:15, 27:16, 27:19, 27:20, 27:21,

28:2, 28:7, 28:12, 28:13, 28:17, 28:18, 28:20, 28:22, 28:23, 29:1, 29:4, 29:8, 29:16, 29:24, 30:2, 30:8, 30:11, 30:19, 30:20, 30:25, 31:9, 31:12. 31:15. 31:20. 31:21, 31:24, 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:13, 32:24, 33:3, 33:7, 33:18, 33:23, 42:18, 42:20, 43:20, 44:7, 48:25, 50:15, 52:22, 56:19, 57:6, 57:8, 57:13, 57:16, 57:22, 59:23, 60:4, 60:9, 60:16, 60:18, 60:25, 61:4, 61:13, 61:15, 61:21, 62:4, 66:6, 67:12, 67:15, 67:18, 68:3, 68:6, 68:10, 74:14, 75:15, 86:25, 87:22, 89:22, 90:14, 91:7, 91:8, 92:4, 92:15, 94:13, 97:15, 101:2, 101:15, 101:16, 101:18, 106:22, 107:5, 107:9, 107:12, 107:23, 108:15, 108:18, 108:20, 110:20, 110:25, 111:2, 111:9, 111:11, 111:13, 112:15, 131:11, 146:1, 147:17, 152:19, 152:20, 152:23, 152:24, 152:25, 153:11, 153:16, 153:19, 154:4, 154:6, 161:8, 161:16, 164:23 **USGS** [1] - 66:16 Ute [1] - 70:2 utility [1] - 174:10 utilized [1] - 174:11 utilizes [1] - 159:15

V

Valley [3] - 56:15, 58:17, 62:18 value [1] - 20:6 variable [1] - 73:22 variance [17] - 96:11, 96:13, 96:16, 96:17, 96:19, 96:23, 99:16, 100:18, 100:21, 100:23, 102:1, 102:20, 121:4, 121:7,

121:10, 121:11 variances [5] -95:24, 97:13, 99:8, 121:17, 134:13 various [1] - 16:14 vehicle [1] - 125:8 verbal [2] - 41:11, Verheul [6] - 5:4, 5:6, 5:10, 5:12, 5:14, 10:13 VERHEUL [26] -2:16, 10:12, 11:8, 16:3, 18:16, 18:23, 22:20, 23:5, 38:18, 38:22, 38:24, 53:15, 63:12, 71:15, 72:17, 77:11, 77:13, 77:24, 78:2, 78:14, 78:16, 104:15, 113:4, 113:7, 113:18, 120:3 version [1] - 70:12 versus [1] - 121:10 via [1] - 69:6 view [1] - 146:24 viewable [1] - 7:16 viewed [2] - 34:10, 121:6 views [1] - 30:9 VIGIL [1] - 2:6 Vigil [1] - 15:6 Virginia [5] - 15:23, 16:20, 17:4, 17:6, 17:13 voir [1] - 17:21

W

VOLLMER [4] - 5:2,

8:10, 8:11, 8:15

Vollmer [1] - 8:9

Volume [1] - 1:21

WADE [1] - 2:8 wading [2] - 88:19, 89:21 wait [3] - 17:15, 18:6, 18:10 warm [2] - 30:3, 107:24 warm-water [2] -30:3, 107:24 warranted [2] -147:6, 147:8 wastewater [5] -123:15, 156:25, 157:1, 157:2 water [243] - 19:5, 19:6, 19:14, 19:17, 19:19, 19:22, 20:2,

