BEFORE THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION FOR THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

1	PM AM 123	
12/	RECEIVED	201
NC	MAY 1 1 2015	6 9
10	Wacc	10 1
1	E THE VIEW	/

In the Matter of:		153
	j	WQCC 12-09 (R) and
PROPOSED AMENDMENT)	WQCC 13-08 (R)
TO 20.6.6 NMAC (Dairy Rule))	10.400
)	

THIRD NOTICE OF ERRATA RE EXHIBIT "A" TO THE JOINT MOTION TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE DAIRY RULE

COMES NOW Dairy Industry Group for a Clean Environment, Inc. (hereinafter "DIGCE") hereby submits this Third Notice of Errata to the Joint Motion to Adopt the Proposed Amendments to the Dairy Rule and the Proposed Statement of Reasons that was filed with the Water Quality Control Commission on April 24, 2015. This Notice identifies additional errors for correction in Exhibit A (Stipulated amended rule language proposed by DIGCE in the Second Petition to Amend 20.6.6 NMAC (hereinafter ("Dairy Rule"). These corrections are made for internal consistency within the proposed rule amendments. Counsel for DIGCE has consulted with counsel for all parties and there are no objections to this Notice and the attached corrections. If the Commission chooses to accept the rule amendments and the corrections made herein, DIGCE recommends that they be incorporated into a revised Exhibit A, along with any other changes made by the Commission, to be submitted to the State Records Center for publication.

DATED this 11th day of May, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.

Dalva L. Moellenberg, Esq.
Anthony (T.J.) J. Trujillo, Esq.
Robert A. Stranahan IV, Esq.
1239 Paseo de Peralta
Santa Fe, NM 87501
(505) 982-9523
(505) 983-8160
DLM@gknet.com
AJT@gknet.com
Bob.Stranahan@gknet.com
Counsel for DIGCE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the Notice of Errata was served on the following by e-mail on the 11th day of May, 2015:

Jon Block
Eric Jantz
Douglas Meiklejohn
NM Environmental Law Center
1405 Luisa Street, Suite 5
Santa Fe, NM 87505
JBlock@nmelc.org

Counsel for the Rio Grande Chapter of the Sierra Club and Amigos Bravos

Jeff Kendall, General Counsel
Christopher Atencio, Assistant General Counsel
Kay R. Bonza, Assistant General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
New Mexico Environment Department
P.O. Box 5469
Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469
Jeff.Kendall@state.nm.us
Christopher.Atencio@state.nm.us
Kay.Bonza@state.nm.us
Counsel for the Environment Department

Tannis L. Fox, Assistant Attorney General Water, Environmental and Utilities Division Office of the New Mexico Attorney General P.O. Box 1508
Santa Fe, NM 87504
tfox@nmag.gov
Counsel for the New Mexico Attorney General

Wade Jackson, General Counsel
New Mexico Economic Development Department
Joseph Montoya Building
1100 S. St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, NM 87505
Wade.Jackson@state.nm.us

Counsel for the Water Quality Control Commission

Dalva L. Moellenberg

CHANGES TO AMENDED RULE LANGUAGE CONTAINED IN EXHIBIT "A" TO THE JOINT MOTION TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE DAIRY RULE AND THE PROPOSED STATEMENT OF REASONS

EXHIBIT "A" as filed with Second Notice of Errata, page 47

Change to Section 20.6.6.25.G(2) NMAC:

Language in the Current Stipulation:

(2) The total nitrogen concentration of wastewater and stormwater obtained from the corresponding quarterly analyses collected pursuant to Subsection C of this section and Subsection D of 20.6.6.24 NMAC.

Replace with the following corrected language:

(2) The total nitrogen concentration of wastewater and stormwater obtained from the corresponding quarterly or annual analyses collected pursuant to Subsection C of this section and Subsection D of 20.6.6.24 NMAC.

EXHIBIT "A" as filed with Second Notice of Errata, Page 51

Change to Section 20.6.6.27.B(1)(a):

Language in the Current Stipulation:

(a) A corrective action plan shall be submitted within 120 days of the subsequent sample analysis date of the fourth of the consecutive sampling events unless a petition for variance is filed in accordance with Subparagraph (c) of this paragraph. The corrective action plan shall describe any repairs or changes in practices made to address the cause of the exceedance, and propose source control measures and a schedule for implementation. The implementation schedule shall include a schedule of all proposed corrective action activities and the date that corrective action will be completed. The department shall approve or disapprove the corrective action plan within 60 days of receipt. If the corrective action plan proposes actions to correct deficiencies with the liner, the proposed actions shall include the following items.

Replace with the following corrected language:

(a) A corrective action plan shall be submitted within 120 days of the subsequent sample analysis date of postal notice from the department that action is required under this subsection unless a petition for variance is filed in accordance with Subparagraph (c) of this paragraph. The corrective action plan shall describe any repairs or changes in practices made to address the cause of the exceedance, and propose source control measures and a

schedule for implementation. The implementation schedule shall include a schedule of all proposed corrective action activities and the date that corrective action will be completed. The department shall approve or disapprove the corrective action plan within 60 days of receipt. If the corrective action plan proposes actions to correct deficiencies with the liner, the proposed actions shall include the following items.

EXHIBIT "A" as filed with Second Notice of Errata, Page 51

Change to Section 20.6.6.27.B(2)(a)(iii):

Language in the current stipulation:

(iii) The permittee may investigate potential sources of contamination that may have caused a standard(s) to be exceeded. If such an investigation indicates that the source of the contamination is not the impoundment intended to be monitored by the well, the permittee may petition within 120 days of the subsequent sample analysis date for a variance from the requirements of this section in accordance with 20.6.2.1210 NMAC. It is the permittee's burden to prove any claim that the source of the contamination is not the impoundment intended to be monitored by the well. If the variance is denied the permittee shall submit a corrective action plan meeting the requirements of Sub-subparagraph (i) of this subparagraph within 60 days of the denial.

Replace with the following corrected language:

(iii) The permittee may investigate potential sources of contamination that may have caused a standard(s) to be exceeded. If such an investigation indicates that the source of the contamination is not the impoundment intended to be monitored by the well, the permittee may petition within 120 days of the subsequent sample analysis date of postal notice from the department that action is required under this subsection for a variance from the requirements of this section in accordance with 20.6.2.1210 NMAC. It is the permittee's burden to prove any claim that the source of the contamination is not the impoundment intended to be monitored by the well. If the variance is denied the permittee shall submit a corrective action plan meeting the requirements of Sub-subparagraph (i) of this subparagraph within 60 days of the denial.