

# NEW MEXICO'S ANNUAL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS COMPLIANCE REPORT -2014-

Drinking Water Bureau New Mexico Environment Department Submitted to United States Environmental Protection Agency

July 2015

	New Mexico's Annual Public Water Systems Compliance Report - 2014
This pa	age left intentionally blank.

# **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	1
List of Acronymns	2
Introduction	
Public Water Systems in New Mexico	4
PWS Compliance with SDWA Requirements	
Water System Violations	
Chemical Phase Rules	9
Inorganic Chemical (IOC) Contaminants	9
Organic Chemical Contaminants	10
Radionuclide Contaminants/ Radionuclides Rule	10
Total Coliform Rule (TCR)	
Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule (DBP)	
Surface Water Treatment Rule/Interim Enhance Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR/IESW	
Lead and Copper Rule (LCR)	13
Groundwater Rule (GWR)	14
Public Notification Rule (PNR)	15
Consumer Confidence Report Rule (CCR)	15
Summary of Violations	
Conclusions	18

# **List of Acronyms**

C Community System

CCR Consumer Confidence Report

CN Consumer Notice

DBPR Disinfectant and Disinfection ByProduct Rule

DWB Drinking Water Bureau

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

GWR Ground Water Rule

GWUDI Ground Water Under the Direct Influence (of Surface Water)

IESWTR Interim Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule

IOC Inorganic Contaminant LCR Lead and Copper Rule

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

mg/L milligrams per liter mrem/yr millirem per year

M/R Monitoring and Reporting

MRDL Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level

NC Non-Community System

NM New Mexico

NMED New Mexico Environment Department NTNC Non-Transient, Non-Community System

pCi/L picoCuries per liter

PN Public Notice

PWS Public Water System

PWSS Public Water System Supervision

SDWA Safe Drinking Water Act

SDWIS Safe Drinking Water Information System

SOC Synthetic Organic Contaminant SWTR Surface Water Treatment Rule

TT Treatment Technique
TCR Total Coliform Rule

µg/L micrograms per litter

V/E Variances and Exemptions
VOC Volatile Organic Contaminant

### Introduction

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) is the primary federal law originally enacted in 1974 to protect public health by regulating the nation's public water supplies. The SDWA applies to the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Indian Lands, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. It was amended in 1986 and 1996 to ensure protection of the nation's water supplies from the source (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, springs, and ground water wells) to the tap. Contaminants can enter public water supplies from many sources, including improper disposal of chemicals; animal wastes; pesticide applications; human wastes; wastes injected deep underground; and naturally-occurring substances. Additionally, drinking water that is not properly treated or disinfected, or which travels through an improperly maintained distribution system, may also pose a health risk. The SDWA establishes national health-based standards for drinking water to protect against both naturally-occurring and man-made contaminants that may be found in drinking water and authorizes the Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) Program to ensure proper implementation of the SDWA.

The SDWA allows States and Territories to seek United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approval to administer their own PWSS Programs. The authority to run a PWSS Program is called primacy. To receive primacy, States (or tribes or territories) must meet certain requirements laid out in the SDWA and the federal regulations, including the adoption of drinking water regulations that are at least as stringent as the federal regulations and a demonstration that they can enforce the program requirements. EPA currently administers PWSS Programs on all Indian lands except the Navajo Nation, which was granted primacy in late 2000. The State of New Mexico (NM) has been a primacy state since 1976 with the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Drinking Water Bureau (DWB) as the lead agency responsible for implementing the PWSS Program. The DWB protects drinking water quality by providing technical assistance, water system oversight, enforcement, and source water protection to NM's public water systems (PWSs).

Primacy states must implement a PWSS program adequate to enforce the requirements of the SDWA and ensure that PWSs comply with the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations. Key activities carried out by the NMED DWB under NM's PWSS program include:

- developing and maintaining state drinking water regulations;
- developing and maintaining an inventory of PWSs throughout the state;
- developing and maintaining a database to hold compliance information on PWSs;
- conducting sanitary surveys of PWSs;
- reviewing PWS plans and specifications;
- providing technical assistance to managers and operators of PWSs;
- ensuring that the PWSs regularly inform their consumers about the quality of the water that they
  are providing;
- certifying laboratories that can perform the analysis of drinking water that will be used to determine compliance with the regulations; and
- carrying out an enforcement program to ensure that PWSs comply with all of the state's requirements.