20:6, 20:7, 20:18, 20:21, 20:25, 21:10, 22:9, 24:10, 24:17, 26:11, 26:16, 26:18, 26:23, 27:1, 27:2, 27:4, 27:5, 27:7, 27:8, 27:11, 27:14, 27:17, 27:18. 27:19. 27:22. 27:23, 27:24, 28:7, 28:9, 28:18, 28:21, 28:23, 29:3, 29:5, 29:7, 29:8, 29:10, 29:11, 29:13, 29:17, 29:25, 30:3, 31:7, 31:22, 33:4, 33:5, 33:6, 33:9, 33:17, 34:7, 34:8, 34:10, 34:19, 35:19, 37:15, 39:9, 39:14, 39:25, 40:4, 40:5, 42:6, 42:10, 42:12, 42:21, 43:13, 43:23, 44:4, 44:15, 44:17, 44:21, 44:25, 45:3, 45:5, 45:11, 45:15, 45:19, 46:7, 46:17, 47:3, 47:13, 47:19, 47:25, 49:6, 50:23, 51:5, 51:11, 54:1, 54:19, 54:24, 55:4, 56:3, 56:9, 57:9, 57:10, 57:20, 57:23, 59:18, 60:13, 61:5, 61:22, 62:16, 63:21, 64:18, 65:24, 66:19, 66:25, 67:17, 67:18, 67:24, 68:6, 68:7, 68:11, 68:18, 68:20, 75:14, 76:6, 76:10, 76:11, 76:12, 76:13, 76:17, 81:1, 81:2, 81:4, 81:7, 81:20, 88:10, 88:16, 88:18, 88:21, 89:2, 89:14, 89:16, 89:19, 90:1, 93:19, 93:23, 95:23, 96:13, 96:16, 96:19, 96:22, 96:23, 97:5, 97:13, 97:16, 97:21, 98:1, 99:8, 99:16, 100:17, 100:19, 100:21, 100:23, 102:1, 102:20, 102:21, 102:23, 107:24, 108:19, 112:14, 116:8, 119:7, 121:7, 121:8, 123:20, 126:13, 126:14, 127:13, 127:15, 127:17, 127:22, 128:4, 129:17,

130:12, 130:24, 131:1, 132:19, 134:12, 137:2, 138:2, 139:11, 139:18, 140:10, 140:16, 141:13, 142:3, 142:5, 145:7, 145:11, 145:12. 145:13. 146:17, 146:25, 147:19, 149:1, 149:6, 150:6, 150:8, 151:23, 151:25, 155:15, 156:3, 157:13, 158:6, 158:7, 159:1, 159:10, 159:13, 159:17, 161:9, 162:1, 162:17, 162:21, 164:1, 164:6, 164:8, 164:24, 167:8, 169:2, 171:20, 172:25, 173:7, 173:8, 173:9, 173:18, 174:10, 174:17, 175:5, 175:9, 175:15 **WATER** [2] - 1:2, 2:2 Water [89] - 1:16, 3:14, 6:4, 6:19, 6:25, 10:16, 19:3, 19:11, 19:15, 19:18, 19:25, 20:14, 21:7, 22:21, 23:10, 24:1, 24:19, 25:10, 26:25, 27:3, 27:9, 27:11, 28:24, 30:7, 30:16, 31:18, 39:10, 39:15, 40:2, 43:2, 44:19, 45:4, 46:21, 47:9, 47:17, 47:19, 48:16, 49:10, 49:20, 50:2, 50:12, 51:3, 52:23, 53:6, 53:21, 55:17, 59:15, 60:17, 63:16, 65:9, 65:10, 70:2, 70:3, 70:4, 70:8, 73:6, 85:24, 86:8, 87:12, 89:21, 89:25, 90:24, 91:4, 92:13, 103:10, 107:4, 116:11, 117:6, 142:24, 144:8, 145:6, 145:7, 145:9, 145:16, 145:20, 146:1, 148:1, 149:7, 152:19, 152:23, 153:5, 153:15, 156:4, 156:5, 171:1, 171:4 water-based [5] -

