STATE OF NEW MEXICO WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF: TWO PETITIONS FOR REVIEW OF THE SECRETARY'S DECISION TO ISSUE DISCHARGE PERMIT NO. 1840 FOR THE COPPER FLAST MINE (DP-1840),

ELEPHANT BUTTE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, and

TURNER RANCH PROPERTIES, L.P., HILLSBORO PITCHFORD RANCH, LLC, AND GILA RESOURCES INFORMATION PROJECT



WQCC 19-02(A)

BRIEF OF NEW MEXICO COPPER CORPORATION ON WHETHER PUBLIC COMMENT SHOULD BE ACCEPTED AT THE AUGUST 13, 2019 PERMIT REVIEW HEARING

New Mexico Copper Corporation (NMCC) respectfully submits that any proposal to accept public comment at the August 13, 2019 Water Quality Control Commission's (Commission) permit review hearing should be summarily denied for the following reasons:

- 1. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, §74-6-5(Q), the Commission's permit review consists only of a review [of] the record compiled before the constituent agency, including the transcript of any public hearing held on the application or draft permit, and shall allow any party to submit arguments. The commission may designate a hearing officer to review the record and the arguments of the parties and recommend a decision to the commission. The commission shall consider and weigh only the evidence contained in the record before the constituent agency and the recommended decision of the hearing officer, if any, and shall not be bound by the factual findings or legal conclusions of the constituent agency. Based on the review of the evidence, the arguments of the parties and recommendations of the hearing officer, the commission shall sustain, modify or reverse the action of the constituent agency. The commission shall enter ultimate findings of fact and conclusions of law and keep a record of the review.
- 2. Nowhere in this statutory description of the permit review process is there any provision made for public comment. To the contrary, the statutory provision states that the review shall be made on the record compiled before the New Mexico Environment Department

(NMED), including the transcript from the five-day long "public hearing" where ample opportunities were afforded members of the public to make comment. Indeed, the Hearing Officer's Report, filed December 3, 2018, identifies "many members of the public [who] participated in questioning and testimony at the hearing" and "dozens of other individuals" who submitted written public comment during the hearing, "all of which are part of the record." H.O. Rpt. at pp. 2-4.

- 3. Section 74-6-5(Q) does "allow any party to submit arguments," and, consistent with that, the Scheduling Order entered herein on May 20, 2019 schedules opening oral arguments and rebuttal arguments from the Petitioners, Applicant and Department, i.e., the parties herein. Appropriately, no provision is made in the Scheduling Order for public comment, nor does the public notice of, or agenda for, the August 13, 2019 permit review hearing invite or provide for public comment.
- 4. All of the above is entirely consistent with, and further underscored by, the Commission's adjudicatory procedures at 20.1.3 NMAC. There again, the procedures state, in 20.1.3.16(F)(3) NMAC, that "[t]he commission shall consider and weigh only the evidence contained in the record before the department and the recommended decision of the hearing officer, if any...." The procedures also allow, in 20.1.3.16(F)(1) NMAC, that the parties may make oral argument prior to deliberations, but disallows "new evidence." Nowhere in the Commission's adjudicatory procedures for permit review hearings is any provision

¹ The five-day long public hearing complied with all provisions of 20.1.4 NMAC, which provides the procedural regulations for public hearings before the Environment Department involving permit issuance. These regulations expressly provide the opportunity for public comment. See 20.1.4.300(B)(2)NMAC, providing, "General Written and Oral Statements; Non-Technical Testimony: Any person may provide a general written statement concerning the Draft Permit, Application, or Petition at or before the hearing. Application, or Petition at the hearing."

made for public comment, and the reason is obvious. A permit review upon an administrative appeal taken from the issuance of a discharge permit by the constituent agency is an appeal solely on the record.