Each year the NMED DWB prepares and submits to EPA *New Mexico's Annual Public Water Systems Compliance Report* (this report). The purpose of the report is to provide the public with a summary of the different types of drinking water violations accrued by PWSs during the previous calendar year. This report is a mandated requirement of the federally funded PWSS Program and encompasses drinking water violations that were verified during calendar year 2014. NM is required by the SDWA to make this report available to the public. The DWB posts the report on their website at: <a href="http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/dwb/">http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/dwb/</a>. Interested individuals can also obtain a copy upon request to the DWB Grants Coordinator by calling toll free (877) 654-8720.

# **Public Water Systems in New Mexico**

PWSs and the types of systems in NM are defined in the table below. A PWS must have the ability to achieve and maintain compliance with applicable drinking water standards so that it can provide safe and affordable water to their customers. PWSs are responsible for complying with all regulations including sampling, monitoring, reporting, performing treatment techniques, record keeping, and public notice requirements. To meet these requirements it must perform routine monitoring and report results to the State regulatory agency. Violations must also be reported to the public and corrected. Failure to perform any of these functions can result in enforcement actions and penalties. NM's

PWSS Program provides oversight of PWSs, determines whether the systems are in compliance with federal and state drinking water laws and regulations and takes enforcement actions when necessary to protect public health.

Public Water System Types and Definitions					
Public Water System	PWS	A system that provides water for human consumption, if such system has at least 15 service connections or regularly serves at least 25 individuals at least 60 days out of the year.			
Community	С	A system that serves at least 15 service connections (which may include factories, schools, or places of housing that are on the same distribution system as residences) used by year-round residences or regularly serve at least 25 year-round residents.			
Transient Non-Community NC		A system that serves at least 25 of the same persons over six months per year not at their residence (e.g., schools or factories that have their own water source).			
Non Transient, Non- community	NTNC	A system that serves at least 25 persons (but not the same 25) over six months per year not at their residence (e.g., campgrounds or highway rest stops that have their own water source).			

In 2014, there were approximately 1,106 PWSs that provided drinking water in NM. See tables below for specific inventories by type, population and sources. These PWSs provide drinking water to approximately 1,998,155 people. This is approximately 96% of the total population of NM (based on 2010 U.S Census Bureau population data, <a href="http://www.census.gov/2010census">http://www.census.gov/2010census</a>). Of the total PWSs in NM, approximately 94% of the public water systems purchase or use ground water as the primary source of drinking water and supply water to 1,075,367 consumers, or approximately 54% of consumers who receive water from a PWS.

	Number of PWSs in NM by Type and Population (as of 12/31/2014)									
PWS Type	PWS Type Very Small (≤ 500)		Small (501-3,300)		Medium (3,301-10,000)		Large (>10,000)		TOTAL	
	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP
С	406	68,965	111	154,125	35	227,482	28	1,427,907	580	1,878,479
NC	367	39,600	21	28,688	1	4,000	0	0	389	72,288
NTNC	118	19,419	18	23,969	1	4,000	0	0	137	47,388
TOTAL	891	127,984	150	206,782	37	235,482	28	1,427,907	1,106	1,998,155

	Number of PWSs in NM by Source and Population (as of 12/31/2014)													
PWS Type	Under th	UDI d Water ne Direct nce of e Water	Ground Under Influe Surface	JDIP d Water Direct nce of Water - hased		<b>GW</b> and Water	Ground	<b>NP</b> I Water - hased	Surface vyater		SWP Surface Water - Purchased			
	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP	SYS	POP
С	5	703	0	0	505	952,363	27	13,940	27	869,656	16	41,817	580	1,873,758
NC	5	4,552	0	0	369	62,528	7	1,151	5	2,060	3	1,997	389	72,213
NTNC	0	0	0	0	125	39,846	7	5,539	2	153	3	1,850	137	48,076
TOTAL	10	5,255	0	0	999	1,054,737	41	20,630	34	871,869	22	45,664	1,106	1,998,155

