

NEW MEXICO
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Drinking Water Bureau

1190 St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM, 87505

Phone (505) 476-8620 Fax (505) 476-8656
www.env.nm.gov/drinking_water/



SUSANA MARTINEZ
Governor

JOHN A. SANCHEZ
Lt. Governor

BUTCH TONGATE
Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO
Deputy Secretary

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
7013 2630 0000 9043 9195

December 15, 2017

Anna Fuchs
Philmont Boy Scout Ranch-Headquarters
17 Deer Run Road
Cimarron, NM 87714

RE: Administrative Compliance Order, No. 2017-ACO-22 Philmont Boy Scout Ranch-Headquarters, PWS# NM3530504

Ms. Fuchs,

Please find attached Administrative Compliance Order No. 2017-ACO-22 issued to Philmont Scout Ranch, BSA, for Philmont Boy Scout Ranch-Headquarters, PWS# NM3530504, under the Environmental Improvement Act, NMSA 1978, § 74-1-10, and the Drinking Water Regulations, 20.7.10 NMAC. Please review the Administrative Compliance Order (Order) carefully to understand what action must be taken to comply with the requirements of the Order. Philmont Scout Ranch, BSA has a right to answer the allegations in the Order and request a hearing, NMSA 1978, §§ 61-33-120.E and 74-1-10.E and 20.1.5 NMAC (available at www.env.nm.gov).

If you have any questions or need assistance with meeting the requirements of this Order, please contact Maria J. Medina, Enforcement Coordinator, at 505-476-8629 or via email at maria.medina@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

Bruce Yurdin
Division Director
Water Protection Division

cc. Compliance Officer (Electronic)
Region 6, EPA (Electronic)
P:\~ ELECTRONIC CENTRAL FILE BY WATER SYSTEM

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
SECRETARY OF ENVIRONMENT

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT
WATER PROTECTION DIVISION,

Complainant,

No. 2017-ACO-22

v.

PHILMONT SCOUT RANCH, BSA,
Respondent.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE ORDER

Pursuant to the Environmental Improvement Act (“EIA”), NMSA 1978, § 74-1-10, and the Drinking Water Regulations (“DW Regulations”), 20.7.10 NMAC, the Secretary of the New Mexico Environment Department (“NMED”), acting through the Director of the Water Protection Division of the NMED, issues this Administrative Compliance Order (“Order”) to Philmont Scout Ranch, BSA (“Respondent”) to enforce the EIA and DW Regulations.

FINDINGS

1. The NMED is an executive agency within the government of the State of New Mexico and is charged with administration and enforcement of the EIA and DW Regulations.

2. Respondent owns and operates a public drinking water system (“System”) Philmont Boy Scout Ranch-Headquarters, PWS# NM3530504, located in Colfax County, New Mexico.

3. The System is a Community water system, as defined by Section 20.7.10.100 NMAC, [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.2], that regularly provides piped drinking water to approximately two thousand one hundred fifty (2150) consumers and has approximately one (1) service connection to serve these consumers.

4. Respondent, Philmont Scout Ranch, BSA, is a “person” as defined by the EIA, NMSA 1978, § 74-1-3 and 20.7.10.100 NMAC, [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.2].

5. 20.7.10.100 NMAC, [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], defines the Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM) Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 0.080 mg/L and establishes compliance with the MCL for TTHM is determined by calculating the Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA).

6. On February 17, 2017, a Notice of Violation (NOV) was issued to Respondent by NMED for exceeding the TTHM MCL LRAA at the TTHM-1 (Motor Pool) and HAA5-1 (Shop) sample sites during the first (1st), second (2nd), third (3rd), and fourth (4th) quarters of 2016. The NOV notified Respondent that it was required to provide public notice of the violation.

7. On September 1, 2017, an NOV was issued to Respondent by NMED for exceeding the TTHM MCL LRAA at the TTHM-1 (Motor Pool) and HAA5-1 (Shop) sample sites during the first (1st), and second (2nd) quarters of 2017. The NOV notified Respondent that it was required to provide public notice of the violation.

8. On October 30, 2017, an NOV was issued to Respondent by NMED for exceeding the TTHM MCL LRAA at the TTHM-1 (Motor Pool) and HAA5-1 (Shop) sample sites during the third (3rd) quarter of 2017. The NOV notified Respondent that it was required to provide public notice of the violation.

9. 20.7.10.100 NMAC, [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], defines the Haloacetic Acids (HAA5) Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 0.060 mg/L and establishes compliance with the MCL for HAA5 is determined by calculating the Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA).

10. On February 17, 2017, an NOV was issued to Respondent by NMED for exceeding the HAA5 MCL LRAA at the TTHM-1 (Motor Pool) and HAA5-1 (Shop) sample sites during the first (1st), second (2nd), third (3rd), and fourth (4th) quarters of 2016. The NOV notified Respondent that it was required to provide public notice of the violation.

11. On September 1, 2017, an NOV was issued to Respondent by NMED for exceeding the HAA5 MCL LRAA at the TTHM-1 (Motor Pool) and HAA5-1 (Shop) sample sites during

the first (1st), and second (2nd) quarters of 2017. The NOV notified Respondent that it was required to provide public notice of the violation.

12. On October 30, 2017, an NOV was issued to Respondent by NMED for exceeding the HAA5 MCL LRAA at the TTHM-1 (Motor Pool) and HAA5-1 (Shop) sample sites during the third (3rd) quarter of 2017. The NOV notified Respondent that it was required to provide public notice of the violation.