- 5. If the Legislature or the Commission intended for public comment to be allowed at permit review hearings, they would have expressly provided for it in NMSA 1978, §74-6-5(Q) or 20.1.3.16 NMAC, just as the Commission expressly did for abatement plan hearings in 20.1.3.17(F) NMAC, for variance hearings in 20.1.3.18(D) NMAC, and for compliance order hearings in 20.1.3.20(B)(1) NMAC.
- 6. The opportunity <u>parties</u> have to convince the Commission that there was an inadequate opportunity to submit comment or evidence on a particular matter at issue in the appeal under NMSA 1978, §74-6-5(R) and 20.1.3.16(A)(3) is not addressed to members of the <u>public</u> seeking an opportunity to make public comment at the permit review hearing. Those provisions contemplate a party invoking the opportunity to make the inadequate opportunity showing prior to the permit review hearing, and the Commission deciding whether to allow additional comment or evidence to be taken by the constituent agency. The provisions to do not contemplate a process whereby a member of the public attempts to justify making public comment at the permit review hearing. No such process exists, and there is no basis to create such a process or apply a nonexistent standard to it herein.

WHEREFORE, NMCC respectfully submits that public comment not be accepted at the permit review hearing on August 13, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

Stuart R. Butzier

Christina C. Sheehan

Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.

Post Office Box 9318

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-9318

Telephone: 505.983.2020 stuart.butzier@modrall.com

christina.sheehan@modrall.com

Attorneys for New Mexico Copper Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of New Mexico Copper Corporation's Brief on the Issue of Whether Public Comment Should be Accepted at the August 13, 2019 Permit Review Hearing was sent via the stated methods below on August 12, 2019:

Via E-Mail:
Richard Virtue
Hearing Officer
P.O. Box 22249
Santa Fe, NM 87502-2249
rvirtue@virtuelaw.com

Cody Barnes
Hearing Clerk
New Mexico Environment Department
1190 Saint Francis Drive, Suite S-2103
Santa Fe, NM 87502
Cody.Barnes@state.nm.us

Andrew Knight
Assistant General Counsel
New Mexico Environment Department
121 Tijeras Avenue NE, Ste. 1000
Albuquerque, NM 87502
andrew.knight@state.nm.us
Counsel for New Mexico Environment
Department

Charles de Saillan
Douglas Meiklejohn
Eric Jantz
Jonathan Block
Jaimie Park
New Mexico Environmental Law Center
1405 Luisa St., Suite 5
Santa Fe, NM 87505
jpark@nmelc.org
dmeiklejohn@nmelc.org
ejantz@nmelc.org
jblock@nmelc.org
Counsel for Turner Ranch Properties, L.P. and
Hillsboro Pitchfork Information Project

Samantha R. Barncastle
Barncastle Law Firm, LLC
P.O. Box 1556
Las Cruces, NM 88004
samantha@h2o-legal.com
Counsel for Elephant Butte Irrigation District

MODRALL SPERLING ROEHL HARRIS & SISK, P.A.

By:

Stuart R. Butzier

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on August 12, 2019 a copy of the foregoing **Brief of New Mexico**Copper Corporation on Whether Public Comment Should be Accepted at the August 13,

2019 Permit Review Hearing was emailed to the persons listed below. A copy will be mailed first class upon request.

Andrew Knight
New Mexico Environment Department
121 Tijeras Ave, NE #1000
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Andrew.knight@state.nm.us
Attorney for the New Mexico Environment Department

Charles de Saillan
New Mexico Environmental Law Center
1405 Luisa St
Suite 5
Santa Fe, NM 87505
cdesaillan@nmelc.org
Counsel for Turner Ranch Properties, L.P.,
Hillsboro Pitchfork Ranch, LLC,
And Gila Resources Information Project

Stuart R. Butzier
Christina C. Sheehan
Modrall Sperling Roehl Harris & Sisk PA
P.O. Box 9318
Santa Fe, NM 87504
Stuart.butzier@modrall.com
Christina.sheehan@modrall.com
Attorneys for New Mexico Copper Corporation

Samantha R. Barncastle
Barncastle Law Firm
P.O. Box 1556
Las Cruces, NM 88004
samantha@h2o-legal.com
Attorney for Elephant Butte Irrigation District

John T. Grubesic
New Mexico Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 1508
Santa Fe, NM 87504
jgrubesic@nmag.gov
Attorney for the Water Quality Control Commission

Richard Virtue 200 Brothers Rd. Santa Fe, NM 87505 rvirtue@virtuelaw.com Hearing Officer

> Cody Barnes Commission Administrator P.O. Box 5469

Santa Fe, NM 87502 Phone: (505) 827-2428

Email: cody.barnes@state.nm.us