The vast majority of NM's population was served by community water systems such as the City of Albuquerque and the City of Santa Fe. It is important to understand that the community water systems have many more regulations and rules to follow in comparison to transient non-community water systems. This is important because people typically obtain the majority of their water at home and would have an increased exposure to any health risks from the home water supply, should it become contaminated, compared to that of a rest stop along an isolated stretch of highway.

# **PWS Compliance with SDWA Requirements**

Under the SDWA and the 1986 Amendments, EPA has set national limits on contaminant levels in drinking water to ensure that the water is safe for human consumption. These limits are known as Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) and the Maximum Residual Disinfectant Levels (MRDLs) and apply to all PWSs. For some regulations, EPA has established treatment techniques (TTs) in lieu of a MCL to control unacceptable levels of contaminants in water. The Agency also regulates how often PWSs monitor their water for contaminants and when they need to report the monitoring results to the states or EPA. Generally, the larger the population served by a PWS, the more frequent the monitoring and reporting (M/R) requirements become. In addition, EPA requires PWSs to monitor unregulated contaminants to provide data for future regulatory development; however, this report only includes violations pertaining to promulgated rules and regulations. Finally, EPA requires PWSs to notify their consumers when they have violated these regulations. The 1996 Amendments to the SDWA require consumer notification to include a clear and understandable explanation of the nature of the violation, its potential adverse health effects, steps that the PWS is undertaking to correct the violation, and the possibility of alternative water supplies during the violation.

All of the information described above must be tracked by the primacy agency for each PWS in the state/territory. NM uses the Safe Drinking Water Information System (State) (SDWIS/State), an automated database developed by EPA, to track inventory, sampling, monitoring and enforcement information. EPA also maintains the federal version of the database, SDWIS/FED. These databases are important tools which help states and EPA regions manage their drinking water programs and fulfill EPA reporting requirements. Primacy agencies are required to submit all of this information to EPA on a quarterly basis and these databases facilitate that process. In accordance with EPA's *Guidance for States on Preparing Annual Public Water System Compliance Reports* (2010), the DWB uses SDWIS/FED records of violations and the data retrieved from SDWIS/FED Reporting Services *Summary Annual Compliance* and *Ad hoc* Reports to compile this document.

This report, produced annually, provides a representation of the numbers of violations for the following categories: MCLs (includes MRDLs), TT, variances and exemptions (V/E), M/R, public notification (PN) and consumer notification (CN). Each category is described in more detail in the table below. This report provides the number of violations in each of these categories that were verified during 2014, typically organized by regulated contaminant type or by Rule and then further divided by violation type (MCL, TT, M/R, PN and CN).

Violation <sup>-</sup>	Гуре	Description
Maximum Contaminant Levels	MCL	Under the SDWA and State Drinking Water Regulations, federal and state governments both set limits on the level of contaminants in drinking water. These limits, called maximum contaminant levels, which also includes maximum residual disinfection levels, are established to ensure that the water is safe for people to drink. Each public water system is tested according to sample schedules to verify that no contaminants are above the prescribed limits. If a public water system test result exceeds a MCL, a violation has occurred.
Treatment Techniques	тт	In some cases, techniques to treat the water have been established in lieu of a MCL to control viruses, some bacteria, turbidity and total organic carbon. Filtration of surface water sources, such as reservoirs, rivers and lakes is an example of a water supply treatment technique. Each system is monitored to ensure that all required treatment technologies are properly designed, installed and operated. If a system fails to follow the required TT, a violation has occurred.
Variances and Exemptions	V/E	Variances and exemptions to specific requirements may be granted if a public water system cannot meet MCLs due to reasons beyond the system's control and there is no unreasonable risk to public health. Each exemption includes a schedule to bring the system into full compliance. If a system fails to meet the conditions outlined in the variance and exemption, then a violation has occurred. <b>During this reporting period, NM has not issued any exemptions or variances.</b>
Monitoring and Reporting Requirements	M/R	A public water system is required to periodically monitor the water quality to verify that MCLs are not being exceeded. If a public water system fails to take the required tests and/or fails to report the results of the tests to the primacy agency, then a violation has occurred.
Public Notification Requirements	PN	SDWA prescribes specific public notification requirements based on the potential of a violation to cause serious effects. When a water system fails to properly notify its customers, then a violation has occurred.
Consumer Notification	CN	Every community water system is required to deliver to its customers a brief annual water quality report. This report is to include some educational material, and will provide information on the source water, the levels of any detected contaminants and compliance with drinking water regulations. When a water system fails to produce this report a violation has occurred.