34:8, 34:19, 81:7,

water-quality-

based [3] - 43:13,

81:20, 89:14

43:23, 50:23

watering [1] - 28:19 waters [74] - 21:5, 24:14, 27:21, 27:25, 28:1, 29:21, 30:12, 31:15, 32:21, 32:23, 33:7, 33:19, 35:9, 49:2, 51:13, 56:14, 56:20, 56:23, 57:2, 57:11, 58:16, 59:24, 60:9, 60:11, 60:14, 60:19, 60:24, 61:6, 61:12, 62:5, 76:15, 90:8, 90:13, 90:19, 93:21, 93:24, 94:3, 106:20, 106:23, 107:5, 111:1, 111:10, 112:16, 144:6, 144:20, 144:22, 145:1, 145:17, 145:20, 146:2, 146:6, 146:9, 146:15, 146:21, 147:17, 148:7, 151:18, 152:20, 155:16, 156:8, 157:7, 157:10, 157:11, 157:12, 157:14, 157:17, 157:23, 158:2, 158:5, 158:11, 161:10, 174:15 **WATERS** [6] - 1:7, 2:6, 156:17, 156:23, 157:3, 176:1 Waters [2] - 19:4, 156:16 Watershed [2] -69:25, 70:1 watershed [4] - 24:9, 37:14, 44:22, 50:24 **ways** [1] - 123:19 weak [1] - 172:7 weakened [2] -171:20, 174:1 weakening [2] -174:14, 175:11 weakens [1] - 172:1 weaker [6] - 139:6, 139:9, 140:3, 172:8, 173:23, 174:7 web [1] - 83:16 web-based [1] -83.16 website [8] - 34:11, 36:14, 37:9, 37:19, 58:12, 68:25, 83:12, 84:23 Wednesday [1] -13:10 week [4] - 10:9,

11:10, 23:11, 96:6

weigh [1] - 117:21 weight [1] - 20:5 welfare [3] - 20:2, 27:2, 27:8 west [3] - 66:21, 67:3, 67:5 West [8] - 15:23, 16:20, 17:4, 17:6, 17:13, 66:12, 66:23, 67:9 Western [2] - 3:11, 10:18 wet [1] - 119:13 175:9 whichever [1] -150:11 whole [1] - 173:2 wilderness [1] -68:12 [1] - 68:12

wetlands [2] - 175:6, wilderness-bound wildlife [3] - 20:7, 27:13, 28:19 withdraw [1] - 78:2 withdrawal [3] -12:1, 12:6, 14:24 withdrawing [2] -11:10, 13:24 withdrawn [2] -11:12, 11:22 witness [11] - 7:12, 17:17, 17:19, 17:21, 22:18, 38:19, 39:1, 99:1, 115:3, 119:24, 120:3 witnessed [3] -81:18, 83:17, 83:21 witnesses [11] -18:17, 18:19, 21:20, 38:21, 72:23, 120:13, 170:7, 170:15, 175:23, 177:6, 177:10 wonder [1] - 113:10 word [3] - 129:3, 134:6, 134:21 words [4] - 47:5, 107:11, 152:24, 158:2 works [2] - 51:4, 126:20 **WQCC** [5] - 1:3, 6:7, 6:23, 9:3, 103:12 **WQCC's** [1] - 6:25 wrapping [1] -

170:12

write [1] - 94:15 written [14] - 12:1, 12:6, 25:21, 33:15, 46:22, 50:1, 55:8, 55:10, 56:9, 65:2,

65:4, 70:18, 72:2, 78:8

Υ

year [8] - 24:6, 24:24, 84:12, 84:13, 124:13, 164:16, 175:7 years [19] - 9:2, 19:13, 24:4, 39:13, 39:24, 46:24, 64:12, 64:17, 118:21, 122:19, 122:20, 123:22, 123:23, 124:18, 124:25, 125:10, 127:3, 166:15 yesterday [1] - 104:2 yourself [5] - 25:24, 39:7, 53:16, 63:13, 71:16

Ζ

zebra [1] - 71:25 zone [1] - 68:19