VIOLATION 1

13. Respondent is in violation of 20.7.10.100 NMAC, [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], which defines the Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM) Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 0.080 mg/L and establishes compliance with the MCL for TTHM is determined by calculating the Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA). Respondent exceeded the TTHM MCL LRAA during the first (1st), second (2nd), third (3rd), and fourth (4th) quarters of 2016 and during the first (1st), second (2nd), and third (3rd) quarters of 2017.

VIOLATION 2

14. Respondent is in violation of 20.7.10.100 NMAC, [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], which defines the Haloacetic Acids (HAA5) Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 0.060 mg/L and establishes compliance with the MCL for HAA5 is determined by calculating the Locational Running Annual Average (LRAA). Respondent exceeded the HAA5 MCL LRAA during the first (1st), second (2nd), third (3rd), and fourth (4th) quarters of 2016 and during the first (1st), second (2nd), and third (3rd) quarters of 2017.

RETURN TO COMPLIANCE

Based upon the foregoing, Respondent is hereby ordered to comply with the following:

15. By December 31, 2018, comply with 20.7.10.100 NMAC [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], and provide drinking water with concentrations of TTHM below the MCL of 0.080 mg/L.

16. By December 31, 2019, comply with 20.7.10.100 NMAC [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], and have a LRRRA for TTHM below the MCL of 0.080 mg/L.

17. By December 31, 2018, comply with 20.7.10.100 NMAC [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], and provide drinking water with concentrations of HAA5 below the MCL of 0.060 mg/L.

18. By December 31, 2019, comply with 20.7.10.100 NMAC [incorporating 40 C.F.R. § 141.64(b)(2)(i) and 141.620(d)], and have a LRRRA for HAA5 below the MCL of 0.060 mg/L.

19. Submittals made pursuant to paragraphs 15 through 18 of this Order shall be sent by certified mail with return receipt requested to the following:

Maria J. Medina, Enforcement Coordinator
New Mexico Environment Department
Drinking Water Bureau
P.O. Box 5469
Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469

Or

Email: maria.medina@state.nm.us

If respondent fails to comply with the requirements of paragraphs 15 through 19 of this order, the Secretary of NMED may assess additional civil penalties not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each instance of noncompliance with this order.

RIGHT TO ANSWER AND REQUEST A HEARING

Pursuant to NMSA 1978, §§ 61-33-120.E and 74-1-10.E and 20.1.5 NMAC (available at www.env.nm.gov), Respondent has the right to request a hearing. If Respondent (a) contests any material or legal matters upon which this Order is based; (b) contends that Respondent is entitled to prevail as a matter of law; or (c) otherwise contests the appropriateness of this Order, Respondent may mail or deliver within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Order a written Request for Hearing at the following address:

Hearing Clerk

New Mexico Environment Department
P.O. Box 5469
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

Respondent must attach a copy of this Order to the Request for Hearing. 20.1.5.200.A(2)(d) NMAC.

The Request for Hearing shall include an Answer. Respondent's Answer shall clearly and directly admit, deny or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Order with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. Where Respondent has no knowledge of a particular factual allegation, Respondent should so state, and the Respondent may deny the allegation on that basis. Any allegation in this Order not specifically denied shall be deemed admitted. 20.1.5.200.A(2)(a) NMAC.

Respondent's Answer shall also include any affirmative defenses upon which Respondent intends to rely. Any affirmative defense not asserted in the Answer, except a defense asserting lack of subject matter jurisdiction, shall be deemed waived. 20.1.5.200.A(2)(b) NMAC.

Respondent's Answer shall be signed under oath or affirmation that the information contained therein is to the best of the signer's knowledge believed to be true and correct. 20.1.5.200.A(2)(c) NMAC.

FINALITY OF ORDER

Pursuant to NMSA 1978, §§ 61-33-10.E and § 74-1-10.E, this Order shall become final unless the Respondent files a Request for Hearing with the Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Order.

SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Whether or not a Request for Hearing has been filed, Respondent may confer with the NMED concerning settlement of this Order. The NMED encourages settlement consistent with the provisions and objectives of the EIA and the DW Regulations. Settlement discussions neither extend the thirty (30) day deadline for filing a Request for Hearing and Answer nor alter the deadline imposed for compliance with the mandate of this Order. Settlement discussion may

be pursued as an alternative to, and simultaneously with, the hearing proceedings. Respondent may appear at the settlement conference alone or accompanied or represented by legal counsel.

A Stipulated Final Order shall finalize any settlement reached by the parties. The Stipulated Final Order must resolve all issues raised in this Order, shall be final and binding on all parties, and may not be appealed.


To explore the possibility of settlement in this matter, contact Maria J. Medina, Drinking Water Bureau, New Mexico Environment Department, P.O Box 5469, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469, (505) 476-8629.

COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS

Compliance with the requirements of this Order does not relieve Respondent of the obligation to comply with all other applicable laws and regulations. This Order does not constitute a waiver, suspension, or modification of the requirements of 20.7.10 NMAC and 20.7.4 NMAC which remain in full force and effect. Issuance of this Order is not an election by the NMED to forgo any civil or criminal action otherwise authorized under the EIA.

TERMINATION

This Order shall terminate when all requirements of this Order have been met and the NMED provides notification of termination in writing, or when the Secretary approves a Stipulated Final Order.



Bruce Yurdin
Division Director
Water Protection Division
P.O Box 5469
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469

12/15/17
Date

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Administrative Compliance Order was sent on 12/18, 2017 via certified return receipt requested to the following:

Anna Fuchs
Philmont Boy Scout Ranch-Headquarters
17 Deer Run Road
Cimarron, NM 87714



Maria J. Medina