# **Water System Violations**

The following sections summarize the significant violations and the number of PWSs with reported violations that were verified during calendar year 2014. This includes violations that began before January 1 of the year and continued into the year covered by the report; violations that ended during the year covered by the report; and violations at a PWS that operated for only part of, or permanently ceased operations during the year covered by the report. If a system returned to compliance before the year covered by the report and remained in compliance throughout the year covered by the report, its violations are not counted.

All MCL and TT violations are included in this report; however, only those violations that are considered "significant" are reported for M/R, PN and CN categories. A significant M/R violation

occurs, with rare exceptions, when no samples are taken or no results are reported during a compliance period. A significant PN violation occurs when a community water system fails to properly notify its users according to the procedures specified in the drinking water regulations. A significant CN violation occurs when a community water system fails to provide the required annual Consumer Confidence Report by the designated due date.

### Chemical Phase Rules (IOC, SOC, VOC)

This series of rules are known as the Chemical Phase Rules and they define regulations for three contaminant groups: Inorganic Chemicals (IOCs), Synthetic Organic Chemicals (SOCs), and Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOCs).

The Chemical Phase rules provide public health protection through the reduction of chronic risks from: cancer; organ damage; and circulatory, nervous, and reproductive system disorders.

They also help to reduce the occurrence of Methemoglobinemia or "blue baby syndrome" from ingestion of elevated levels of nitrate or nitrite. All public water systems must monitor for Nitrate and Nitrite. Community water systems and Non-transient non-community water systems must also monitor for IOCs, SOCs, and VOCs.

### **Inorganic Chemical (IOC) Contaminants**

PWSs are required to monitor 15 inorganic compounds such as fluoride, heavy metals and nitrate. Inorganic contaminants are metals, salts, and other compounds that do not contain carbon. These chemicals sometimes contaminate water supplies as a result of human activity; however, many are naturally occurring in certain geographic areas. The majority of the inorganic MCL contaminant violations in NM is suspected to be associated with naturally occurring sources; however, nitrate MCL violations are likely to have originated from anthropogenic sources such as septic disposal systems.

IOC Contaminant MCL Violations	MCL (mg/L)	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Arsenic	0.01	31	0	13
Fluoride	4.0	7	0	3
Nitrate-Nitrite (as Nitrogen)	10	9	1	4
Selenium	0.05	3	0	1
Totals		50	1	21*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one MCL.

Inorganic Chemical Contaminants Monitoring & Reporting Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Routine Monitoring	16	4	16
Totals	16	4	16*

### **Organic Chemical (SOC/VOC) Contaminants**

Organic chemicals are compounds that contain one or more carbon atoms. Sources of organic chemical compounds can be natural, such as from decaying vegetation, or anthropogenic. Organic chemicals that are regulated in drinking water typically come from industrial and agricultural activities and include substances such as components of pesticides and industrial and commercial products.

There were no Maximum Contaminant Level violations or Monitoring & Reporting violations that were validated for Synthetic or Volatile Organic Chemical Contaminants for any Public Water System in New Mexico during 2014.

### Radionuclides Rule

Radionuclide contaminants consist of radioactive particles such as radium-226, radium-228, gross alpha, and beta particle/photon radioactivity. The implementation of the Radionuclides Rule has significantly increased the total number of violations associated with radionuclide contaminants. These contaminants can occur naturally, or may result from human activity. It should be noted that NM is geologically rich in naturally occurring radioactive uranium ore deposits such as those found in the San Juan Basin and the Pojoaque Valley.

Radionuclide Contaminant MCL Violations	MCL	MCL # of Violations		# of PWSs in Violation	
Gross Alpha, Excluding Radon & Uranium	15 (pCi/L)	0	0	0	
Combined Uranium	30 (μg/L)	37	0	10	
Combined Radium (226 & 228)	5_(pCi/L)	5	0	2	
Beta/photon emitters**	4 (mrem/yr)***	0	0	0	
Totals		42	0	12*	

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one MCL.

Radionuclides Rule Monitoring & Reporting Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Routine Monitoring	5	1	2
Totals	5	1	2*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one monitoring and reporting requirement.

### **Total Coliform Rule (TCR)**

The Total Coliform Rule (TCR) requires all PWSs to monitor coliform bacteria. Although coliform bacteria tend to be found in decaying organic material and the intestinal tract of humans and animals, it is not usually harmful to human health. The presence of coliform bacteria in the distribution systems of public water supplies is used as an indicator that more dangerous microbiological contamination may be present. The total coliform MCL is the most frequently violated health-based standard in both NM and the United States. The large number of monitoring violations can be attributed in part to the complexity of this rule. The DWB recognizes the need to educate the water system operators and has focused on individual and group training sessions through staff and technical assistance providers to reduce these violations. The DWB feels these efforts will assist with the reduction of TCR violations in future years.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Most systems will never need to monitor for beta particle and photon radioactivity. These emitters generally come from nuclear facilities; commercial nuclear power plants; institutional sources such as research facilities, hospitals, and universities; and from industrial sources such as laboratories and pharmaceutical companies. Unless a system is vulnerable to this type of contamination, or is already contaminated by beta and photon emitters, systems are not required to monitor for these contaminants.

\*\*\* mrem/yr is defined as a Measure of radiation absorbed by the body

TCR MCL Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
MCL, Acute	4	4	4
MCL, Monthly	73	50	65
Totals	77	54	69*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one MCL.

TCR Monitoring & Reporting Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Routine Major	179	108	107
Repeat Major	33	24	29
Totals	237	132	136*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one monitoring and reporting requirement.

### **Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule (DBPR)**

The Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule (DBP) applies to all PWSs that add a chemical disinfectant, except for transient water systems that use chlorine dioxide. This rule requires these water systems to monitor disinfection byproduct contaminants and disinfectants within the system. The DBP is a new and complicated rule that is proving to be difficult for PWSs to understand and maintain compliance.

In early 2015, NMED-DWB discovered some significant inconsistencies with the Stage 2 Disinfection Byproduct (DBP) analysis at one of the certified laboratories that is used by the majority of water systems in New Mexico. Due to the extent of the analysis issue, NMED-DWB put a halt to making any compliance determinations on Stage 2 DBP data that was analyzed by this particular lab until further investigation was completed. Because of this, the NMED-DWB 2014 Annual Compliance Report will contain a minimal amount of Stage 2 DBP information. At the time of this Annual Compliance Report, NMED-DWB is in the process of finalizing a decision to not move forward with any compliance determinations using this laboratory data.

DBP MCL Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
DBP2 MCL Violations	1*	0	1*
Totals	1*	0	1*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one MCL.

DBP Treatment Technique Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
No Certified Operator	1	1	1
Precursor Removal	9	0	3
Totals	10	1	4*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one treatment technique requirement.

DBP Monitoring & Reporting Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Routine Monitoring	85	6	38
Totals	85	6	38*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one monitoring and reporting requirement

### Surface Water Treatment Rule/Interim Enhance Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR/IESWTR)

The Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR) requires PWSs that are served by either surface water or ground water under the direct influence (GWUDI) of surface water to treat the water by filtration and disinfection in an effort to reduce the potential exposure to microbiological contamination. This rule applies to approximately 44 PWSs in NM.

The Surface Water Treatment Rule/Interim Enhance Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR/IESWTR) is designed to address the health risks from microbial contaminants without significantly increasing the danger from chemical contaminants. The IESWTR applies to PWSs that use surface water or ground water under the direct influence of surface water (GWUDI) as a source and serve 10,000 or more people. This rule applies to approximately 6 PWSs in NM.

SWTR/IESWTR Treatment Technique Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Treatment Technique (SWTR and GWR)	0	0	0
Failure to Filter (SWTR)	0	0	0
Single combined filter effluent – maximum turbidity value exceeded 1.0 NTU	0	0	0
Monthly combined filter effluent – 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile turbidity value exceeded 0.3 NTU	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one treatment technique requirement.

SWTR/IESWTR Monitoring & Reporting Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Monitoring of Treatment (SWTR-Filter)	2	0	1
Totals	2	0	1*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one monitoring and reporting requirement.

### **Lead and Copper Rule (LCR)**

The Lead and Copper Rule (LCR) applies to all community and non-transient non-community water systems and requires them to monitor lead and copper in an effort to identify and minimize the risk of exposure to lead and copper in drinking water. If action levels are exceeded, the PWS may need to take steps and apply various TTs to minimize exposure such as installing corrosion controls, providing public education, treating the source water or replacing lead service lines. All of the violations of the LCR were for the water system's failure to monitor/report. Throughout the implementation history of this rule, very few PWSs in NM have been identified to have significant lead and copper action level exceedances. The vast majority of historical violations associated with this rule pertain to failing to meet the monitoring requirements.

There were no Treatment Technique violations (failure to inform consumers) validated for the Lead & Copper Rule for any Public Water System in New Mexico during 2014.

LCR Monitoring & Reporting Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Initial Tap Sampling for lead (Pb) and copper (Cu)	6	1	5
Routine Tap or Follow-Up Sampling	47	5	120
Totals	299	27	168*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one monitoring and reporting requirement.

### **Groundwater Rule (GWR)**

The Groundwater Rule (GWR) applies to all systems that use ground water as a source of drinking water, including systems that purchase groundwater and mix groundwater and surface water. The purpose of the rule is to reduce disease incidence associated with disease-causing microorganisms in drinking water. The rule establishes a risk-based approach to target ground water systems that are vulnerable to fecal contamination. Ground water systems that are identified as being at risk of fecal contamination must take corrective action to reduce potential illness from exposure to microbial pathogens.

GWR Treatment Technique Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Treatment Technique (SWTR and GWR)	0	0	0
Totals	0	0	0*

GWR Monitoring & Reporting Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Routine Monitoring	56	39	38
Totals	56	39	63*

### **Public Notification Rule (PNR)**

All PWSs are required to notify its customers when: (1) the system fails to comply with drinking water regulations, (2) the system has a variance or exemption from drinking water regulations or (3) the system is facing some other situation posing a public health risk. Violations identified in this report are for PWSs that failed to properly inform their customers regarding one of these topics.

Public Notification Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations # of PWSs i Violation				
Failure to provide proper public notification linked to a drinking water regulation violation	69	41	42			
Totals	69	41	42*			

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one public notification requirement.

# **Consumer Confidence Report Rule (CCR)**

All community water systems are required to prepare and provide to their customers an annual Consumer Confidence Report (CCR). The CCR summarizes the quality of the drinking water and any violations. It also includes some educational material, provides information on the source water, the level of any detected contaminants, and compliance with drinking water regulations. These violations persist each year until every CCR is prepared properly and provided to the consumers of the PWS.

Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) Violations	# of Violations	# Return to Compliance Violations	# of PWSs in Violation
Failure to provide CCR	119	63	112
Totals	119	63	112*

<sup>\*</sup>A single water system could violate more than one CCR requirement.

# **Summary of Violations**

All of the violations presented in this report are summarized in the following table.

			Su	ımmary o	f NM Pub	lic Water	System V	/iolations					
Contaminant		MCLs		Monito	ring and Re	eporting	Treat	ment Tech	nique		Public Notification and Consumer Confidence Reporting		
Type or Rule	# of Violations	# of RTC Violations	# PWS in Violation	# of Violations	# of RTC Violations	# PWS in Violation	# of Violations	# of RTC Violations	# PWS in Violation	# of Violations	# of RTC Violations	# PWS in Violation	
IOC	50	1	20	16	4	16							
RAD	40	0	10	5	1	2							
soc	0	0	0	0	0	0							
voc	0	0	0	0	0	0							
Contaminant Sub-Totals	90	1	30	21	5	18							
TCR	76	53	64	207	132	124							
SWTR/ IESWTR				4	0	1	0	0	0				
LCR				50	4	43	0	0	0				
DBP1	0	0	0	21	11	11	10	1	4				
DBP2	0	0	0	74	4	3	0	0	0				
GWR*				56	39	38	93	38	50				
CCR										119	63	112	
PN										138	41	42	
Grand Totals	166	54	94	433	195	238	103	39	54	257	104	154	

<sup>\*</sup>Combined with SWTR/IESWTR TT violations

### **Conclusions**

The water quality of NM's PWSs is generally very high, as documented by routine sampling and analysis. Fifty-one percent (51%) of NM's PWS did not have any significant violations (MCL, M&R, or TT) reported during 2014 and are considered to be in compliance of the SDWA and state drinking water regulations. During 2014 540, or 49%, of PWSs received at least one significant violation resulting in a grand total of 959 significant violations being reported for NM. Ninety-nine (94), or 8%, of the PWSs in NM had health based violations of a MCL. Of the health based violations, 30 PWSs had 90 chemical, or radionuclide MCL violations; and 64 PWSs had 53 total coliform MCL violations. These MCL violations account for 15% of the total number of violations. The majority of violations that occurred during 2014 were associated with non-water quality and non-health based requirements such as failing to monitor routinely as required by the Total Coliform Rule (TCR) and the Lead and Copper Rule or failing to provide proper public notice (PN) or a Consumer Confidence Report (CCR). Two-hundred thirty-eight (238) PWSs had a total of 433 M/R violations accounting for 45% of the total number of violations. Fifty-four (54) PWSs had 103 TT violations accounting for 11% of the total violations. Forty-two (42) PWSs had 138 PN violations accounting for 14% of the total number of violations and 12 PWSs had 119 CCR violations, accounting for 12% of the total number of violations.

In early 2015, NMED-DWB discovered some significant inconsistencies with the Stage 2 Disinfection Byproduct (DBP) analysis at one of the certified laboratories that is used by the majority of water systems in New Mexico. Due to the extent of the analysis issue, NMED-DWB put a halt to making any compliance determinations on Stage 2 DBP data that was analyzed by this particular lab until further investigation was completed. Moving forward, NMED-DWB has a plan of action to deal with this laboratory analysis issue and is in the process of putting that plan into action. During next year's 2015 Annual Compliance Report, New Mexico's Stage 2 DBP numbers will better reflect the overall compliance of this rule within the state.

Compliance determination has improved through better internal communication, expanded enforcement efforts, supplemental training on compliance and enforcement reporting, and the implementation of the SDWIS/State database. Three-hundred ninety-two (392) violations were returned to compliance in 2014. The DWB's compliance staff continues to work with PWSs to address

all violations and return each system to compliance. An increase in the identification of drinking water violations as well as better reporting by staff ensures that safer drinking water will be available for NM citizens.

During 2014 escalated enforcement actions were used to bring PWSs that had a history of significant violations back into compliance. The increase in the DWB's enforcement activities reflects a positive enhancement of the NMED's regulatory capabilities for the constituents identified in this report. Programs such as the Capacity Development program provided means to allow the DWB to identify needs and provide assistance in order to increase the technical, financial, and managerial capacity of many of these systems. The Utility Operator Certification Program for Water System Operators has trained many certified operators in NM. All of these activities combined provide enhanced support of SDWA compliance and oversight.